

# APPENDIX G

USACE Response to Public  
Comments Received

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS  
ON DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

A number of comments were received on the Draft Environmental Assessment. The responses to these comments are as follows:

1. Oklahoma Historical Society

Comment: None

Response: N/A

2. Oklahoma Water Resources Board

Comment: Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management)/Impacts of Flood Control

Response: Concur. Joe Remondini, USACE, Tulsa District Floodplain Management Section has been consulted regarding requirements for flood proofing any structures within the one hundred year floodplain. Since no permanent structures will be constructed within the one hundred year floodplain, there are no flood proofing measures needed for the proposed project.

Comment: Attached please find copies of the floodplain maps for the areas for this project. No flood map could be found attached to the draft assessment as indicated in the text.

Response: Concur. Copies of the floodplain maps for the area are included in Appendix B.

Comment: As this project will include the construction of a golf course, cabins, RV/camping area, please do not construct any walled and roofed structures in the one hundred year floodplain.

Response: Concur. In accordance with current design, no permanent structures will be constructed below the one hundred year floodplain. However, some water-related facilities (e.g. marina) will be located within the flood pool of Skiatook Lake.

Comment: As federal dollars will be used for this project, please ensure full compliance with EO 11988.

Response: Concur. The proposed project will not have an adverse effect on the floodplain. No permanent structures will be constructed below the one hundred year floodplain.

Comment: Also, it is advisable to coordinate this project with the Osage and Tulsa Counties' floodplain managers.

Response: Concur. Prior to start of construction all local floodplain permits will be obtained from the local floodplain administrators.

Comment: It appears there will be some road resurfacing and grading. This falls under the definition of development and any proposed development within a community that participates in the National Flood Insurance Program is required to comply with their flood damage prevention ordinance. Osage and Tulsa County participate in the NFIP and administer and enforce such an ordinance.

Response: Concur. Local floodplain administrators will be contacted prior to road resurfacing and grading to determine appropriate permit requirements.

Comment: Also, this proposed development might require a Stormwater Management Plan as regulated through the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality.

Response: Concur. Control of sediment and runoff from the project area will be done in accordance with an approved Stormwater Management Plan coordinated with the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality and approved by USACE.

### 3. Diane Hambric

Comment: Public Health and Safety

Response: The number of boat ramps and parking spaces open to the public will not change under the proposed development plan. The new marina has the potential to increase the number of boats active on the Lake at any one time but impacts are anticipated to be minimal. The Lake Patrol Divisions of the Oklahoma Highway Patrol will remain as the primary law enforcement agency on Skiatook Lake. Access roads on the south side of the Lake are going to be upgraded through a joint Osage County/State of Oklahoma effort.

Comment: Public Participation/Notification

Response: The Federal Lakes Recreation Demonstration Program was established by the Federal Lakes Recreation Leadership Council, a national commission formed in 2000. Following an extensive solicitation of nominations from various federal agencies having jurisdiction over federal lakes, 31 lakes, in 20 states, managed by 6 federal agencies (including the Corps of Engineers) were selected for treatment as "pilot project lakes". Skiatook Lake was one of the selected lakes. Following such selection, the Corps solicited the involvement of the Town of Skiatook as a participant. Acting through the Skiatook Economic Development Authority, a public trust ("SEDA"), a public workshop was conducted as described in Appendix D of the Environmental Assessment ("EA"). Appendix D describes times, dates, and locations of public notices and meetings. Additional mailings were made to numerous agencies and interested parties as described in Section VI of the EA.

Comment: Competition

Response: Open bidding is not required by law or regulation for a government-to-government lease such as to one described in the EA from the Corps to SEDA. These leases are also granted under a waiver of competition. The lease to SEDA will be the same as that used for leases to other governmental entities such as the lease to the Public Works Authority of Osage County, Oklahoma for the marina and concession facilities at

Crystal Bay Marina on Skiatook Lake. Applications for leases of Corps lands may be made by individuals or governmental entities.

4. Osage Tribal Council

Comment: The Osage Nation feels that the activities associated with the proposed lease, including construction of a golf course, cabins, conference center, RV and other camping areas, a marina, and an interpretative hiking trail will have an adverse impact on the one identified traditional and cultural property. The Teepee Rock or Healing Rock or any other inadvertent cultural site that could be located in this area and could suffer adverse consequences from these activities. The Osage Nation is requesting a consultation with State Source, SEDA, and the USACE to discuss impacts to Healing Rock and other matters pertaining to this project.

