CONSTRUCTION QUALITY MANAGEMENT FOR CONTRACTORS

Student Study Guide





Revised 2024

Table of Contents

Module 1: INTRODUCTION	4
Submodule 1.1: What is Construction Quality Management (CQM)?	4
EXERCISE 1.1	9
Submodule 1.2: Contractor Quality Control	. 10
EXERCISE 1.2	. 12
Submodule 1.3: Contractor and Government Responsibilities	. 13
EXERCISE 1.3	. 16
Module 2: CONTRACTOR'S REVIEW	. 17
EXERCISE 2	. 20
Module 3: QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLANNING	. 25
Submodule 3.1: Purpose and Components	. 25
EXERCISE 3.1	. 31
Submodule 3.2: Review and Acceptance	. 32
EXERCISE 3.2	. 34
Module 4: POST-AWARD ORIENTATION (PRECONSTRUCTION CONFERENCES AND THE COORDINATION MEETING (MUTUAL UNDERSTANDING MEETING)	35
EXERCISE 4	
Module 5: SUBMITTALS	39
EXERCISE 5	. 45
Module 6: QUALITY MANAGEMENT FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS	50
Submodule 6.1: Introduction	. 50
EXERCISE 6.1	. 52
Submodule 6.2: Three-Phase Control System	. 53
EXERCISE 6.2	. 57
Submodule 6.3: Documentation	. 62
EXERCISE 6.3	. 65
Submodule 6.4: Testing	. 67
EXERCISE 6.4	. 69
Submodule 6.5: Completion of Work	. 70
EXERCISE 6.5	. 72
Module 7: MAKING THE SYSTEM WORK	. 73

EXERCISE 7	77
Module 8: RESIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS 3.0) – CONTRACTOR MODE (CM) AND GOVERNMENT MODE (GM)	
Submodule 8.1: Introduction and Overview	81
EXERCISE 8.1	87
Submodule 8.2: Quality Control Components	88
EXERCISE 8.2	90
Module 9: NAVFAC's ELECTRONIC CONSTRUCTION AND FACILITY SUPPORT CONTRACT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (eCMS)	91
EXERCISE 9	100
Appendix A Components of CQC	101
Appendix B Example QC Plan USACE	104
Appendix C Preconstruction Meeting Agenda	130
Appendix D Coordination Meeting or Mutual Understanding Meeting Agenda	131
Appendix E "What Right Looks Like"	133

This manual is a basic guide to USACE/NAVFAC Construction Quality Management. Contract requirements take precedence over any guidance contained within this manual or stated by the instructor(s).

Module 1: INTRODUCTION

Submodule 1.1: What is Construction Quality Management (CQM)?

"PROACTIVE PREVENTION vs. REACTIVE INSPECTION"

Objectives: After completing this submodule, you will be able to:

- State the purpose of Construction Quality Management.
- Discuss the reasoning behind the USACE/NAVFAC policy on CQM.
- Discuss various characteristics that are specific to the construction industry.
- Define Contractor Quality Control (CQC).
- Define Government Quality Assurance (QA).

Introduction and Instructional Procedures: This training is presented as a result of partnering efforts with the Associated Builders and Contractors (ABC), Associated General Contractors (AGC), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), and the Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC). This is appropriate as Construction Quality Management is a partnering effort between the Government and the contractor. The purpose of this training is to familiarize all quality control personnel, and other contractor management personnel, with CQM policies, requirements, and procedures. In addition to the slideshow portions, this training package includes this Study Guide and pertinent classroom exercises provided by your Facilitator. As we proceed through the training, the broader and more general portions of the information will be presented on power point slides. At the end of each segment (module or submodule), the Facilitator will stop the slideshow and give you any necessary detailed information. Then, you should read the text for that submodule and proceed to the related discussions and exercises.

Instructional Content: The content of this training package will include, in Module 1, an introduction covering the broad aspects of CQM, including its definition; discussions of quality control procedures and benefits; the characteristics of the construction industry and the responsibilities of the Government and the contractor. In Modules 2 through 6, the various reviews, plans, conferences, reports, and management requirements are described. In Module 7, the information in the first six modules will be integrated into a discussion of the ways and means of making the CQM system work effectively so that the level of quality required in the USACE' and NAVFAC's worldwide construction program is achieved. Module 8, is an overview of the Resident Management System (RMS)..to be presented at USACE sponsored courses. Module 9, covers NAVFAC's Electronic Construction and Facility Support Contract Management System (eCMS), and will be presented at NAVFAC

sponsored courses.

History of Construction Quality Management: In 1961 a new clause containing but two sentences began appearing in Department of Defense (DoD) solicitations. These same two sentences can still be found today in the Contract Clause entitled "Inspection of Construction" [subparagraph (b)]. These sentences require a contractor to be responsible for achieving and documenting contract quality. By 1968 the Construction Quality Management system had grown into a loose structured process varying from field office to field office in which more paragraphs were placed into the contract defining specific items that were to be accomplished to better manage the task. Most often, in these early years, there were a wide variety of responses on how to manage quality into the iob. USACE and NAVFAC were faced with something of a balancing act. The contractor was either given great latitude in organizing the effort to get quality or given specific expectations and processes. Over the years, USACE and NAVFAC have tried many variations and made some very specific choices. With the involvement of industry representatives, including the AGC, it was recognized that the relatively structured method used today was the preferred contract method. The system has some very specific processes, these include the threephases of control system, formal deficiency /rework items tracking systems, and well-defined submittals. On many jobs, the USACE and NAVFAC specify the contractor's manpower quantity and qualifications. And, of course, this training for contractor personnel is now a contract requirement. Keep in mind that these choices are not free -- there is a cost for them and by putting them into the job, the USACE and NAVFAC have made a choice from a spectrum of possibilities. By entering a USACE or NAVFAC contract, the contractor has agreed to follow the chosen methods.

Construction Quality Management: CQM is the performance of tasks, which ensure that construction is performed according to plans and specifications, on time, within a defined budget, and a safe work environment. For purposes of this training, guality is defined as conformance to properly developed requirements. For a construction project, quality begins with requirements carefully developed, reviewed for adherence to existing guidance, and ultimately reflected in criteria and design documents which accurately address these needs. Therefore, the designer establishes the quality standards and the contractor, in building to the quality standards in the plans and specifications, controls the quality of the work. The purpose of CQM is the Government's efforts, separate from, but in coordination and cooperation with the contractor, assure that the quality set by the plans and specifications is achieved. CQM is the combined effort of the contractor and the Government. The contractor has primary responsibility for producing construction through compliance with plans, specifications, and accepted standards of the industry. CQM, if used as outlined in this course, enables contractor and Government personnel to be proactive and, thereby, prevent mishaps and deficiencies from occurring. Continuing to work in a

reactive mode and relying on inspection to achieve required quality of product means that CQM is either not understood or that the philosophy has not been adopted.

Contractor Quality Control: The primary function of contractor quality control (CQC) is to assure that the completed project meets all quality requirements of the contract. To guide the contractor in this task, a CQC plan must be prepared to ensure that the required standards of quality construction are met. In the CQC plan, the contractor defines the procedures to manage and control not only the prime contractor's, but also all subcontractors' and suppliers', activities so that the completed project complies with contract requirements. A list of the Components of CQC has been provided in Appendix A.

Government Quality Assurance: Quality Assurance (QA) involves the means by which the Government protects its interests. Through reviews, inspections, and tests, the Government assures that CQC is working effectively, and that the product complies with the quality established by the contract.

USACE and NAVFAC's CQM System: (Engineer Regulation) <u>ER1180-1-6</u> and NAVFAC's <u>P-445</u>, and other references provide guidance to USACE and NAVFAC personnel in performing effective CQM in the field. While these regulations provide minimum requirements, each project must be tailored to suit its specific conditions and requirements. These references are readily available on-line.

The Benefits of CQM: Both the contractor and the Government must be interested in effective CQM. The benefits to the Government are many: work is performed according to plans and specifications, on time, within a defined budget, easily maintained, and a safe work environment. This can be summarized as "Getting our money's worth!" The benefits to the contractor are increased profit and production, better communication, planning, improved organizational skills, and outstanding performance evaluations to obtain future contracts.

Characteristics of the Construction Industry: The construction industry has become highly specialized because of the changing market. Increased technology and regulation have resulted in increasing numbers of specialty contractors (such as general building, heavy construction, and special trade contractors) that make coordination and management more difficult for the general contractor and complicates both CQC and QA.

Whether large or small, specialized or general, success for all contractors is based on their ability to:

- Manage personnel
- Control costs
- Finance work
- Estimate jobs
- Schedule the work
- Manage cash flow
- Manage an effective safety program
- Maintain an effective quality control system

\$1.29 trillion was spent in the U.S. on construction in 2019. Over 80% of all construction companies are small firms that gross less than \$500,000 annually. For every 1,000 firms in operation, 110 to 130 firms enter the field each year. A similar number leave the field each year. It is a fact that the rates of entry and failure are among the highest of all industries. Good quality management supports a better business result for contractors and stakeholders.

Construction projects are difficult to manage because:

- Construction projects are unique by nature, making standardization difficult
- Construction operations involve many skills that are nonrepetitive and do not lend themselves to an assembly line approach
- Construction projects are, to a large degree, dependent upon environmental conditions which are beyond the contractor's control
- Subject to varied regulations from numerous government agencies

For the contractor, adequate technical performance is not enough to ensure profit. There simply is too much competition and too little profit. Construction contracting is a very high risk, volatile business. To run a successful and profitable business, contractors must employ effective management.

Current Trends: New government regulations will impose more restrictive requirements, especially in the areas of environmental concerns, occupational health and safety, and employment.

There will be a greater degree of influence from the client/customer, to include their involvement in project design and construction, and the requirement to assure full documentation and timely response to all comments from them.

Electronic management tools such as the Resident Management System (RMS) and NAVFAC's eCMS system will continue to advance. Additionally, Building Information Systems (BIM) and automated project management/Construction Management systems will become highly integrated with construction schedules and workflows.

Partnering and risk management, involving all stakeholders, are established tools for doing business.

Conclusion: The construction industry will continue to be presented with complex, difficult challenges. To face the increasing challenges, we must have the best tools and properly utilize them. Even with a sound system structure, CQM requires the combined efforts of QC personnel and QA personnel to achieve our shared goals – a safe work environment, quality construction, built on time and within budget. The traditional, adversarial roles of Government versus contractor must be abandoned in favor of success through joint implementation of an effective construction quality management system. The CQM system presented here will, with our joint efforts, always be successful in providing desired quality.

Module 1

EXERCISE 1.1

- 1. In construction, what establishes the quality requirements?
- 2. What is the purpose of CQM?
- 3. Define CQM.
- 4. What are the two principal areas of CQM activity? Define each.
- 5. What are the benefits of CQM to the contractor? To the Government?
- 6. What two factors have caused the construction industry to become highly specialized?
- 7. Why are construction projects difficult to manage?
- 8. What factors will influence both the Government and the construction industry in the future?

Submodule 1.2: Contractor Quality Control

Objectives: After completing this submodule, you will be able to:

- Differentiate between "inspection" and "control."
- Describe, in general, the contractor's and the Government's responsibilities in CQM.
- Describe the benefits of CQC to the contractor, the Government, and the client/customer.

Control Versus Inspection: The contractor has the contractual responsibilities to control construction quality and inspect the work. These are two distinct processes. Control is a continual system of planning future activities. Inspection is the process by which ongoing and completed work is examined. Inspection is ongoing or "after-the-fact" while control is "preventive." The objectives of control are to ensure that the contractor is adequately prepared to begin a phase of work, to eliminate deficiencies, and to follow through in accomplishing the work in accordance with the contract. The objective of inspection is to ensure that the work was accomplished in accordance with contract provisions. The control process is sometimes neglected. This course will emphasize the control aspects of the contractor's management system.

Responsibilities: By the contract, the responsibility for quality control is vested in the contractor. Historically, the construction industry accepted a system of control in which the contracting agency or owner continually advised the contractor on what was correct, what was wrong, and what remained to be done to comply with the contract. This not only restricted contractors and burdened contracting agencies and owners, but it placed the responsibility for control of construction quality with the contracting agency or owner. Under the Construction Quality Management system, QC responsibility now belongs with the contractor. Government QA personnel are responsible for periodically verifying that the contractor's system of quality control is working effectively, and that construction complies with contract requirements. In doing this, USACE and NAVFAC are performing quality assurance, not assuming responsibility for quality control.

