	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED . B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: T	JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 10-Jul-2014 Tulsa District: SWT-2014-00003-JD3
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFO State:	ORMATION: OK - Oklahoma
County/parish/borough: City:	Tulsa Broken Arrow
Lat:	35,9844
Long: Universal Transverse Mercator	-95.8262 Folder UTM List
	UTM list determined by folder location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 15N  Waters UTM List
	UTM list determined by waters location  • NAD83 / UTM zone 15N
Name of nearest waterbody: Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW	Arkansas River
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC	
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or pote	ential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
	disposal sites, etc.¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  Office Determination Date: 26-Jun-2014	
Field Determination Date(s):	
	, ·
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	<u> </u>
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDI	
Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the	d Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
	on used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
Explain:	
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISI	DICTION.  WA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
There waters of the o.o. within olean water Act (ov	177 junisdiction (as defined by 30 of 17 pair 220 in the fertile and a
1. Waters of the U.S.	
a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:  Water Name Water Ty	pe(s) Present
SWT-2014-303_North Pond Non-RPWs that flow dir	
b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the Area: 4.55 (m²)	review area:
Linear: (m)	
c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:	
based on: OHWM Elevation: 650 (if known)	
2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: <sup>3</sup>	
Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands we	ore assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS	<u> </u>
A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs	
4 TANA	
1.TNW Not Applicable.	
2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW	
Not Applicable.	
B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NO	OT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or in the second sec	indirectly into TNW
(i) General Area Conditions:	
Watershed size: 3808 acres Drainage area: 246 acres	
Average annual rainfall: 41 inches	
Average annual snowfall: 9.7 inches	
(ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW:	
Tributary flows directly into TNW.	
Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering :Number of tributaries	g TNW.
Project waters are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW.	
Project waters are 1-2 river miles from RPW.  Project Waters are 25-30 aerial (straight) miles from TI	NW.
Project waters are 1-2 aerial(straight) miles from RPW	v.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries  Explain:	S.
Waters do not serve as any boundaries and do not cros	is any states.
Identify flow route to TNW: <sup>5</sup> The waters flow southward towards two unnamed tribute	taries before reaching the Arkansas River. Once the waters meet RPW of the Arkansas River, it flows 37 miles to Section 10 waters boundardy of Arkansas River, Webbers Falls Pool.
Tributary Stream Order, if known:	
Order Tributary Name	
1 SWT-2014-303_North Pond	
(b) General Tributary Characteristics:	
Tributary is:	Evolain Manipulated Evolain
Tributary Name Natural Artificial SWT-2014-303_North	Explain Manipulated Explain  This is the northern pond where man-alteration is apparent. The stream water has obviously been dammed and the drainage on the southern edge of this pond has concrete rubble for erosion
- 1 1 1	

- - X protection. Pond

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

 Tributary Name
 Width (ft)
 Depth (ft)
 Side Slopes

 SWT-2014-303\_North Pond
 1
 3:1

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Condition\Stability Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes Gradient (%) Geometry SWT-2014-303\_North Pond The pond banks look stable with apparent vegetation surrounding the pond. No runs or riffles because this body of water is an impoundment on the ephemeral drainage. Relatively straight

(c) Flow:

(c) i low.				
Tributary Name	Provides for Events Per Year		Flow Regime	Duration & Volume
SWT-2014-303_North	Ephemeral	11-20	This pond catches water from the ephemeral drainage to the north. The water is captured here then flows south through another drainage before	This impoundant catches water from the ephemeral drainage

Surface Flow is:

Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Characteristics	1
SWT-2014-303_North Pond	Discrete and confined	This impoundment would have a flow only during rain events. The water captured in the ponds would flow south towards the Arkansas River.	1

oubsurface i low.			
Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
SWT-2014-303_North Pond	Unknown	N/A	-

Tributary has:

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks	OHWM	Discontinuous OHWM <sup>7</sup>	Explain	
SWT-2014-303 North Pond	X	Х	-	-	

Tributaries with OHWM<sup>6</sup> - (as indicated above)

Tributary Name	ОНШМ	Clear	Litter	Changes in Soil	Destruction Vegetation	Shelving	Wrack Line	Matted\Absent Vegetation	Sediment Sorting	Leaf Litter	Scour	Sediment Deposition	Flow Events	Water Staining	Changes Plant	Other	
SWT-2014-303_North Pond	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by: Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

Tributary Name	MHWM	Survey to Datum	Physical Markings	Vegetation Lines Change in Type
SWT-2014-303_North Pond	Х	-	Х	X

(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Tributary Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
SWT-2014-303_North Pond	Impounded water is stained, murky, and turbidity levels appear high.	No known polluants known. Levels of turbidity seem high, though.

(IV) Diological Onal acteristic.	s. Onamiei supports.					
Tributary Name	Riparian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characteristics	Habitat	
SWT-2014-303 North Pond	X	Riparian width appears to be 125 ft in width.	-	-	-	l

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Not Applicable.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: Not Applicable.

Subsurface flow: Not Applicable.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW: Not Applicable.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).
Not Applicable.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports: Not Applicable.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis: Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency for in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative or significant nexus.

Findings for: SWT-2014-303\_North Pond
This stream channel and its ponds within the stream channel function to absorb water from storm events and slow the delivery of runoff water to downstream waters. In addition, the vegetation in the riparian corridor functions to trap sediments, nutrients are utilized in primary production in the riparian corridor and some contaminants are taken up in the vegetation. Nitrogen uptake and conversion in a watershed is greatest in small streams where there is a large benthic surface available for biological activity relative to the small volume of water in the stream. The potential for nitrogen uptake and conversion decreases downstream as the volume of water grows larger relative to the available benthic surfaces. This nutrient uptake and conversion in the small stream functions to reduce the levels of nutrients and contaminants in downstream sooner producing higher peak and shorter duration storm flows, which is a contributing factor to flooding at points downstream

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands: Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8
Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area

Tributary Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)	
SWT-2014-303_North Pond	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	4451.5416	
Total:		0	4451.5416	

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:9

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10 Not Applicable.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

E NON-HIRISDICTIONAL WATERS INCLUDING WETLANDS

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES

A. SUPPORTING DATA, Data reviewed for JD

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately referen	d items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):						
Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description					
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	Delineation of Potential Section 404 Issues	This is a wetland delineation field study conducted by Enercon Services, Inc. Prepared by Rebecca Carroll and Reviewed by David X. Williams, Ph.D.					
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	Delineation of Potential Section 404 Issues	This is a wetland delineation field study conducted by Enercon Services, Inc. Prepared by Rebecca Carroll and Reviewed by David X. Williams, Ph.D.					
Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report	-	This office does not concur with the field reports exclusion of the adjacent wetland to the west of the southern pond.					
Photographs	-	-					
Aerial	Google Earth Pro	Google Earth Pro maps, tools, and aerial photos were utilized during this Jurisdictional Determination.					

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

<sup>1-</sup>Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below

<sup>2-</sup>For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

3-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

4-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

3-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into thibutary b, which then flows into TNW.

6-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

7-Inid.

8-See Footnote #3.

9-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

10-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.