Response: Concur. The USACE will be consulting with all interested parties regarding the potential impacts of the proposed project on Healing Rock and other cultural resources that may exist in the project area, and will ensure compliance with provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act. See changes made to Section IV.B.7. of the EA.

5. Wesley Johnson

Comment: Adequate Mitigation for Terrestrial and Aquatic Impacts

Response: These have been addressed in Section V. of the EA.

6. Rick Roberts

Comment: Pre and Post Project Recreational Use of Lake

Response: The growth of recreation activities on Skiatook Lake was projected to increase over time in the master plan and the final environmental statement for the Lake. We anticipate that the proposed development will increase recreational use of project land and water and this is one of the goals of the pilot lake demonstration program. Skiatook Lake was authorized by Congress for a number of uses, including recreational. The original plan for the development of the lake by the Corps included the designation of most of the areas covered by the proposed leases as intensive recreational use areas. All of the uses proposed by SEDA are uses currently being conducted on many other Corps lakes.

The Corps has considered the utilization of existing facilities and has determined that additional facilities or the type proposed by SEDA are needed to meet existing public demand.

Comment: Marina Justification/Feasibility/Need

Response: The Marina Feasibility Study (Appendix G) has determined that the projected growth in the use of the Lake due to existing growth patterns, increased use resulting from the improvements proposed by SEDA, and other private single and multi-family developments planned for the Lake area justify additional marina operations.

Comment: Fee Structure/Availability of Facilities to General Public

Response: All facilities constructed on the leased premises will be open to the public at competitive rates.

Comment: Water Quality

Response: Water quality impacts have been addressed in Section IV.B.10 of the EA.

Comment: Indirect and Cumulative Impacts

Response: See Section IV. C. of the EA. The direct impact of the proposed improvements will not affect the local schools due to the fact that the improvements do not include owner occupied residences. The jobs and related development in the area which should result from the development project will contribute to the tax base for the various school districts in the vicinity. Since the proposed development is phased, the indirect impact should be timed such that there is ample planning for additional needs.

Rural Water District #15 will provide potable water to the CrossTimbers project. The City of Skiatook currently sells water to Rural Water District #15. In order to improve the Water District's ability to sell more water to meet an increasing public demand, the City of Skiatook is in the planning stage of increasing both the quantity of water available for sale to Rural Water District #15 and the delivery system available to the Water District for sale to end users. The proposed expansion will require the activation of Rural Water District #15 future use water supply storage agreement, triple the size of the water plant and allowing production up to approximately six million gallons per day. The City of Skiatook is currently studying the possibility of assigning a portion of the City's unused water rights to Skiatook Lake for usage by the golf course. If the water right transfer occurs, SEDA will be required to enter into a water supply storage agreement with the United States.

Adjacent development will be subject to the Osage County zoning code and subdivision regulations. Incremental, phased development should be expected to be in a phased manner, similar to the phased development of the project.

Regarding law enforcement and fire protection, that is currently provided by Osage County. Osage County has a mutual aid fire and safety agreement with the Town of Skiatook and other area communities in the vicinity.

Nightglow is being addressed by the general low intensity design planned for the development.

Comment: Boat ramp/Facilities Access

Response: The number of boat ramps and parking spaces open to the public will not change under the proposed development plan. The new marina has the potential to increase the number of boats active on the lake at any one time but it is anticipated that impacts will be minimal. The Lake Patrol Division of the Oklahoma Highway Patrol will remain as the primary law enforcement agency on Skiatook Lake. Access roads on the south side of the lake are going to be upgraded through a joint Osage county/State of Oklahoma effort.

All public boat ramps will remain under the operation and control of the COE. All facilities built by the lessee will be open to the public including the lodge, golf course, cabins and marina

7. Preston Hale

Comment: Property Tax Issues

Response: The Corps already makes a payment in lieu of property taxes for all of the Corps managed land around the lake. Ad Valorem taxes will be payable for any privately owned improvements constructed pursuant to the leases.

Comment: Public Health and Safety

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Corps Monitoring/Control of Development

Response: The lease area may be developed after any proposed facilities and construction schedules have been reviewed and approved by USACE. The facilities would be constructed in compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws. Facilities would be inspected regularly by Corps personnel to insure that they are being operated and maintained in accordance with the Environmental Review Guide for Operations (ERGO) program, and by the Corps Real Estate Division to ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the lease.