Benefits to the Contractor: Effective CQC will greatly reduce the largest unnecessary cost to the contractor--the tear out and replacement cost stemming from deficient workmanship and materials. An effective CQC program causes work to be done correctly the first time. The contractor benefits from earlier completion, reduced field overhead costs, and the ability to do a greater volume of business. Reduced costs result in greater profits for the contractor. High quality performance improves the reputation and image of the contractor leading to possible future contracts. Since safety is an integral part of CQC, the

contractor benefits by experiencing less lost-time and fewer insurance claims, which result in greater profit. Contractor personnel take pride in delivery of a quality product. While this benefit cannot be measured quantitatively, it is a real and very important benefit. Effective CQC may, at times, warrant an above satisfactory CPARS evaluation allowing the contractor to have a more competitive position when bidding on future government contracts.

Benefits to the Government. Manpower is more effectively used, which helps the contract administration offices to maintain effective operations in a time of limited resources. Effective CQC results in fewer deficiencies and corrective efforts, which may lead to an earlier completion since there is a reduction in corrective work by contractor forces. Public relations and client/customer satisfaction are improved when projects are completed on time. As with contractor personnel, Government personnel take pride in the delivery of a quality product. Cost and time growth are minimized.

Benefits to the Stakeholder: Effective CQC can be simply stated--a quality product delivered safely, on time, and within the budget.

Presenting the Program: It is the responsibility of both the Government and the contractor to develop and promote the CQC program. This effort in "partnering" will be a much more pleasant experience than the traditional use of enforcement to ensure that a quality product is delivered.

EXERCISE 1.2

- 1. What is the difference between INSPECTION and CONTROL?
- 2. Who has contractual responsibility for quality control?
- 3. Is the following statement TRUE or FALSE: "CQC is principally concerned with inspection?" Explain.
- 4. How does the contractor benefit from effective CQC?
- 5. Name the benefits of effective CQC that accrue to the Government.

Submodule 1.3: Contractor and Government Responsibilities

Objectives: After completing this submodule, you will be able to:

- Discuss the specific responsibilities of:
 - Contractor personnel engaged in CQC.
 - Government personnel engaged in QA.
- Discuss how the responsibilities of contractor and Government personnel interrelate and are mutually supportive.
- Discuss partnering relationships.

Quality Control Personnel: As stated previously, CQC is a contractor responsibility. The role and responsibilities of the contractor in CQC are clearly specified in the contract documents. The contractor is required to place a competent representative, the QC Manager, on the site to oversee the CQC system. The QC Manager must have full written authority to act for the contractor on all CQC matters.

QC Manager's responsibilities per the specification include but are not limited to:

- Controlling the quality specified in the plans and specifications,
- developing and maintaining an effective CQC system,
- stopping work,
- performance of all control activities and tests, and
- preparation of acceptable documentation of CQC activities.

Contractor personnel must remember that **only the Contracting Officer has the authority to change the contract**. Therefore, all communication concerning contract changes must be with the Contracting Officer and/or an authorized representative of the Contracting Officer. No directions concerning the project work can be accepted from a third party, including representatives of the facility user or of the base, or post.

The Government: The role and responsibilities of the contractor in CQC are clearly specified in the contract documents. The roles and responsibilities of Government QA personnel are distinct. They are required to assure that the specified standard of workmanship with the specified materials and within the limits of the contract are provided. Further, they must require the contractor to maintain the quality specified in the plans and specifications from the very beginning. Another responsibility of QA personnel is to conduct onsite business only with the contractor's QC Manager/superintendent. They should not deal directly with subcontractors and individual craftspeople but should coordinate through the prime contractor.

QA personnel are trained to observe all activities of the CQC staff and to recommend to the Contracting Officer required changes in the CQC organization and/or system, if the contract requirements are not being met.

Communications: Most contractors want to build a quality product within the terms of the contract, as they perceive them. However, it is critical that the contractor and the Government interpret the plans and specifications in the same way. This requires clear and effective communication between Government and contractor. This is the very heart of the Construction Quality Management program and is dependent on cooperation. QA personnel must maintain an honest, candid, professional attitude; the contractor must respond in the same manner.

Partnering: Partnering is a long-term commitment between two or more organizations for the purpose of achieving specific business objectives by maximizing the effectiveness of each participant's resources. Partnering relationships are based upon trust, dedication to common goals, understanding and assistance to reach each other's individual expectations and values. Partnering is not a legally binding relationship. Rather it is a commitment and agreement between the parties to:

- Remove organizational impediments to open communication within the team.
- Provide open and complete access to information (except information specifically excluded by law, regulation, or ethical requirements).
- Empower the working level staff to resolve as many issues as possible.
- Reach decisions by consensus as much as possible and when consensus is not possible, achieve resolution in a timely manner using an agreed upon process for resolving disagreements.
- Take joint responsibility for maintaining and nurturing the partnering relationship.

Partnering should not be interpreted to open the door to the compromise of contract requirements established in the plans and specifications. The quality of the project is established by those requirements and the contractor is bound to provide the level of quality specified. Partnering is entered into either formally or informally. A formally partnered job (also referred to as facilitated partnering) requires a trained, independent facilitator. Informally partnered jobs (also referred to as team partnering) are those where there is no independent facilitator, but the parties meet using a team approach with a mutually determined agenda and the team reaches agreement on goals and procedures. In either case, a written partnering charter is developed and signed by all stakeholders. The result is the development of trust and effective communications.

Summary: Effective Construction Quality Management requires the complete cooperation of the contractor and the Government. When this partnership works effectively, the project will run smoothly and efficiently. The contractor improves the organization's profit margin and the product will satisfy the client/customer.

EXERCISE 1.3

- 1. What is the role and responsibilities of the contractor in CQC?
- 2. What are the responsibilities of the contractor's QC Manager?
- 3. What are the QA responsibilities of the Government?
- 4. Name the items upon which partnering relationships are based.

Module 2: CONTRACTOR'S REVIEW

Objectives: After completing this module, you will be able to:

- State the contractor's responsibilities for reviewing contract plans and specifications.
- Describe the benefit of proper layout drawings.
- State the importance of requesting clarifications from the Government.
- Discuss the need for review of design extensions, designs for design-build projects, and designs for value engineering change proposals.
- Understand the process for requesting clarifications from the contractor's design team on design-build projects.

Review Contract Plans and Specifications: Contract clause, <u>FAR 52.236-21</u> Specifications and Drawings for Construction, requires the contractor to review the contract plans and specifications and request clarification where necessary. The term "Request for Information (RFI)", is typically used to ask for clarification of the contract. The Navy term "Request for Variance (RFV)" is typically used to ask for a variance from a contract requirement. Examples of items to be reviewed include, but are not limited to:

- Site conditions and restraints: Check for proper utility interface with existing facilities. Verify location of utilities in the facility, waste disposal, site location, site survey control point, etc.
- Proper allowance for maintenance space and access: The contractor is required, by the contract, to prepare layout drawings of equipment to assure that adequate maintenance access has been provided. The importance of the CQC participation and assurance of compliance with this requirement is critical to proper coordination. This will avoid many potentially costly conflicts.
- Conflicts and discrepancies between plans and specifications.
- Conflicts and discrepancies between disciplines (architectural, structural, mechanical, electrical and plumbing).
- Permitting and code requirements.
- Extensions of Design: Many contracts contain requirements for the contractor to provide designs such as pre-engineered metal buildings, fire alarm and protection systems, cathodic protection, etc. It becomes critical that the contractor designs are coordinated with all other aspects of the project so that proper interfaces are maintained.
- Coordination drawing review is essential to assure alignment of subcontractor scopes and responsibilities

These examples are not meant to be all inclusive but merely to point out the type of situations that can lead to added costs to both the contractor and the government if proper reviews are not performed by CQC personnel.

Review Design-Build Plans and Specifications: For Design-Build contracts the QC manager in association with the Design Quality Control Manager (DQCM) is responsible for the review of products produced by the contractor's designer of record. In this type of contract, the contractor's QC efforts must include design quality control. The QC plan must include details of reviews to be implemented to ensure that the design will comply with the criteria provided as well as the quality defined in the Government's Request For Proposal (RFP). The DQCM is not the same person as the QC Manager. Refer to the specifications for details. Refer to module 1 for requirements of the DQCM. Refer to module 5 for Design-Build submittal types.

The QC Manager must be in place for the design phase of design-build contracts and must take an active role in the review and coordination of the design, to include, but not be limited to constructability, operability, environmental, and sustainability review of all drawings and specifications, coordination between the different disciplines and trades to prevent any interferences between different components, coordination with suppliers, selection of materials and equipment to assure utilities connectivity and physically fitting into provided spaces, etc. The QC Manager is not the same person as the DQCM. Refer to specifications for the details. Refer to module 5 for Design-Build submittal types.

Specification 01 45 00.00 Contractor Quality Control includes specific requirements for a design quality control plan (DQCP) which will be a part of the overall Quality Control Plan. Key elements of the DQCP include:

- Independent Technical Review of all design documents
- Design schedule integrated with master project schedule to include review and coordination periods
- Design Quality Control Manager who is a competent engineer/architect (and reports to contractor's quality control site manager (CQCSM))
- Government acceptance of DQCP is required prior to commencement of design

Extension of Design: Where extensions of the design are required from subcontractors (structural steel details, concrete reinforcement drawings, etc.) subcontractors, or suppliers they must be coordinated with other activities. This coordination review is performed with other contractually required submittal reviews.

RFI Process: The contractor should include procedures in the QC plan for an RFI process between the contractor and the government. Submit RFIs thru the

Government's construction management system (RMS for USACE or eCMS for Navy) The questions should be specific and clearly presented. An effective RFI process will include the following factors:

- Review of all applicable contract documents.
- Coordination with affected sub-contractors and suppliers.
- Clear and succinct problem statement.
- Suggested solution;
- Timeframe that a response is required in order to minimize cost and/or schedule impacts.

When a subcontractor or supplier submits an RFI, the prime contractor must review the request and coordinate it among their offices and with other firms. Under no circumstance should the RFI be passed to the Government without this coordination being accomplished.

Design Variation Clarification Request (DVCR) Process: For design-build contracts the contractor's designer of record (DOR) must answer design related questions or clarifications. In this way the DOR provides an enhanced understanding of the designer's intent to ensure the project is constructed in accordance with the design. These internal communications between the contractor and the prime design firm and are typically referred to as DVCRs or a similar term. The DCVRs will be coordinated thru the Design Quality Control Manager. If the DVCR identifies the need for information from the government then an RFI (as discussed in paragraph C. above) should be submitted

Value Engineering Change Proposal (VECP): The Government's value engineering program is based on a partnering philosophy. It recognizes that the Government and the contractor share common goals and that, by working together in a spirit of cooperation, we can produce a quality facility while saving the taxpayer money. The QC Manager should review any VECP to assure proper coordination with all affected elements of the project prior to submission to the government. If the government accepts the VECP, the QC Manager must ensure that changes are discussed in the control meetings for all other applicable work to assure full benefit of the savings is achieved. Refer to EP 11-1-4 for additional information on VECPs.

EXERCISE 2

- 1. Name three instances of contractor extensions of designs.
- Name some possible areas that must be addressed during the contractor's coordination review of the contract plans and specifications in a designbid-build contract.
- 3. What are the responsibilities of the QC Manager during the design phase of a design-build project and what must the Design Quality Control Plan include?
- 4. In a design-build contract when should an RFI be used, when should a DVCR be used?
- 5. What are the key elements of an effective RFI?
- 6. What are the key elements of an effective RFV?
- 7. Review RFI examples 1 thru 4 to determine which RFIs fall into the following categories.
 - a) Contractor asks the question in a professional manner and includes recommendations for the government
 - b) Government does not answer well
 - c) Contractor has not clearly asked the question and needs to resubmit it in greater detail in order to get the actual issue across
 - d) Contractor asks a nonsensical question

RFI Example 1

Date Received: October 15, 2018 Date Answered: October 25, 2018

RFI SUBJECT: Secure Area Sprinkler Pipe Hanger Clarification

Information Requested: Per original fire sprinkler design, the sprinkler mains and branch lines are both routed high within the structural bar joist space. All the sprinkler main piping and branch line piping within the secure area 'must' be hung and braced off the top chord of the structural bar joists. Per Design Drawings, sheets A-410 and A-500 (attached) show two layers of 5/8" GWB being attached to furring at the roof level, covering the top chord of the structural bar joists. Per sprinkler design drawings, Top Beam Clamps with retaining straps will be used for sprinkler pipe hangers, and Tolco Fig. 828 Universal Sway Brace Attachment will be utilized to brace the main piping. Please acknowledge that this is acceptable, and/or provide additional clarification as to how the sprinkler pipe is to be hung and braced within the secure area.