8. Oklahoma Archeological Survey

Comment: No objection

Response: N/A

9. Vince Logan

Comment: Public Participation/Notification

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

10. K. Shingleton

Comment: Pre and Post Project Recreational Use of Lake

Response: See Response to Letter No. 6

Comment: Impacts on Flood Control

Response: No habitable facilities will be permitted to be constructed below the flood pool elevation of the Lake. Some recreation facilities which are not habitable may be built below that elevation subject to Corps approval. The Corps will continue to store water in the flood control pool at Skiatook Lake, even if this will cause damage to the proposed development. The Corps will only be permitted to make flood control releases at a rate that will not cause or add to flooding downstream. Flood control release decisions will continue to be based on downstream conditions. The only exceptions

would be if the flood control pool completely fills or in the case of an emergency condition which would jeopardize the integrity of the dam.

Comment: Corps Monitoring/Control of Development

Response: See Response to Letter No. 6

Comment: Competition

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Fee Structure/ Availability of Facilities to General Public

Response: See Response to Letter No. 6

Comment: Terrestrial & Aquatic Habitat Loss

Response: These concerns have been addressed in Section IV. B. of the EA.

Comment: Additional Cost to Federal Government

Response: There will be an increased cost to the Corps due to oversight responsibilities that include approval of construction and periodic compliance inspections and reviews. The Corps may see some cost savings if the lessee can assume responsibility for some of the landscape maintenance in the area of the proposed golf course.

Comment: Water Quality

Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. B. 10 of the EA.

Comment: Political Involvement

Response: This project has been supported by the following Federal Agencies (See Public Participation/Notification-Letter No. 3), the Congressional delegation, local State legislators, the Oklahoma Tourism Department, the Oklahoma Department of Transportation, the Osage Board of County Commissioners, the Osage County Industrial Development Authority, and the Town of Skiatook. There has been a significant level of support from many state and federal legislators who support an improvement in the overall standard of living for residents of Osage County through the significant economic development opportunities inherent and complementary to the CrossTimbers project. For instance, Senator Jim Inhofe and his staff worked diligently to secure the designation of Skiatook Lake as a model lake in the Demo Lake Program. The project has also been supported by Oklahoma State Director of Tourism Jane Jayroe and Gov. Frank Keating.

Comment: Project Abandonment/Restoration if Project Fails

Response: The Corps leases require quality improvement that must be built in accordance with specified timelines. In the event the project does not succeed, SEDA will terminate the sublease with the operator and proceed with other operators to manage and improve the project.

Comment: Impact on Hunting/Fishing Opportunities

Response: These have been addressed in Section IV. B. 6 of the EA.

11. Williams Energy Services

Comment: Identification of Infrastructure

Response: Prior to development, all existing pipelines and other infrastructure improvements on the leased premises will be identified. All proposed project facilities will be built with proper regard to rights of the owners of such improvements and good development practices.

12. East Ridge Estates Homeowners Association

Comment: Corps Monitoring/Control of Development

Response: See Response to Letter No. 6

Comment: Hiking Trails/Indirect and Cumulative Impacts

Response: See Response to Letter No. 6. Any trails would be located on Corps property which is currently open to public use.

Comment: Terrorism Concerns

Response: USACE has reviewed the proposed shoreline development associated with the CrossTimbers project at Skiatook Lake and has determined that the project will not increase the security threat to identified vulnerable assets present at the lake, nor will it interfere with the installation of future protective measures for identified vulnerable assets.

Comment: SEDA-Legal Entity

Response: Skiatook Economic Development Authority is an Oklahoma public trust for the benefit of the Town of Skiatook as authorized by 60 Okla. Stat. Sections 176 and 180. It is qualified as a tax-exempt entity pursuant to Section 501 c (3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Comment: Marina Justification/Feasibility/Need

Response: See Appendix G of EA.

Comment: Water Quality

Response: This issue has been addressed in Section IV. B. 10 of the EA.

13. Dale LeSturgeon

Comment: Water Quality

Response: This issue has been addressed in Section IV. B. 10 of the EA.