Government Response to Contractor:

Since this is a design-build contract the designer of record should be addressing and providing a recommended solution for this question. Have the designer of record review and respond to this RFI, then resubmit if there is additional guidance or clarification needed from the Government.

The following is presented for your consideration:

Regarding piping above the false ceiling, everyone agreed that if only structural steel was above the "false ceiling" the walls and ceiling could be sealed so the false lid was acceptable. With the introduction of the fire suppression transiting the space above, inspection hatches/access panels will have to be installed on the secured side in each SA room (with the hard lid). Motion sensors may also be required. Please be advised EVERYONE prefers no piping/nothing be installed above the ceiling.

For any penetrations through sound walls in the secure areas, these will need to be acoustically treated."

RFI Example 2

Date Received: April 17, 2020 Date Answered: April 30, 2020

RFI SUBJECT: Mechanical Identification and Valve Tagging

Information Requested: Please see the attached RFI from the Plumbing and Heating subcontractor for direction on mechanical identification and valve tagging.

Contractor Attachments: RFI-0284, RFI-027 and letter from subcontractor

Government Response to Contractor:

The current installation does not meet contract documents.

22 00 00 Plumbing, General Purpose

3.6.2 Pipe Color Code Marking, "Color code marking of piping shall be as specified in Section 09 90 00 Paints and Coatings."

23 57 10.00 10 Forced Hot Water Heating Systems Using Water and Steam Heat Exchangers (Glycol System)

3.3 Color Code Marking and Field Painting, "Color code marking, field painting of exposed pipe, and field painting of factory primed equipment shall be as specified in Section 09 90 00 Paints and coatings."

09 90 00 Paints and Coatings

3.8 Piping Identification, "Piping Identification shall be as specified in Division 22 and Division 23."

09 90 00 Submittal Item 1, Piping Identification was never submitted on nor was an RFI written about

23 00 00 Air Supply, Distribution, Ventilation, and Exhaust Systems

1.2.2 Service Labeling, "Label and arrow piping in accordance with the following:

a. Each point of entry and exit of pipe passing through walls.

b. Each Change in direction, i.e., elbows, tees.

c. In congested or hidden areas and at all access panels at each point required to clarify service or indicated hazard.

d. In long straight runs, locate labels at distances within eyesight of each other not to exceed 75 feet. All labels shall be visible and legible from

the primary service and operating area.

GRAPH FOR LETTERING SIZE PER PIPE DIAMETER

1.2.3 Color Coding

Color coding of all piping systems shall be in accordance with MIL-STD-101.

Although 23 00 00 is Air Supply, Distribution, Ventilation, and Exhaust Systems, it covers the piping that services HVAC related equipment.

The RFP Pg. 908, Contains 2.5 Mechanical Narrative, 2.5.1 References, Eielson Air Force Base Design Guides

Installation Design Guide HVAC

21. Piping

21.1. Standard color-coded labels (ANSI A13.1) shall be used for all piping at 10' intervals.

21.2. Colored pipe labels shall be printed to indicate the type of fluid carried in the pipe and direction of fluid flow (arrows).

Drawing G-102, Other References

ASME/ANSI A13.1-Scheme for the Identification of Piping Systems

All jobs constructed on installation have required pipe labels/identification.

This information is provided as a clarification under FAR 52.236-21, Specifications and Drawings for Construction, rather than as a change under FAR 52.243-4, Changes. It shall not result in an increase in contract price or duration. If you do not agree, please provide written notice including information to establish and support your position in accordance with FAR 52.243-4, and do not proceed with this work without further direction from the Government.

RFI Example 3

Date Received: December 7, 2018 **Date Answered:** December 17, 2018

SUBJECT OF RFI: Fire Hydrant Access

Information Requested: UFC 3-600-01 allows a maximum of 1,250 g.p.m. to be used from any single hydrant. The "Minimum Fire Flow" demands to the site are approximately 1,500 g.p.m. for an unsprinklered building, and UFC 3-600-01 requires the fire hydrants to be within 350' of all exterior points of the building. Due to the new construction in the surrounding areas, we are looking to confirm there will be access to at least 2 (two) fire hydrants within 350 feet of our building that can provide a combined 1500 g.p.m. minimum.

Government Response to Contractor:

COR/ACO has not approved this government response

There will be at least 2 fire hydrants within 350' of EIE389. Flow tests have not been completed yet and is expected to be complete in July 2019.

One on remodeled Manhole LP-22 and 24 by EIE406 and EIE376.

One on new Manhole LP-35 by EIE379 on the other side of the security fence from EIE389.

This information is provided as a clarification under FAR 52.236-21, Specifications and Drawings for Construction, rather than as a change under FAR 52.243-4, Changes. It shall not result in an increase in contract price or duration. If you do not agree, please provide written notice including information to establish and support your position in accordance with FAR 52.243-4, and do not proceed with this work without further direction from the Government.

RFI Example 4

Date Received:January 21, 2020Date Answered:January 29, 2020

SUBJECT OF RFI: Visual Display / TV Clarification

Information Requested:

EIE 389 Award Vol. 2 Tech. Package 01 10 00 2.7.3 G.3 Digital Display System States "All active equipment, routers, workstations and displays are provided by the Government." This covers the 4 displays adjacent to the serving line. The 2 displays in vestibule 100 and 101 are betterments proposed by the KTR. With the limited space Alcan Builders and Salcha Electric suggest 30" displays mounted at approximately 72" to conceal outlets in vestibule 100 and 101.

(1) Please confirm the type of Digital Display Inputs needed for the CFCI displays.

(2) Does the Gov. concur with this size and mounting height?

Also, the cable tv and power outlets are provided for a wall mounted TV in the office 105 per E-121 in the IFC drawings. The actual TV is not required per the RFP or the Accepted Proposal so it is assumed that this will be GFGI.

(3) Please confirm this assumption.

Backing will be provided below outlets for future mounting purposes in Office 105.

Government Response to Contractor:

To answer the questions of the RFI:

1. The digital inputs should be the same as the 4 GFGI TV's above the serving line (HDMI).

2. The size and height are acceptable.

3. Your assumption is correct; the office TV is GFGI.

However, the Air Force has reviewed this RFI and determined that the preferred path forward would be to delete these two vestibule CFCI TV's and any associated labor or materials that has not been completed at the time of contract modification execution.

This is not a notice to proceed. This matter will be the subject of future RFP.

Module 3: QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLANNING

Submodule 3.1: Purpose and Components

Objectives: After completing this submodule, you will be able to:

- Identify the components of a Quality Assurance (QA) Plan.
- Define the Quality Control (QC) Plan.
- Identify the components of the QC Plan.

The Quality Assurance Plan: The QA Plan is a Government document used as a management tool at all levels of the organization with increasing specificity as it moves from the program to project level. It is required by <u>ER 1180-1-6</u> and by <u>P-445</u>. It is not a contract requirement. The components of a QA Plan are:

- Government staffing requirements.
- Functions of each QA team member.
- Government training requirements.
- Government pre-award activities.
- Definable Features of Work (DFOW) list.
- Government surveillance and testing activities.

The QA Plan ensures that all team members are following the same plan and achieves better coordination of the government's QA activities. Just as important, the contractor will be receiving consistent guidance and will be able to respond to requirements in a more effective manner. For construction quality management to be effective, quality control and quality assurance must be coordinated and complement one another.

Area/resident engineers and Resident Officer in Charge of Construction (ROICC) require QA personnel to become fully aware of the QA Plan as well as the CQC requirements. The DFOW list in the QA Plan will later align with the QC Plan, the schedule and the submittal register. Based upon this knowledge, the groundwork is established for **Government/ contractor partnership**.

The Quality Control Plan: The Contractor's QC Plan is the foundation upon which quality work is based. It is an outline of the planned quality control procedures and is vital to the quality control system. The plan must be comprehensive, detailed, and logical if the contractor's quality control system is to be effective. While experience and knowledge of the construction industry are necessary in developing a good QC Plan, the contractor must consider fully the

specific contract requirements and special factors particular to a project as well. It is pertinent that the QC Manager is the author or co-author of the QC Plan to assure that all quality requirements contained in the contract are included and that the QC Manager is thoroughly familiar with the plan. The contractual requirements for a QC Plan are in specification Section 01 45 00.00 10 (Army) Contractor Quality Control or 01 45 00.00 20 (Navy) Quality Control.

The QC Plan must be received, reviewed, and formally accepted by the Contracting Officer or their representatives before any construction work can begin. In some cases, this requirement can be met by an interim plan. If an interim plan is provided by the contractor, it must include, as a minimum, a general plan for quality control, plus the specifics for the work that is about to begin. A final acceptable plan must be received within the time specified in the contract.

USACE's Resident Management System (RMS) and NAVFAC'S Web-based Construction Management (eCMS) System are data management systems that provide powerful mechanisms to organize and report on all the quality management activities. These activities support the execution of the QC Plan based on the extent of contractor input. For example, RMS includes the capability to produce, manage and store: Definable Features of Work (DFOW); daily reports; activity hazard analyses; deficiencies; and 3-phase inspection checklists. RMS and eCMS are discussed in Modules 8 and 9 respectively.

Quality Control Plan Components. There are differences between USACE and NAVFAC requirements for structuring the QC Plan. For details of the content and format see:

- Army: Specification section 01 45 00.00 10 Quality Control, paragraph 3.2 CONTRACTOR QUALITY CONTROL (CQC) PLAN
- Navy: 01 45 00.00 20 Quality Control paragraph 1.6 QUALITY CONTROL (QC) PLAN

The required contents of the QC Plan are shown below based on the Army specification. Refer to the Navy specifications for additional requirements such as Personnel Matrix, Completion Inspections and Training.

1. Organization:

a. The QC organization must be identified, including a chart showing the organizational structure and lines of authority. The QC System Manager will report to a higher management person, such as Vice President of Construction Division. The QC Manager is separate from the Superintendent and Project Manager, unless allowed in the scope of work. The contractor must provide enough quality control personnel to satisfy all contract requirements. The contractor's quality control staff may vary in size, depending on the work being performed at a2point in time. The personnel of this staff are required to be fully qualified by experience and technical training as required in the specifications to perform their assigned duties. In any case, the contractor must indicate how they intend the staff to meet all requirements. This assures that the contractor has identified needs in advance, is planning to satisfy those needs, and is not overlooking or underestimating requirements.

b. Outside Organizations – Provide a listing of outside organizations such as architectural and consulting engineering firms that will be employed by the contractor and a description of their services.

2. Names, Qualifications, Duties, Responsibilities and Authorities:

- a. Names and Qualifications The names, qualifications, and classification of each member of the contractor's quality control team must be provided. The QC Manager and the Alternate QC Manager must be employees of the prime contractor. This information may be provided in phases, as work progresses; however, the Government must receive and approve the information before an individual begins work. This includes subcontractors and supplier personnel assigned QC duties. Include the CQM course certification for the QC Manager and the Alternate QC Manager as required by the specifications.
- b. Duties, Responsibilities and Authorities of QC Personnel Provide a listing of assigned quality control activities for performance by the prime contractor, subcontractors, offsite fabricators, and suppliers. If the contractor delegates quality control duties, the plan must indicate how they will assure the effectiveness of the quality control efforts. Include a list of duties, responsibilities and authorities of each person in the QC organization.

3. Appointment Letters:

a. Letters signed by an officer of the firm appointing the QC Manager and Alternate QC Manager and stating that they are responsible for implementing and managing the QC program as QC Manager and Alternate QC Manager to implement and manage the three phases of control and their authority to stop work which is not in compliance with the contract. The QC Manager (Assistant QC Manager – on NAVFAC contracts) is responsible for issuing letters of direction to the other QC specialists outlining their duties, authorities, and responsibilities. Include copies of all appointment letters in the QC Plan.

4. Submittals:

a. Submittal Procedures and Initial Submittal Register – A listing of procedures for scheduling and managing submittals, including those of designers of record, consultants, architect-engineers, subcontractors, offsite fabricators, suppliers, and purchasing agents. Include a listing of procedures for reviewing, approving and managing submittals. Provide the name(s) of the person(s) in the QC organization authorized to review and certify submittals prior to approval.

5. Testing:

- a. Testing Plan and Log A testing plan and log that includes the tests required, referenced by the specification paragraph number requiring the test, the frequency, and the person responsible for each test. The specifications require giving advance notice to the Government of the times when tests will be conducted.
- b. Testing Laboratory Information Performance of control testing is to be included in the QC Plan. If a commercial laboratory is to be used, the plan must indicate both the laboratory to be used and the test methods to be employed. Provide testing laboratory information required by the paragraphs entitled "Accreditation Requirements" or "Construction Materials Testing Laboratory Requirements", as applicable (for NAVFAC contracts). If technicians employed by the contractor will be performing the tests, the plan must indicate who will perform specific tests and their qualifications. Specifics relative to test report submissions are to be addressed, including format, content, and consistency of all documentation
- 6. Tracking of Control Phase Inspections, control tests and documentation:
 - a. Procedures for Performing the Three Phases of Control The Three Phases of Control are the core of the Construction Quality Management system. The QC Plan is the means by which the contractor assures themselves that their construction, to include their subcontractors and suppliers, complies with the requirements of the contract plans and specifications. If the project is designbuild, the plan also assures compliance with the RFP. Ensure controls are adequate to cover all construction operations, including both onsite and offsite fabrication, and will be keyed to the construction schedule. The three phases are as follows:
 - i. <u>Preparatory Phase</u>. Perform this phase **prior to beginning** work on each definable feature of work. Use the Preparatory phase checklist in the CQM Student Study Guide as an Agenda, and create the Preparatory Phase Worksheet in RMS 3.0; and send both to the QA prior to the Initial Phase meeting for review/approval. Safety is a consideration.
 - ii. <u>Initial Phase</u>. This phase must be accomplished after a portion of the DFOW is completed. Use the initial phase checklist in the CQM Student Study Guide as an Agenda, and create the Initial Phase Worksheet in RMS 3.0; and send both to the QA prior to the Initial Phase meeting for review/ approval. Safety is a consideration.
 - iii. <u>Follow-Up Phase</u>. Perform daily checks to assure continuing compliance with contract requirements. Safety is a consideration.
- 7. Tracking Deficiencies / Rework 28
 - a. Procedures to Complete Construction Deficiencies/Rework Items A listing of the procedures to identify, record, and track construction

deficiencies/rework items from identification through corrective action. It is noted that this plan must also include design deficiencies/rework items if the contract is a design-build contract

8. Reporting Procedures:

a. Documentation Procedures – Documentation procedures including proposed report formats.

9. Definable Features of Work (DFOW):

- a. List of Definable Features of Work. A DFOW is a task which is separate and distinct from other tasks. The following criteria will help define DFOW:
 - i. Separate quality control requirements.
 - ii. Trades, work crews, or disciplines unique to the task
 - iii. Critical path activity
 - iv. Monitored by a QC specialist or special inspection personnel
 - v. As a minimum, each section of the specifications can be considered as a DFOW. However, there are several conditions that should be considered: 1) more than one definable feature under a section of the specifications; e.g. concrete formwork; rebar placement; and concrete placement, finishing and curing could each be a DFOW yet all or some may fall under a "Cast-in-Place Concrete" specification. 2) Another example is where a DFOW may require discussion across multiple specification sections for example concrete as a DFOW will have embedded items such as conduit and miscellaneous steel fabrications.

10. Coordination of Special Inspections:

a. Coordinate scheduled work with Special Inspections required by Section 01 45 35 SPECIAL INSPECTIONS, the Statement of Special Inspections and the Schedule of Special Inspections.

11. Completion Inspection:

- a. Procedures for Completion Inspection Provisions for the QC Manager to conduct completion inspections of the work and develop a "punch list" of items which do not conform to the contract requirements. Make a second completion inspection to ascertain that all "punch list" items have been corrected and so notify the government. The completion inspections and any "punch list" item corrections will be accomplished within the time stated for completion of the work. The plan must include project completion turnover procedures. These may include:
 - i. Warranty information
 - ii. O&M manuals
 - iii. System operation and sequence verification
 - iv. Final system testing
 - v. Instruction and training procedures
 - vi. Contractor punch-out

- vii. "Punch list" correction and verification
- viii. Pre-final inspection to include the Government
- ix. Final inspection to include the client/customer "punch list"
- x. Turnover of extra materials and spare parts
- xi. Turnover of keys
- xii. Completed as-built drawings

12. Additional Requirements for Design Quality Control plan:

- a. Independent Technical Review As a minimum, all design documents must be technically reviewed by competent, independent reviewers identified in the DQC Plan. The same element that produced the product may not perform the independent technical review (ITR). Correct errors and deficiencies in the design documents prior to submitting them to the Government.
- b. Integrate design schedule into master project schedule Include the design schedule in the master project schedule, showing the sequence of events involved in carrying out the project design tasks within the specific Contract period. This should be at a detailed level of scheduling enough to identify all major design tasks, including those that control the flow of work. Include review and correction periods associated with each item.
- c. Implement the DQC plan by a Design Quality Control Manager who has the responsibility of being cognizant of and assuring that all documents on the project have been coordinated. This individual must be a person who has verifiable engineering or architectural design experience and is a registered professional engineer or architect.

References: Specific QC requirements are found in the construction contract. Although not part of the construction contract, primary references on the QC Plans are (Engineer Pamphlet) <u>EP 715-1-2</u>, "A Guide to Effective Contractor Quality Control." and Naval Facilities Engineering Command's "Effective Quality Control" pamphlet. These pamphlets are an excellent source of information. They are concise and to the point, indicating the areas to be addressed in developing an effective, well-planned contractor quality control system. They are a valuable aid for both Government and contractor personnel in understanding quality control.

EXERCISE 3.1

- 1. Define the Quality Assurance Plan.
- 2. Define a Quality Control Plan.
- 3. Name the components that must be addressed by the Quality Control Plan.
- 4. Name the actions that must be accomplished relative to the QC Plan before construction can begin.
- 5. Name the phases of the "Three-Phase Control," and indicate when each is implemented.

Submodule 3.2: Review and Acceptance

Objectives: After completing this submodule, you will be able to:

- Describe the process used to review the contractor's Quality Control (QC) Plan by comparing it to the requirements of the contract provisions and determining its feasibility.
- State the basic concepts which the government uses to accept or return a QC Plan.

Quality Control Plan Review Participants: There are three individuals who are normally involved in the review of the QC Plan; they are the area/ resident engineer or ROICC/SGE, the project engineer or AROICC/ AREICC, and the onsite QA personnel. One of these individuals is typically designated as the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR)

Two Major Steps in the Review Process:

- Examination of the QC Plan considering the requirements of the specifications.
- Determination of the QC Plan's feasibility. This requires the reviewers to have a good working knowledge of contract requirements.

If problems are discovered, it is necessary to identify those points in the plan that need change or clarification.

Assure Minimum Requirements Are Met:

- Determine that the plan provides adequate control of the DFOWs.
- Examine the proposed QC staffing and organization to ascertain if it complies with contract specifications. Determine if the contractor has provided the names and qualifications (in resume format) of the individual(s) responsible for QC of each DFOW, tests, submittal controls, and reports.
- Check that the level of authority and responsibility delegated to the contractor's QC Manager is clearly defined.
- Assure that the QC Plan:
 - o clearly assigns individual control and test duties,
 - o defines the capacity in which individuals will be working, and
 - indicates what tests will be used.
- Determine that the plan addresses the procedures for processing submittals.
- Check that the plan specifies which contractor (prime, subcontractor, offsite fabricator, or supplier) will be performing what portions of QC.

• Assure that report forms include required features and reporting items.

Acceptance of the QC Plan: If the initial review reveals that changes are necessary; the changes must be made by the contractor before the plan can be accepted. Acceptance of the plan is contingent on satisfactory QC performance once construction is underway. The Government/COR always reserves the right to require necessary changes in the QC Plan and in contractor operations to obtain the specified quality. After the plan has been accepted, if some part of the plan isn't working, the Government may require changes to be made.

Commencement of Construction: Until an interim or final QC Plan is accepted, construction cannot begin.

Changes to the QC Plan: If the contractor wants to make changes in the QC Plan during construction, the Government must be notified in writing. The contractor cannot implement any change until the Government has formally accepted the changes in writing. If deficiencies are occurring, the plan needs to be studied to see if the problem is non-adherence or if revisions should be made to correct shortcomings in the QC Plan.

Distribution: After the QC Plan has been reviewed, changed as necessary, and accepted, copies are distributed to all personnel involved in QC activities. The Government provides copies to onsite QA personnel.

EXERCISE 3.2

Appendix B contains an example of a QC Plan, but it is not complete. Review this plan and comment on how it could be improved to meet the contract requirements.

NOTE: Navy Students - Example of Navy QC Plan is in the Navy Forms Section of the Reference/Glossary.

Module 4: POST-AWARD ORIENTATION (PRECONSTRUCTION CONFERENCES AND THE COORDINATION MEETING (MUTUAL UNDERSTANDING MEETING)

Objectives: After completing this module, you will be able to:

- State the objective of the Post-Award Orientation/Preconstruction Conference.
- State the purposes of the Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting

General: There are three, and possibly four, conferences/meetings held prior to the commencement of physical work. The first covers all aspects of the contract and is called the Post-award Orientation Conference/Preconstruction Conference. The Preconstruction Conference is required by FAR 52.236-26. The second is the Preconstruction Safety Conference required by FAR 52.236-13. The third covers Construction Quality Management and is called the Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting. These meetings are a specific contract requirement. Additional potential meetings may include the QC Plan meeting to clarify requirements for the development of the QC Plan or a schedule meeting focused on development of the project schedule.

The Post-Award Orientation Conference/Preconstruction Conference: The Post-award Orientation Conference/Preconstruction Conference is conducted as soon as possible after contract award and prior to the commencement of any physical work. The objective of the Post-award Orientation Conference/Preconstruction Conference is to review various contract clauses to include, administrative requirements, personnel requirements, safety requirements and procedural matters. The contractor should be oriented with respect to Government procedures and lines of authority for contractual, administrative and construction matters. When possible, the contract Notice to Proceed (NTP) date should be aligned to occur on the date of the conference so as to clearly denote start of the contract's period of performance. This conference is very important because it establishes the ground rules for administering the contract. Sample Preconstruction Meeting Agenda is included in Appendix C.

Contractor quality control and accident prevention while mentioned are not normally discussed in detail at this conference. The subjects are so important they deserve special attention and the personnel attending the Post-award Orientation Conference/Preconstruction Conference may not be involved in the day-to-day on-site activities. The degrees of accident prevention and quality control-related discussion depend largely on the scope and magnitude of the contract and on the individuals from each organization that are present.

This meeting is scheduled, convened and conducted by the Government (Area/Resident Engineer for USACE or ROICC/REICC for Navy). It is a Government responsibility to take detailed minutes of the conference and provide copies to all participants.

The Preconstruction Safety Conference: This meeting is held to review and discuss the contractor's safety program. It should be held after the initial receipt of the contractor's Accident Prevention Plan (APP). To achieve a mutual understanding with the contractor related to their submitted and approved APP.

The Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting: This meeting is scheduled, convened and conducted by the Government. Normally, this is the area, resident, project engineer or the SGE, ROICC/REICC and QA Representative. As with the Preconstruction Conference, this meeting must be held before any physical work begins.

The purposes of the Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting are:

- To achieve a mutual understanding with the contractor of their role in quality control.
- To review the QC Plan with the contractor. The Government must receive and have time to review the QC Plan before the meeting. Acceptance of the plan can be accomplished after the meeting.
- To establish a good working relationship between the Government and the contractor.
- To verify that the contractor fully understands the Quality Control/Assurance contractual Lines of Authority and the processes, policies and procedures for proper communication and documentation.