14. Fran and Gene Pace

Comment: Corps Monitoring/Control of Development and Public Participation/Notification

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3 and No. 7

Comment: Hiking Trail

Response: See Response to Letter No. 12

Comment: Terrorism Concerns

Response: See Response to Letter No. 12

Comment: Water Quality

Response: This issue has been addressed in Section IV. B. 10 of the EA.

Comment: Lakeshore Management Plan

Response: Skiatook Lake was constructed after the Shoreline Management Regulations were established, by law, in 1974. No private boat docks will be permitted on the lake. There are docks in two leased areas on the lake but the facilities are included with the leases for these areas and they cannot be used as private boat docks.

Comment: Indirect and Cumulative Impacts/Increased Fire Potential

Response: These have been addressed in Section IV. C. of the EA.

Comment: Impacts on Flood Control

Response: See Response to Letter No. 10

Comment: Water Rights

Response: Adjacent landowners would be required to obtain water rights from the Oklahoma Water Resources Board, obtain a water supply storage contract and an easement from the Corps, and work with SEDA to restore the affected area to its original condition.

Comment: Boat Ramp/Facilities Access

Response: All public boat ramps will remain under the operation and control of the Corps. All facilities built by the lessee will be open to the public including the lodge, golf course, cabins and marina. The number of boat ramps and parking spaces open to the public will not change under the proposed development plan. The new marina has the potential to increase the number of boats active on the lake at any one time but impacts are anticipated to be minimal. The Lake Patrol Division of the Oklahoma Highway Patrol will remain as the primary law enforcement agency on Skiatook Lake. Access roads on the south side of the lake are going to be upgraded through a joint Osage County/State of Oklahoma effort. All public boat ramps will remain under the operation and control of the COE.

Comment: Fee Structure

Response: See Response to Letter No. 6

Comment: Lease Provisions/Details

Response: The Lease form to be used for the project is the lease form specified by the Corps for leases with government entities. The Leases will be for a 50 year term and will permit specific uses identified in the attached development plan. The timeline for the development of the first phase of the project will be specified in the lease. Individual cabins may be financed by private investors, who may then use the cabins provided that such use would not exceed a total of 60 days per year. SEDA may, in turn, sublease the same to an operator, presently proposed to be StateSource, L.L.C.

15. George and Patricia Smith

Comment: Public Health and Safety

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Indirect and Cumulative Impacts

Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. C. of the EA.

Comment: Water Quality

Response: This issue has been addressed in Section IV. B. 10 of the EA.

Comment: Lakeshore Management Plan

Response: The shoreline is still not available for private exclusive use. All parts of the proposed development will be open for public use. For additional comments, see Response to Letter No. 12.

16. Marva Beair

Comment: Fee Structure

Response: See Response to Letter No. 6

Comment: Competition

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Lease Provisions/Details

Response: See Response to Letter No. 12

17. Mrs. Ralph Hendryx

Comment: Water Quality

Response: This issue has been addressed in Section IV. B. 10 of the EA.

Comment: Public Health and Safety

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Adequate Mitigation for Terrestrial and Aquatic Impacts

Response: See Section V. of the EA.

Comment: Terrestrial and Aquatic Habitat Loss  
Response: See Section V. of the EA.

Comment: Indirect and Cumulative Impacts  
Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. C. of the EA.

18. Kevin Jordan

Comment: Encourages lease approval  
Response: N/A

19. Kenneth and Ruth Shingleton

Comment: Explain National Recreation Lake Initiative  
Response: The National Recreation Lake Initiative came about as a result of the National Recreation Lakes Study Commission (NRLSC). This commission established an Interagency Federal Lakes Recreation Leadership Council that would review and implement recommendations of the NRLSC. One of the recommendations of the NRLSC was formation of the Federal Lakes Recreation Demonstration Program. Pilot lakes under this program (including Skiatook Lake) were approved in late 2000. Agencies included in the pilot lakes program besides the USACE are: US Forest Service; US Bureau of Reclamation; National Park Service; Bureau of Indian Affairs; US Bureau of Land Management; and the US Fish and Wildlife Service. The Tennessee Valley Authority is a part of the program but does not have any of its lakes designated as a pilot lake. The emphasis of the initiative is on cooperative efforts between federal agencies and other interested parties to develop strategies for enhancing recreational experiences of the public at federally managed reservoirs.