Personnel, both Government and contractor, who will be directly involved in construction quality management should be present. They will be working together on a day-to-day basis on the quality management aspects of the project, and they need to come to mutual understandings before the project begins. If subcontractors are to be involved in quality control, their responsible personnel should also attend, so that they can receive the information they need "first-hand".

The Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting will normally include a full spectrum of CQM requirements. During the meeting, a mutual understanding of the quality control system details must be developed, including the forms for recording the CQC operations, design activities, control activities,

testing, administration of the system for both onsite and offsite work, and the interrelationship of Contractor's Management and control with the Government's Quality Assurance. A Sample Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting Agenda is included in Appendix D.

It is the Government's responsibility to take detailed minutes of the meeting and provide copies to all participants. It is vital that everything of importance is included in these minutes, since verbal understandings tend to be remembered differently by different individuals. If any disagreement occurs between the government and the contractor, the minutes will prove invaluable. These minutes must be signed by both the contractor and the government.

NOTE: NAVFAC - QC Manager's responsibility to take meeting minutes.

QC Plan Meeting (Navy): The purpose of the QC Plan meeting is to develop a mutual understanding of the QC Plan requirements prior to plan development and submission.

EXERCISE 4

- 1. What is the objective of the Post-award Orientation Conference/Preconstruction Conference?
- 2. Why is the Post-award Orientation Conference/Preconstruction Conference important?
- 3. Who schedules, convenes, and conducts the Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting?
- 4. What are the primary purposes of the Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting?
- 5. Who attends the Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting?
- 6. What are the most important topics of the Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting and how should the CQC Manager prepare for this meeting?

Module 5: SUBMITTALS

Objectives: After completing this module, you will be able to:

- State the purpose of submittal procedures.
- Describe Government responsibilities for submittal review and/or approval.
- Describe contractor responsibilities for the scheduling and control of submittals.
- Understand that the Submittal Register is a tool to regulate the timely flow of materials, or equipment, coming to the job site.
- List the information the contractor must furnish on the submittal control document (ENG Form 4288-R Submittal Register).
- List the information the contractor must furnish on the transmittal form (ENG Form 4025-R).

Purpose. Submittals are required by the contract in order to regulate the timely flow of materials to be incorporated into work. They are necessary to demonstrate that the proposed materials are compliant with the contract. All required submittals must be provided by the contractor in time to allow for the review, approval, procurement, delivery, and performance of the preparatory phase inspection. Submittals are indispensable in assuring and controlling construction quality and must be given the attention required.

General. The Submittal Register, ENG Form 4288-R, identifies required submittals and the required approval authority for each. Approval authorities will vary depending upon the type of contract; i.e. Design-Bid-Build (DBB) or Design-Build (DB). Contract Clause FAR 52.236-21 SPECIFICATIONS AND DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION and Specification Sections 01 33 00 SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES and 01 33 16.00 10 DESIGN DATA (DESIGN AFTER AWARD) include specific definitions and procedures.

A sample ENG Form 4288-R and one that is filled in (extracted from Resident Management System – Contractor Mode) are provided in the sample section of this module.

Submittal Classifications:

Submittal Classifications for Design-Bid-Build Method of Delivery:

- *Government Approved (GA) Submittals.* Government approval is required for submittals that are critical to ensure that the government receives the quality specified in the contract. Submittals which will normally require Government approval are: extensions of design, critical materials, variations, Government

required plans, Schedules, O&M Manuals, As-Built Drawings or those involving equipment whose compatibility with the entire system must be checked.

- For Information Only (FIO) Submittals. All submittals that do not require Government approval are classified as "For Information Only". Examples of FIO submittals include catalog cuts, shop drawings that are not extension of designs, shop drawings that do not contain variations from the contract, material samples for non-critical materials such as sheet metal accessories, or equipment such as small motors.

Submittal Classifications for Design-Build Method of Delivery:

- Designer of Record Approved (DA) Submittals. In D-B contracts, the contractor is responsible for design integrity accomplished through the contractor's DOR. DOR approval is required for all extensions of design; critical materials; any deviations from the solicitation, the accepted proposal, or the completed design; equipment that must be checked for its required compatibility with the entire system; and other items designated by the Contracting Officer to require Designer of Record approval.

- Conformance Review (CR) Submittals. The Government will review DOR design submittals for conformance with the technical requirements of the Request for Proposal (RFP) and the accepted contractor proposal which together form the contract.

- Government Approved (GA) Submittals. The Government will identify all GA designated submittals in the RFP. Government approved submittals should be limited to those items specifically identified as "GA" in the RFP.

- Designer of Record Approval and Conformance Review (DACR) Submittals. Submittals that propose a variation from the accepted design, but not to the contract, require both DOR approval (DA) and completion of a Government conformance review (CR). The required review sequence is that the DOR approval of DA/CR submittals must be accomplished first, followed by completion of a Government conformance review (CR).

- Designer of Record and Government Approval (DA/GA) Submittals. All submittals that propose a variation from the accepted design that also represents a deviation from the contract (RFP and accepted proposal) first require the DOR approval (DA) followed by Government approval (GA).

- For Information Only (FIO) Submittals. All submittals not requiring DOR approval (DA) or Government approval (GA) will be classified as "For Information Only" (FIO).

Use of ENG Form 4025-R: The contractor must use ENG Form 4025-R, "Transmittal of Shop Drawings, Equipment Data, Material Samples, or Manufacturer's Certificates of Compliance," for transmitting submittals. The Contractor has ability to create the ENG Form 4025-R transmittal in RMS. A completed ENG Form 4025-R is provided in the sample section of this module. Instructions for use are contained on the back of the form.

Typical submittals are categorized into the following submittal identifications (SD):

SD-01 Preconstruction Submittals

SD-02 Shop Drawings

SD-03 Product Data

SD-04 Samples

SD-05 Design Data

SD-06 Test Reports

SD-07 Certificates

SD-08 Manufacturer's Instructions

SD-09 Manufacturer's Field Reports

SD-10 Operation and Maintenance Data

SD-11 Closeout Submittals

The primary responsibility for overall management and control of submittals lies with the contractor. It is imperative that the contractor's QC Manager reviews all submittals to ensure that all submittals comply with the contract, including FIO submittals.

Contractor Submittal Responsibilities: The contractor must integrate the submittal process into their QC Plan. The contractor must delegate submittal responsibilities to the proper individuals on their staff. Submittals are considered by the Government to be crucial to obtain quality construction. The contractor must assure that onsite management and, for design-build, the designer of record always remains attentive to submittal procedures. QC personnel and designer of record for D-B contracts are responsible for ensuring, through detailed review, that all submittals are in full compliance with the contract.

For Design-Bid-Build contracts the contractor must review the Government prepared Submittal Register and add any needed submittals or subtract unnecessary or repetitive submittals. For design-build projects, the contractor must review the Government prepared Submittal Register and add any needed submittals or subtract unnecessary or repetitive submittals. The designer of record also adds to the submittal register during the design phase.

The contractor must check the submittal schedule requirements against the Network Analysis Schedule (NAS) or other approved construction schedule. The contractor constantly maintains and adjusts dates on the register as required by the contract activities to ensure the document reflects current information. The contractor assures that neither the Preparatory Inspection Meeting, nor any work on site, are permitted to begin without properly approved submittals.

The "Buy American Act - Construction Materials" must be considered in the submittal process because it significantly impacts what will be acceptable on Government projects.

Variations: Variations from contract requirements require Contracting Officer approval pursuant to contract Clause <u>FAR 52.236-21</u> Specifications and Drawings for Construction and will be considered where advantageous to the Government. If the variation is approved, a contract modification will be required prior to proceeding with the work.

Deliver written requests for variation to the Contracting Officer, with documentation of the nature and features of the variation and why the variation is desirable and beneficial to the Government. Include the DOR's written analysis (for D-B contracts) and approval. If lower cost is a benefit, also include an estimate of the cost savings. In addition to documentation required for variations, include the submittals required for the item. All variations must be fully described, identified and justified in the transmittal package. This is explained in specification Section <u>01 33 00</u> and in the instructions on ENG Form 4025-R. If a variation is not identified on the ENG Form 4025-R, the Government may rescind any inadvertent approval.

Note: The variation approval process for DB contracts includes:

- DOR approval
- Government conformance review and approval
- Design, material and equipment submittals prior to or after Government acceptance of the design

Government Submittal Responsibilities: The Government will prepare a list of required submittals. This list will be prepared on a draft submittal register (ENG Form 4288-R) and incorporated into the contract. For design-build contracts, the

Government will prepare a draft submittal register (incorporated into the RFP) that will be later completed by the contractor's DOR as the design progresses.

The Government will only perform cursory checks on FIO submittals, and this will vary dependent upon the type, phase and complexity of the work. As a minimum, the Government will typically perform random QA reviews of approximately 10% of the FIO submittals. More focus will occur with early submittals in order to assure the contractor is achieving a successful start to the work. On some projects the Government may review up to 100% of these submittals. Government QA emphasis will also focus on ensuring the contractor's QC process is effective for FIO submittals at the start of work on each major definable feature of the work. If a Government review of an FIO submittal finds that the submittal does not meet the contract requirements, the Government may disapprove or otherwise require re-submittal, as applicable. If systemic weaknesses are found in the contractor's QC process for FIO submittals, corrective action must be pursued promptly and will warrant additional review of FIO submittals by the Government. The Government will review and approve submittals that are required to be GA. For design-build contracts, the Government will review submittals as listed above, for conformance with the contract which includes the solicitation requirements and the contractor's accepted proposal.

An important aspect of the Government's quality assurance role is to enforce submittal requirements. In the course of the project, if it is determined that the contractor's quality control personnel are not properly satisfying submittal requirements, corrective action will be taken which may include the removal CQC system manager or a claim against the contractor for additional submittal review costs.

Controlling and Scheduling: Incorporate submittal activities into the construction schedule in order that submittal progress can be tracked in conjunction with overall progress. Care must be taken that the schedule accurately reflects the status on the ENG Form 4288-R.

The Resident Management System (RMS), as discussed in Module 8, provides comprehensive tools to automate submittal processing and tracking, particularly when a network analysis schedule (NAS) is used.

- Single entry once data for a submittal item, links(s) to a schedule activity, procurement period and Government review time, etc. is entered into the system, it never needs to be re-described.
- Automatic updates When submittals are linked to the project schedule RMS will update submittal dates after each monthly schedule update process.

- Simplified procurement RMS output documents make procurement, submittal tracking and material control easy for QC personnel.
- Reporting After each schedule progress update (usually monthly), the contractor can generate an updated Submittal Register that accurately portrays when a particular material, or equipment needs to be submitted to the Government; when approval is needed by; and when material, or equipment, is needed on-site. This report will help regulate the timely flow of materials on-site.

The NAS activity code is used only when a network schedule is required and for those submittals that have been included as activities. Regardless of the type of schedule specified, ENG Form 4288-R, "Submittal Register", is used for submittal control and scheduling. (A sample ENG Form 4288-R is provided in the sample section of this module.) Generally, the information required is self-explanatory. However, several items warrant clarification.

- Item Number is to be completed by the contractor.
- The Contractor Schedule Dates Columns should project when submittals will be submitted, when approval is needed, and when the material is needed. This information should be updated every 30 days as required by the specification.
- USACE's RMS will be used for the processing of submittals.
- NAVFAC's eCMS will be used for the processing of submittals.

Design-Bid-Build contracts contain a Designer of Record developed preliminary Submittal Register which includes a list of submittals based on the contract specifications. The Designer of Record for Design-Build contracts is responsible for developing the preliminary Submittal Register. For both contract types, the preliminary list will not be all inclusive and additional submittals will be required by other parts of the contract. Use and expand upon the preliminary ENG Form 4288-R to complete the required contract Submittal Register and submit it for approval to the Contracting Officer within the specified number of days after contract Notice to Proceed.

EXERCISE 5

- 1. What is the purpose of submittals?
- 2. What is the process the contractor must use to request a variation?
- 3. What are the contractor's submittal responsibilities?
- 4. What are the Government's submittal responsibilities?
- 5. What information must the contractor provide in their submittal control document (ENG Form 4288R Submittal Register)?