Comment: Pre and Post Recreational Use of Lake  
Response: See Response to Letter No. 6

Comment: Competition  
Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Political Involvement  
Response: See Response to Letter No. 10

Comment: Additional Cost to Federal Government  
Response: See Response to Letter No. 10

20. Keri Shingleton, Phd

Comment: Alternatives  
Response: See Section II of the EA. The comprehensive development with each of the development elements is required to accomplish an economic project. The phased

development is intended to assure the incremental satisfaction of the existing recreational demand.

Comment: Ancient Forest/Old Growth

Response: This is addressed in Section III. D. 7 of the EA.

Comment: Outdated Baseline Information

Response: The original master plan for Skiatook Lake has plans for much more recreation facility development than was built. The only additional boats on the lake will be from the marina – no new boat ramps are planned at this time. Proposed development on the lake has been public information since Skiatook’s selection as a demonstration lake in 2000.

Comment: Migratory Bird Impacts

Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. B. 5 of the EA.

Comment: Accumulation of Chemical Constituents in Golf Course

Response: See Section IV. B. 10 of EA.

Comment: 303(d) List

Response: Skiatook Lake is listed on the current (1998) State of Oklahoma 303(d) list of impaired waters for pesticide concerns from unknown sources.

21. Tom Stewart

Comment: Public Health and Safety

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Indirect and Cumulative Impacts

Response: These been addressed in Section IV. C. of the EA.

Comment: Competition

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Water Quality

Response: This issue has been addressed in Section IV. B. 10 of the EA.

22. Melinda Upton

Comment: Competition

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Pre and Post Project Recreational Use of Lake

Response: See Response to Letter No. 6

Comment: Public Health and Safety

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Fee Structure

Response: See Response to Letter No. 6

Comment: Marina Justification/Feasibility/Need

Response: See Appendix G of EA.

Comment: Property Tax

Response: See Response to Letter No. 7

### 23. US Fish and Wildlife Service

Comment: Adequate Mitigation for Terrestrial and Aquatic Impacts

Response: See Section V of the EA.

Comment: Impacts on Hunting and Fishing Opportunities

Response: These have been addressed in Section IV. B. 6 of the EA.

Comment: Ancient Forest/Old Growth

Response: This has been addressed in Section III. D. 7 of the EA.

Comment: Migratory Birds

Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. B. 5 of the EA.

Comment: Water Quality

Response: This issue has been addressed in Section IV. B. 10 of the EA.

Comment: Public Health and Safety

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Indirect and Cumulative Impacts

Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. C. of the EA.

Comment: Marina Justification/ Feasibility/Need

Response: See Appendix G of EA.

Comment: Pre and Post Recreational Use

Response: See Response to Letter No. 6

### 24. Osage Tribal Council

Comment: Public Participation/Notification

Response: See Response to Letter No. 4

25. Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation

Comment: Impact on Lake "Buffer Zone"

Response: The primary development areas of the proposed project are located on substantial tracts of land owned by the Corps. To the extent that the proposed project is located on the "buffer strips" of land owned by the Corps around the Lake, the proposed uses are generally low intensity uses such as trails. The portion of the lake frontage to be occupied by above grade improvement is a few miles while the entire Lake has a shoreline of 160 miles.

Comment: Water Quality

Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. B. 10 of the EA.

Comment: Terrestrial and Aquatic Habitat Loss

Response: See Response to Letter No. 10

Comment: Impacts on Hunting/Fishing

Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. B. 6 of the EA.

Comment: Indirect and Cumulative Impacts

Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. C. of the EA.

Comment: Public Health and Safety

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Lakeshore Management Plan

Response: See Response to Letter No. 14

Comment: Explain National Recreation Lake Initiative

Response: See Response to Letter No. 19

26. Bessie Baldwin

Comment: Encourages lease approval

Response: N/A

27. Richard Barton

Comment: SEDA-Legal Entity

Response: See Response to Letter No. 12

Comment: Public Health and Safety

Response: See Response to Letter No. 3

Comment: Boat /Facilities Access Ramp

Response: See Response to Letter No. 12

Comment: Indirect and Cumulative Impacts  
Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. C. of the EA.

Comment: Corps Monitoring/Control of Development  
Response: See Response to Letter No. 7.

Comment: Request for review period time extension  
Response: There will no extension to the public review time period.

28. Don Billups

Comment: Encourages lease approval  
Response: N/A

29. April Boness

Comment: Encourages lease approval  
Response: N/A

30. Robert Boyd

Comment: Encourages lease approval  
Response: N/A

31. Randy Davis

Comment: Encourages lease approval  
Response: N/A

32. Dr. Stanley Diehl

Comment: Encourages lease approval  
Response: N/A

33. Norma H. Eagleton

Comment: Generic NEPA Discussion  
Response: The Environmental Assessment is adequate. 33 CFR § 230 and 40 CFR § 1500 were followed in the preparation of the Environmental Assessment. The Environmental Assessment is a brief document that provides the District Engineer sufficient information on the potential environmental effects of the proposed action to determine whether to prepare a FONSI (Finding of No Significant Impact) or an EIS (Environmental Impact Statement). No special format is required. The Environmental Assessment is to be a concise document that provides for a meaningful review and decision. 33 CFR § 230.10 The Draft Environmental Assessment was published for

public review to concerned agencies, organizations and the interested public. Public comments were received and are addressed in the Environmental Assessment. NEPA compliance for an Environmental Assessment requires that the document demonstrate that the Agency took a “hard look” at the environmental issues and exercised the appropriate discretion. This “hard look” has been accomplished.

Comment: Lakeshore Management Plan  
Response: See Response to Letter No. 14

34. Patty Eaton

Comment: Generic NEPA Discussion  
Response: The Environmental Assessment is adequate. 33 CFR § 230 and 40 CFR § 1500 were followed in the preparation of the Environmental Assessment. The Environmental Assessment is a brief document that provides the District Engineer sufficient information on the potential environmental effects of the proposed action to determine whether to prepare a FONSI (Finding of No Significant Impact) or an EIS (Environmental Impact Statement). No special format is required. The Environmental Assessment is to be a concise document that provides for a meaningful review and decision. 33 CFR § 230.10 The Draft Environmental Assessment was published for public review to concerned agencies, organizations and the interested public. Public comments were received and are addressed in the Environmental Assessment. NEPA compliance for an Environmental Assessment requires that the document demonstrate that the Agency took a “hard look” at the environmental issues and exercised the appropriate discretion. This “hard look” has been accomplished.

Comment: Indirect and Cumulative Impacts  
Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. C. of the EA.

Comment: Property Tax Issues  
Response: See Response to Letter No. 8

Comment: Water Quality  
Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. B. 10 of the EA.

Comment: Adequate Mitigation for Terrestrial and Aquatic Impacts  
Response: See Response to Letter No. 18

Comment: Alternatives  
Response: See Response to Letter No. 21

Comment: Pre and Post Project Recreational Use of Lake  
Response: See Response to Letter No. 6

35. Steve Edwards

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

36. Gary Harkreader

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

37. Derik Hendrix

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

38. Joyce Jech

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

39. Renaye Johnston

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

40. Albert Klein

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

41. Teresa Lusk

Comment: Indirect and Cumulative Impacts

Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. C. of the EA.

Comment: Water Rights

Response: See Response to Letter No. 12

42. Judy Martens

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

43. LeRoy Parno

Comment: Project Abandonment/Restoration if Project Fails

Response: See Response to Letter No. 10

Comment: Indirect and Cumulative Impacts

Response: This has been addressed in Section IV. C. of the EA.

44. Angela Perez

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

45. Jack and Jami Porter

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

46. Molly Reede

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

47. Mark Schell

Comment: Public Use of Corps Property

Response: The area in question is proposed to be re-zoned from low-density recreation to recreation intensive use. The impacts are addressed in Section IV. B. of the EA.

48. Sharon Shearer

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

49. David Smith

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

50. Brad Swan

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

51. Cliff Taylor

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

52. Lee Vertrees

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

53. Pam Williams

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

54. Mike Willis

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

55. Ronny Wilson

Comment: Encourages approval of lease

Response: N/A

---

The following public comments were received after the end of the public comment period and are not addressed in this document.

56. William Clark

57. Cheryl and Norman Davis

58. Don England

59. Joe Jolly

60. Steve Moyer

61. Rep. Larry Rice

62. Randy Robinson

63. Roger Tomlinson

64. Mitch Adwon

65. Jim and Sharon Burton
66. Hal Hoppy Hopkins
67. Dustin Huff
68. Rick Huff
69. Roger Sutterfield
70. Gary L. Forbes, Jr.
71. Tom Matthews
72. Nona Roach
73. David and Debbie Kendall
74. Preston Hale