1								4	SUE						R									CON	TRACT NO	1
TT	E AND D	004	TION			_	-			10		-	-	-			C	ONTRAC	TOR		-			SPECIFICATION SECTION		
7	-				TYP	EOF	SLO	MITT	AL	-				2	OLAS FICA	SI-		1	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR SCHEDULE DATES ACTION				ROR	GOVERNMENT		
AUT-VIT NO	TRANS- MITTAL ND	-HWS 20	SPECIFICATION PARAGRAPH NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF THEM SUBMITTED	DATA	DR4S-20M	-NOTRUCT-ONS	WHELDMING	STATEMENTS	61-100 D H H	0 H + A - H - H A H B	10 m - n < > 0	RHUCROS	UFPEZEZ SOO	INFOMATION	GOVERNMENT	RE>-R€ER	SUBMIT	APPROVAL NEEDED BY	MATER- TAL NEEDED BV	1000	DATE	SUBWIT TQ SOVERHA MENT	Maba	DATE	REMARI
a	h		<i>a</i> .	C.	í.	8	n	j,	1	R	0	m	п	ō.	p	. 7	T	5	1	. ų.	y.	W	1	y.	z	-
	1					П												1. 1								
		11				\Box																				
			1																1			1			_	
																					Ξ.				-	-
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DOMO N																	- 1-	100			1.0	1.00
																		1			4.					
	-		-															1			11					
	_																									
	-																	1	1	-					-	
1	-								Ц	1		1.4									1			_		
																						-				
														E.					1						_	
																		1								
																		1-1-1	1						1.00	1
										1.00																1 - 2
										-			1													
					1							+														
																			·		-	1				-
		1																	1					-		

Module 5

TRANSMITT	AL OF SHOP DRAWING MANUFACTURER'S For use of this form, see EF	CERTIFICATES OF	FCOMPLIANCE	MPLES,	OR C	ATE	TRAN	SMITTAL NO.		
	SECTION	I - REQUEST FOR APP	ROVAL OF THE FOLLOW	VING ITEN	AS (This se	ction will be init	iated by the co	nfractor)		
FROM:				CONTRACT NO.				CHECK ONE: THIS IS A NEW TRANSMITTAL THIS IS A RESUBMITTAL OF TRANSMITTAL		
SPECIFICATION S	EC. NO, (Cover only one section of the section of t	on with each transmittal)	PROJECT TITLE AND L	OCATION		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ANSMITTAL IS	FOR: (Check one)	DA/CR	DA/GA
ITEM	Charles and the second s			TTAL	NO.	and the second se		CONTRACTOR	VARIATION Enter "Y" if	USACE
(See Note 3)	(Type size, model number/etc.)		TYPE ((See N	A 127 1	OF	SPEC. PARA. NO.	DRAWING SHEET NO.	CODE	requesting a variation (See Note 6)	CODE (Note 9)
a.		b.	0.		d.	e.	t.	g.	н.	î.
REMARKS					stilet confor	the above subr mance with the NAME OF COF	contract drawin	l been reviewed in d gs and specification SIGNA	letail and are co s except as oth TURE OF CO/	erwise state
			SECTION IT - APP		CTION	diam				
ENCLOSURES RET	URNED (List by item No.)	NAME AND TO	TLE OF APPROVING AU	THORITY		SIGN	ATURE OF AP	PROVING AUTHO	RITY DAT	E

	INSTRUCTIO	INS					
t. Section I will be initiated by the Contractor in the required number of co	oples.						
 Each Transmittal shall be numbered consecutively. The Transmittal Nu- number. The second part is a nequential number for the submittal sum- original Transmittal Number and begin numbering the resubmittal pack. 	der that spec section	If the Transmittal is a r	And the second sec	and the second sec			
3. The "tiem No." for each entry on this form will be the same "item No." a	as indicated on ENG	FORM 4288-R					
A. Submittals requiring expeditious handling will be submitted on a separa	ate ENG Form 4025-1	Ŕ					
 Items transmitted on each transmittal form will be from the same specifit transmittal. 	fication section. Do n	ot combine oubmittal info	rmation from different specifi	cation sections in a single			
 If the data submitted are intentionally in variance with the contract regulatiled reason for the variation. 	arementa, indicate a	variation in column h, an	d enter a statement in the Re-	ntarka block deactribing he			
7 ENG Form 4025-R is self-transmitting - a letter of transmittal is not requ	uired.						
 When submittel items are transmitted, indicate the "Submittel Type" (S Submittel types are the following: 	D-01 through SD-11)	in column c of Section (Sec. 1				
SD-01 - Preconstruction SD-02 - Shop Drawings SD-03 SD-07 - Certificates SD-08 - Manufacturer's instructions	- Product Data SD-09 - Manufa	SD-04 - Samples cturer's Field Reports	SD-05 - Design Data SD-10 - O&M Data	SD-05 - Tesl Reports SD-11 - Closeout			
9. For each submittal item, the Contractor will assign Submittal Action Co Action Codes in column (of Section). The Submittal Action Codes are	and the second second second	ection I, The U.S, Army C	Corps of Engineers approving	authonty will assign Submittal			
A - Approved as submitted.	F-	Receipt acknowledg	ped				
B - Approved, except as noted on drawings. Resubmission not requi	ired. X -	Receipt acknowledg	ged, does not comply with con	ntract requirements, as noted.			
C - Approved, except as noted on drawings. Refer to attached comm	nents G	a second s	(1.4) · · · · ·				
Resubmission required		K – Government concurs with intermediate design (For D-B contracts)					
D — Will be returned by separate correspondence	R	 Design submittal la 	acceptable for release for col	nstruction. (For D-B contracts)			
E — Disapproved. Refer to attached comments.							
10. Approval of itoms does not relieve the contractor from complying with	all the requirements i	of the contract					
	a served a served a	Contraction of the second					

ENG FORM 4025-R, MAR 2012

48

Module 5

	IBM	TTA	PEGIST	TER (ER 415-1-10)	TITLE AND	LOCATION: TR	aining	contract 08-Camp Arti	ljan, Kuwalt				DATE: 10/21	/2020	
	SODIMI		L REGIS	ICK (EK 410-1-10)	CONTRACT	OR: Al Saltraa	Gener	ai Transport & Clearar	ICE	CON	CONTRACT NUMBER: W912ER-04-D-9999 0007				
_	TRANS	TEM	SPEC		TYPE OF	CLASSIFI CATION		REVIEWER	CONTRA	CTOR SCHED	ULE DATES		TRACTOR CTION	GOVERN	MENT ACTION
Activity No	NO.	ND.	PARAGRAPH No.	DESCRIPTION OF SUBMITTAL	SUBMITTAL	FIO, GA, DA, CR, ORS			SUBWIT NEEDED BY	APPROVAL NEEDED BY	MATERIAL NEEDED BY	CODE	CORPS RECEIVED DATE	CODE	CORPS RETURNED DATE
Section 01	1012 Sub	mittal	Procedure for	Design-Build											
	1.2	1	010123.4	Project Scheaule	SCHEDULES	GA	PO					A	01 Oct 07	F	18 Oct 07
EN+ DOCVG02	2.1	2	010123.2.1	Submittal Register (Construction)	SUBMITTAL REG	FIO		JABAITI, WHELF.	06 Jun 07	21 Jun 07	21 Jun 07	A	09 Mar 30	F	09 Aug 0
GN- MB00G05	3	3	01012	Noblization Plan	WORK PLAN	DAVGA	PO		24 May 07	08 Jun 07	08 Jun 07	A	12 Jun 07	F	18 Jun 07
CO- SSCVG02	3	4	01012	Sile Plan	DRAMINGS	GA	PO		22 May 07	06 Jun 07	06 Jun 07	A	12 Jun 07	F	18 Jun 07
CO- SSCVGD1	4	5	010123.8.e	Demolition Plan/Hazard Analysis	DEMOPLAN	PIO	PO		15 May 07	02. Jun (77	022 Jun 07	٨	16 JAN 07	F	17 Jun (7
GN- GC00G07	s	6	01012	Activity Resources Mathy/SCH	SCHEDULES	PIO	PO		16 NOV 07	16 Dec 07	16 Dec 07	A	25 Dec 07	F	26 Dec 07
GN- GC0DG07	6	7	01012	Final Project Schedule Update	SCHEDULES	FIO			01 Dec 07	16 Dec 07	16 Dec 07	A	30 Dec 07	F	30 Dec 07
		8	01012			GA	PO								
Section 0	1015 Tec	hnical	Requirements	- Ref. RFP-Para. No.											
CO- SSCVG84	11.2	1	01015 1.26.1	Accident Prevention Plan	SAFETY PLAN	GA	PO		20 Jun 07	05 Jul 07	05 Jul 07	A .	01 Oct 07	F	15 Oct 07
CO- SSCVG01	2.1	2	010153.1	Boring Test Reports	TEST REPORTS	PIO			16 Nay 07	02.Jun 07	02 Jun 07	A	81 Oct 07	F	16 00107
CO- SSCVGD6	3	3	01015 5.12.2.2	Concrete Mix Design	MIX DESIGN	GA			29 Jun 07	14 Jul 07	14 Jul 07	A	14 Jun 07	8	17 Jun 07
CO- SSCVG05	4	4	01015	Rebar Certification	DATA	FIÓ			20 Jun 07	05.34107	05 Jul 07	A	14 Jun 07	F	17 Jun 07
CO- SSCVG02	5.1	5	01015	Test Reports for Borings	TEST REPORTS	GA	PO		22 May 07	06 Jun 07	06 Jun 07	٨	01 Oct 07	F	18 Oct 07
EN- MSMEG13	6.1	6	010159	Medical Equipment List-Appendix-A-RFP	PRODUCT DATA	FIO			03 Jul 07	18,007	16 Jul 07	A	81 Oct 07	F	17 00107
CO- SUFNEDS	7.1	7	010154.4.10.6.1	Acoustical Celling Panel Samples/Grid	SAMPLES	FIO			31 Aug 07	15 3ep 07	15 Sep 07	A	29 Sep 07	F	30 Sep 07
CO- SUFNG05	7.1	6	010154.4.10.2.4	Acoustic Celling Data	PRODUCT DATA	PIO	PO		31 Aug 07	15 Sep 07	15 Sep 07	A	29 Sep 07	F	30 Sep 07
CO- SUFNG03	8	9	010154.4.10.2.3	Gypeum Board Data	PRODUCT DATA	FIO			12 Aug 07	27 Aug (17	27 Aug U7	A.	05 Aug 07	F	09 Aug 07
CO- SUFNG03	9.1	11	010154.4.10.2.3	Metal Stude for Interfor Walls-Sample	SAMPLES	FIO		JABATTI, WAEL F.	12 Aug 07	27 Aug 07	01 Sep 07	A	26 Aug 07	F	01 Sep 07
CO- SUFNG03	9.1	12	010154.4 10.23	Metal Stude (Data)	PRODUCT DATA	FIO	1	JABAITI, WAELF.	09 Aug 07	24 Aug 07	29 Aug 07	A	26 Aug 07	F	01 Sep 07
CO- SUFNG03	10	13	010154.4.10.2.4	Base Board/Skirling - Samples	SAMPLES	PIO			11 Aug 07	26 Aug 07	29 Aug 07	A	04 Aug 07	F	13 Sep 07
CO- SUFNG05	11.1	14	010154.4 10.2.4	Gypnum Ceiling Tile- Sample	SAMPLES	PIO		JABATTI, WAELF.	30 Aug 07	14.Sep 07	17 Sep 07	A	18 Jan 13	F	18 Jan 13
CO- SUFNG05	11.1	15	010154.4.10.2.4	Gypsum Celling The Data	PRODUCT DATA	PID		JABATTI, WAEL F.	30.Aug 07	14 Sep 07	17 Sep 07	٨	18 Jan 13	F	18 Jan 13
CO- SUFNG03	12	16	0101544.10.2.3	Gypsum Board (Samples)	SAMPLES	FIO			12 Aug 07	27 Aug 07	27 Aug 07	A	26 Aug 07	F	26 Aug 07
EN- MSFNG03	16	16.10	01015 N/A	Comments for 100% Design Review	DESIGN DATA	FIO			30 Jul 07	14 Aug 07	15 Aug 07	A	24 Sep 07	F	26 Sep 07
CO- SUELGOS	13	17	010154.4.10.2.5.	Interior Paint	PRODUCT DATA	PIO			28 Sep 07	13 001 07	13 Oct 07	8	26 Aug (17	F	17 Sep 07
AJ						Main Reg Page 1 o							So	rted by Se	ection & Hem

49

Module 5

Module 6: QUALITY MANAGEMENT FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Submodule 6.1: Introduction

Objectives: After completing this submodule, you will be able to:

- Define the function and importance of Construction Quality Assurance.
- Define the function and importance of Contractor Quality Control
 - o for design-bid-build contracts
 - o for design-build contracts
- Understand how QA and QC work together to achieve overall quality management.

Quality Assurance: The primary function of quality assurance is to obtain completed construction that meets all contract requirements. Assurance is defined as a degree of certainty. Quality assurance personnel continually assure--or make certain--that the contractor's work complies with contract requirements.

Quality Assurance Personnel: The role of quality assurance personnel is to assure that the CQC system is functioning properly. To do this, QA personnel:

- Examine the quality control methods being used to determine if the contractor is properly controlling design activities in design-build contracts.
- Examine the quality control methods being used to determine if the contractor is properly controlling construction activities.
- Make certain that the necessary changes are made in the contractor's QC system, if excessive construction deficiencies occur.
- Assist the contractor in understanding and implementing the contract requirements.
- Examine ongoing and completed work.
- Review QC documentation to assure adequacy.

Contractor Quality Control: The primary function of CQC is the successful execution of a realistic plan to ensure that the required standards of quality construction will be met. In CQC, the contractor defines procedures to manage and control their own, designer of record, consultant, architect-engineer, all subcontractor and all supplier activities so that the completed project complies with contract requirements.

For design-build contracts this includes providing and maintaining a Design Quality Control plan as a part of the overall contract QC plan. This plan, as a minimum, must assure that all documents are reviewed by a technically competent, independent reviewer specifically named in the plan. This review cannot be performed by the same designers that produced the product. The design QC plan is managed by a Design QC Manager who has verifiable engineering or architectural design experience or is a registered engineer or architect. The Design QC Manager is under the supervision of the QC Manager.

Quality Control Personnel: As stated previously, CQC is a contractor responsibility. This includes:

- Produce the quality specified in the plans and specifications, and for design-build contracts in the Request for Proposal, as well as the contractor's accepted proposal.
- Develop and maintain an effective CQC system.
- Perform all control activities and tests.
- Prepare acceptable documentation of CQC activities.

The contractor also is required to place a competent representative onsite to oversee the CQC system. They must have full authority to act for the contractor on CQC matters. Their responsibilities include workmanship, methods, and techniques to ensure that all work is performed properly by qualified and careful craftsmen. For design-build contracts, responsibility also includes design quality and the performance of constructability, operability, environmental and sustainable review of the design.

EXERCISE 6.1

- 1. What is the primary function of QA?
- 2. What is the role of QA personnel?
- 3. What is the primary function of CQC?
- 4. What are the roles of QC personnel?
- 5. For design-build contracts what additional requirements must be included in the QC plan?

Submodule 6.2: Three-Phase Control System

Objectives: After completing this submodule, you will be able to:

- Define the purpose of control of onsite construction through the Three-Phase Control System.
- List the responsibilities of QC personnel regarding the three-phase control system.

Purpose: The primary purpose of the Three-Phase Control System is to require the contractor to plan and schedule the work to ensure that they are prepared to start each new definable feature of work. The three phases of control (preparatory, initial, and follow-up) are the core of the Construction Quality Management System. When they are performed as outlined in the specifications, success in completing the work to comply with requirements of the contract is enhanced. In Module 3, Submodule 1, the three-phase control system was mentioned as a required part of the contractor's quality control plan.

Three-Phase Control Responsibility: Develop, schedule and implement procedures for tracking control phase meetings for definable features of work in the QC Plan.

- Notify appropriate personnel of time, date and agenda.
- Conduct Meetings (preparatory and initial).
- Safety considerations and Activity Hazard Analyses (AHAs).
- Document actual discussions and provide minutes to attendees.
- Monitor work in place throughout the follow-up phase.
- Conduct additional control phase meetings, as needed.

The Three-Phase Control System:

- **1. Preparatory Phase:** Perform this phase prior to beginning work on each definable feature of work. Perform this work as detailed below:
 - Review of each paragraph of applicable specifications and references.
 - Review of contract plans.
 - Check to assure that all materials and/or equipment have been tested, submitted, and approved.
 - Check to assure that provisions have been made to provide required control inspection and testing.
 - Examination of the work area to assure that all required preliminary work has been completed.

- Physical examination of required materials, equipment, and sample work to assure that they are on hand and conform to approved shop drawings or submitted data.
- Review of the appropriate activity hazard analysis.
- Discussion of procedures for constructing the work including the review of repetitive deficiencies.
- Check safety to include compliance with the safety plan and activity hazard analysis.

Notify the Government in advance of beginning any of the required action of the preparatory phase as required in the QC specifications.

The Preparatory Phase consists of a meeting <u>conducted by the QC</u> <u>Manager</u> and attended by the superintendent, other CQC personnel (as applicable), and the foremen responsible for the definable feature. Document the results of the preparatory phase actions by separate minutes prepared by the QC Manager and attached to the daily CQC report.

- 2. Initial Phase: This phase must be accomplished at the beginning of a definable feature of work. The "Initial Phase" will verify that control for the work developed in the "Preparatory Meeting" is implemented and the work is performed to the level of workmanship mutually agreed to. Perform this work as detailed below:
 - Review minutes of Preparatory Meeting.
 - Check preliminary work.
 - Verify adequacy of controls to ensure full contract compliance.
 - Establish level of workmanship.
 - Resolve all differences.
 - Check safety to include compliance with the safety plan and activity hazard analysis. Review the activity hazard analysis with workers.

Notify the Government in advance of the beginning of the Initial Phase as required in the CQC specifications.

The QC Manager oversees the Initial Phase Meeting. Separate minutes of this phase will be prepared by the QC Manager and attached to the daily CQC report. Repeat the initial phase for each new crew to work onsite, or any time established level of workmanship is not being met.

3. Follow-up Phase: Perform daily checks to assure continuing compliance with contract requirements, including safety and control testing, until

completion of the feature of work. Document the checks as a matter of record in the CQC documentation.

Conduct final follow-up checks and all deficiencies corrected prior to the start of additional features of work. QC personnel should continually refer to the standards set in the "Preparatory and Initial Phases."


Cautionary Note: QC personnel, during day-to-day duties, can easily fall into the trap of only working to detect deficiencies when in fact their role is to <u>prevent</u> deficiencies.

DFOW: CMU Walls									
Preparatory Phase	Initial Phase	Follow-up Phase							
	Start Construction								

Three Phases – What is Involved?

Preparatory	Initial Phase	Follow-up Phase
Phase Review Plans and Specs	Establish Workmanship	Ensure Contract Compliance
Verify Submittal Approval	Standards	Maintain Quality
Review Test Plan	Resolve Conflicts	Ensure Testing Reports are Submitted
Check Preliminary Work		Submitted
Examine Materials	Ensure Testing is Performed	Ensure Rework is Completed
Discuss Construction Methods	renormed	
Review Safety	Review Safety	Review Safety

Three Phases – Who is Involved?



Three Phases – Simplified Schedule

	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Clear & Grub									
Excavation									
Foundations		-					-		-
CMU Walls	-			P	I F/U		T		
Roofing									
Electrical									
Mechanical									
Finish Work									

P – Preparatory Phase, I – Initial Phase, F/U – Follow-Up Phase

EXERCISE 6.2

- 1. What is the primary purpose of the three-phase control system?
- 2. Regarding the three-phase control system, what are the responsibilities of quality control personnel?
- 3. Working as a group complete a preparatory phase checklist for the placement of concrete for a vertical foundation wall that is 12" thick, 16' high and 230' long. Assume that preparatory inspections for the formwork, rebar and embedded items including embedded conduits have already been completed.
- 4. Working as a group review the following situations and discuss how a properly conducted initial phase inspection might have prevented the problem.
 - a) Wall tile installation in 325 bathrooms of a large hospital is complete. The 4" square ceramic tiling is not lying uniformly flat (and outside of tolerances) on the grout bed and the owner is concerned about the obvious shadows that are evident between tiles under certain lighting conditions.
 - b) Floor system consisting of 2.5" concrete on metal deck on metal joists on steel beams/girders experienced excessive movement during concrete placement. Floating of low spots and grinding of high spots was required.
 - c) 275 electrical outlets 10A electrical outlets were installed. 15A outlets are required furthermore some of the outlets test with reverse polarity.
 - d) Rough-in electrical is proceeding on schedule but ten days into the installation it is discovered that the outlet boxes and conduits were made in China.
 - e) Initial phase meeting for interior painting was held; however, the government did not attend, and the initial phase checklist was not completed nor was it attached or referenced in the QC daily report.
 - f) Mechanical plumbing rough-in has been proceeding for 6 weeks.
 Preparatory and Initial inspections were held and properly documented.

The QC is walking thru the work area most days but neglects to document any follow-up inspections on the QC daily report. Yesterday it was discovered that the wrong schedule pipe was being installed.

5. Identify the appropriate phase (preparatory, initial, or follow-up) of construction for the ten activities listed below by placing a P, I, and/or F in next to it.

- CHECKING TEMPERATURE OF IN-PLACE CONCRETE
- OBSERVING ERECTION OF A SAMPLE PANEL OF CMU
- VERIFYING ALL SUBMITTALS HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED AND APPROVED
- DEVELOPMENT OF AN EXCAVATION PLAN
- START UP OF THE PAINTING CONTRACTOR
- GRADATION CHECK OF STONE AT THE QUARRY
- CALIBRATION CHECK OF DENSITY METER BEFORE BRINGING TO SITE
- DAILY CHECK OF WORKERS' HARD HATS
- REVIEW OF CONTROLS PERTAINING TO A FEATURE OF WORK
- REPLACEMENT OF A LIFT OF CONCRETE

Module 6

Sample Preparatory Phase Checklist

Personnel Prese	<u>nt:</u>		
Government Rep	Notified (Y/N):	Hours in Advance:	
Definable Feature	:	Spec Section(s):	
Contract No.:		Date:	

(List additional personnel on reverse side)

Submittals

Review Submittals and Submittal Register. Have all submittals been approved? (Y/N):

If No, what items have not been submitted or approved?

Are all materials on hand? (Y/N):

If No, what items are missing?

Check approved submittals against delivered material. (This should be done as material arrives.)

Comments:

Material storage

Are materials stored properly? (Y/N):

If No, what action is taken?

Specifications

Review each paragraph of specifications.

Comments:

Discuss procedure for accomplishing the work.

Comments:

Clarify any differences:

Preliminary Work and Permits

Ensure preliminary work is correct and permits are on file. If not, what action is taken? **Testing** Identify test to be performed, frequency, and by whom. When required? Where required? Review Testing Plan

Has test facilities been approved

Has testing equipment been calibrated?

<u>Safety</u>

Review applicable portion of EM 385-1-1

Activity Hazard Analysis approved? (Y/N):

Corps of Engineers comments during meeting.

/s/

Contractor QC Manager

Module 6

Sample Initial Phase Checklist

Contract No.:		Date:	
Definable Feature:		Specification Section(s):	
Government Rep N	lotified (Y/N):	Hours in Advance:	
Personnel Presen	<u>t</u>		
Name	Position	Organization	Phone/Email

(List additional personnel on reverse side)

Review of Preparatory Meeting Minutes

Comments:

Preliminary Work

Ensure preliminary work is complete and correct. If not, what action is taken? Comments:

Establish Level of Workmanship

Where is work located? Is a sample panel required? Will the initial work be considered as a sample? Comments:

<u>Safety</u>

Review job conditions using EM 385-1-1 and job hazard analysis.

Comments:

/s/

Contractor QC Manager

Submodule 6.3: Documentation

Objectives: After completing this submodule, you will be able to:

- Explain the purpose and importance of the Contractor Quality Control (CQC) Report.
- List the components of the CQC Report.
- Explain the purpose and importance of the Government Quality Assurance (QA) Report.
- Discuss the review and use of quality management reports.

Quality Management Record Keeping: A comprehensive record keeping, and information exchange system is an indispensable quality management tool. In addition to identifying specific deficiencies, careful report analysis will also detect patterns in the team's performance. If these patterns are detrimental, early detection and correction will save time, effort, and money for both the Government and the contractor. Documentation is a required element of the contract just like steel and concrete.

The Contractor Quality Control Report: The requirement for the contractor to submit daily QC reports is established in the QC specification. As discussed previously, the Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting should include a detailed discussion of reporting procedures, information required in the reports, and the importance of the reports. The QC Report is to be submitted at a prescribed time every day, and all information relating to QC activities is to be included in the report.

RMS should be used to compile the daily report using the standard template.

Major elements of information required in the QC Report include, but are not limited to the following:

- Contractor/subcontractor and their area of responsibility.
- Operating plant/equipment with hours worked, idle, or down for repair.
- Work performed each day, giving location, description, and by whom. When a Network Analysis System (NAS) is used, identify each phase of work performed each day by NAS activity number.
- Test and/or control activities performed with results and references to specifications/drawings requirements. The control phase should be identified (Preparatory, Initial, Follow-up). List deficiencies noted along with corrective action.
- Quantity of materials received at the site with statement as to acceptability, storage, and reference to specifications/drawings requirements.

- Submittals reviewed, with contract reference, by whom, and action taken.
- Off-site surveillance activities, including actions taken.
- Job safety evaluations stating what was checked, results, and instructions or corrective actions.
- Instructions given/received and conflicts in plans and/or specifications.
- Attach QC Specialists' and Design QC Manager's reports.
- Contractor's verification statement.

When activities are completed, they must be so noted on the daily QC Report. The Government conducts a final follow-up inspection for completed activities.

The QC Manager may attach separate reports for subcontractor work, or these reports may be combined into one consolidated report.

The QC reports must present an accurate and complete picture of QC activities. QC reports should not concentrate only on work items that have been completed, but also must provide evidence of control activities. They should be precise, factual, legible, as objective as possible, and emphasize QC actions.

QA personnel evaluate QC reports as they are received. If statements are discovered in the report that are contrary to QA personnel's knowledge of the work, action will be taken to resolve the differences. The contractor may be asked to resubmit an incomplete or incorrect report with corrections.

Deficiency Tracking System/Rework Items List: Included as a part of the CQC program is a requirement for a formal deficiency tracking system. As outlined in the accepted QC plan, it will consist of a cumulative list of job deficiencies/rework items. This includes items identified by QA personnel, QC staff, testing failures, etc. Continually maintain this list with dates of corrective action. The system is subject to review by the government.

The Government Quality Assurance Report: The QA report is the Government's record of project-related events and is prepared for each visit day. The QA report is not intended to duplicate information contained on the QC report. The contractor does not receive the government's QA report.

What Right Looks: Documentation is a contract requirement and it is in the best of interests of all parties that quality management activities are adequately documented. If the project were to move into litigation any contemporary documentation normally carries greater weight than memories of what might have happened 5-years ago. Good documentation also supports a positive performance rating.

Examples of what "right looks like" are provided in Appendix J, not only to set a standard, but to provide examples of effective techniques for documentation.

EXERCISE 6.3

- 1. What are the major components of the sample Quality Control Report in submodule 3.2?
- 2. How often are Quality Control and Quality Assurance Reports required?
- 3. Review the documentation provided in the "What Right Looks Like" examples and identify 3 things that you feel are good practices for the Preparatory, Initial and Daily Report.
- 4. Following (4a through 4e) are situations relating to documentation and three-phase control. Read the situations carefully and respond to the requirement(s) accompanying each.
 - a. The G. J. Company has a contract for rehabilitation of three barracks. The work consists primarily of addition of partitions and installation of A/C equipment and duct work. On the day duct work installation started, the Daily Report stated: "Started installing duct work today. Everything looked okay." Is this report feature adequate? Explain.
 - b. The G. J. Company continues work on rehab contract. Today's activities involve the continuation of insulating duct work. After several review sessions with the contractor with regard to proper documentation of activities, the QC Daily Report comments were, "Insulation of duct work being done in accordance with specifications, vapor barrier was ripped in a couple of places and insulator was a little light in use of staples." Rewrite the contractor's comments to make them acceptable.
 - c. The Paw Power Construction Company has a contract for construction of a high-rise administration building. Work was in early stages of construction and a subcontractor had just completed backfilling sanitary sewer lines. The utilities sub foreman had been designated as QC Manager for this portion of the work. His report was attached to the main report. A preparatory and initial phase have been performed and recorded earlier. This report stated, "All

work completed in accordance with directions received at preparatory phase."

- i. Is it permissible to accept sub-reports attached to the Daily Report?
- ii. Is it permissible to reference the preparatory phase?
- iii. Is anything missing?
- d. Results of Surveillance: Bowers installed base of manhole #2 approximately 10 ft. east of its correct location. Pipe between manholes #6 and #2 is being removed and the pipe between manholes #3 and #2 is being extended to a new location for manhole #2.
 - i. What does this report say?
 - ii. Rewrite the report so that it states clearly what is intended.
- e. You are the QC Manager. You are to begin installation of ceramic floor and wall tile. Both the floor and the wall are to receive a setting bed. The floor has floor drains, and waterproofing has been completed. The wall has electrical receptacles.
 - i. Who would you want at the initial phase of the work?
 - ii. What would you check?
 - iii. What items should be contained in the QC Report covering the Initial Phase?

Submodule 6.4: Testing

Objectives: After completing this submodule, you will be able to:

- Discuss the objectives of contractor and Government testing.
- Describe contractor testing procedures.
- List testing requirements.

Importance of Testing: Testing is an extremely important part of CQM. If tests are not performed properly, there are many construction procedures and materials that cannot be confirmed as adequate. Visual observation alone is insufficient.

Types of Tests: The contractor performs quality control (QC) testing to determine whether construction procedures and materials are producing the desired contractual product. The government performs quality assurance (QA) testing to verify that the contractor's control testing is adequate.

Procedures: The contractor must outline proposed "testing procedures" as defined in Section 01 45 00.00 10 and/or Section 01 45 00.00 20 in the QC Plan. These proposed procedures must be discussed at the Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting. Any disagreement regarding testing procedures must be settled before construction begins.

The contractor must provide a list of required control tests and specify whether the tests are to be performed by an independent, approved testing laboratory, or using their own personnel and facilities. For USACE contracts "approved testing laboratory" means laboratories that have been validated by the Materials Testing Center (MTC) at Waterways Experiment Station in Vicksburg, MS. USACE validated testing laboratories and the tests that they are approved to perform are listed at https://mtc.erdc.dren.mil/.

Regardless of which method of testing the contractor uses, they are required to assure that specified laboratory procedures are used and that laboratory facilities are certified.

QC testing is verified in a random manner by QA testing. QA tests are unannounced sporadic tests that repeat QC tests. QA testing can be performed by:

- Government personnel using the contractor's equipment and facilities,
- An independent testing laboratory, or
- A field office, district, or division government laboratory.

QC personnel must be knowledgeable concerning laboratory and testing procedures. They must be able to visually recognize proper and improper testing procedures. All involved personnel should become aware of the methods to be used for and the extent of QC testing.

Before testing begins, the following questions should be answered and verified against the approved QC Plan:

- Has all required testing been identified?
- Are test reporting requirements understood?
- Have laboratory facilities and testing equipment been verified as acceptable?
- Are laboratory personnel qualified?
- Has the calibration of equipment been verified as accurate?
- Is there a procedure for documenting corrective steps?

After QC testing has begun, a thorough examination must be made of the test reports submitted to ascertain that:

- Reports are being submitted for all tests performed,
- Reports are complete and accurate, and
- Failing tests must be retested and cross referenced to the original failing test.

Test Tracking System: In accordance with Section 01 45 00.00 10 and 01 45 00.00 20, the contractor must establish and maintain a system to track verification, control and acceptance tests. Each planned test type and frequency must be entered into the tracking system prior to beginning work. Results and dates of individual tests are to be added to the system as they are performed. Any failing test results will have retests performed, entered into the system and cross referenced. The tracking system must be reviewed frequently to assure that any activity underway is having all planned tests performed as scheduled.

Failing Tests: Failing tests must be reported to the government and a course of action identified to correct the issue. Failure to identify failed tests is a very serious breach of contract

EXERCISE 6.4

- 1. Define QC testing.
- 2. Define QA testing.
- 3. Who performs QC tests?
- 4. Who performs QA tests?
- 5. What questions should be answered before testing begins?

Submodule 6.5: Completion of Work

Objective: After completing this submodule, you will be able to:

• Describe project completion procedures.

Quality Management Completion Procedures:

- A. Testing of Completed Systems: Perform testing of completed systems as required by the technical specifications of the contract.
- B. Contractor Punch-Out: Near the completion of all work or any increment thereof, the contractor prepares a punch list and makes corrections. Quality Assurance personnel will not prepare the contractor's deficiency list. The contractor should correct deficiencies promptly so that project schedules are met. All major deficiencies noted during this contractor's punch-out inspection must be corrected prior to the pre-final inspection.
- C. Pre-Final and Final Inspections: Participating in the pre-final and final inspections will be QA personnel and QC personnel. The QC Manager will assure that all deficiencies noted during the pre-final inspection are corrected prior to the final inspection and report the status of corrective actions to the Government. The client/customer is invited to the final inspection. Any deficiencies noted at these inspections by client/customer personnel, whether design or construction related, will be examined by the Government and the contractor notified if corrective action is required under the terms of the contract. All significant deficiencies must be corrected prior to turnover.
- D. Complete As-Built Drawings: As-built drawings are updated continually throughout the project. During the final stages of construction, the QC Manager will review and complete these drawings. While the status of asbuilt drawings is a concern throughout the project, it is of significance, as the project comes to an end, to prevent any loose ends.
- E. Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Manuals: The QC Manager will assure submittal of all required operation and maintenance data in accordance with scheduled submittal dates.
- F. Instruction and Training Procedures: If the contract requires operation and maintenance training of client/customer personnel, the QC Manager will make certain that all O&M Manuals are submitted, reviewed and approved prior to specified training being conducted by qualified instructors.
- G. Materials Turnover: The QC Manager will verify that all required keys, spare parts and materials have been sorted, identified, and demonstrated to be usable prior to final inspection.
- H. Warranty: The QC Manager will ensure that all warranty information is provided. Procedures for warranty notification and correction should be in

place. FAR Clause 52.246-21 Warranty of Construction states the general warranty period for construction work is one year from the time of acceptance by the government unless stated otherwise in the specifications. If the manufacturers of individual components provide a longer warranty, this extended warranty period accrues to the government. If the contractor repairs or replaces a component of the project during the warranty period, then this component is warranted for one year from the repair or replacement date.

EXERCISE 6.5

1. What is the appropriate chronological order for the quality management completion procedures listed in this submodule? Explain.

Module 7: MAKING THE SYSTEM WORK

Objectives: After completing this module, you will be able to:

- List problems, which may occur during construction.
- Name and discuss the means by which requirements may be enforced.
- List corrective measures that may be taken by the Government and the authority for each.

Problem Categories: Problems encountered during construction vary according to the specific project. Most problems, however, fit into one or more of the following categories:

- Delays There may be delays in submittals, in the correction of deficiencies, or because of lack of contractor's acceptance of the CQC principles.
- Planning and Control Many problems can be caused by a lack of planning and control and a failure to take corrective action in the planning and control process.
- Testing Improper, inadequate, or untimely testing can adversely affect the project.
- Documentation Problems occur because of late, incomplete, or incorrect documentation. Making a written record of quality control action and test results is as important as taking the actions. The CQM reporting system may cause appropriate action to be taken or may be the basis of settlement of expensive claims at a future date, after people directly involved are no longer available. If documentation is inadequate, communications break down, and then the legal positions of both the government and the contractor are jeopardized.
- Misunderstanding of CQC Responsibility This problem is often the result of a lack of review of the contract QC requirements, a lack of familiarity with the QC Plan, or failure to communicate roles to other personnel involved in the QC process. For example, completion testing on all component systems, e.g. Duct Air Leakage Tests (DALTS), Testing and Balancing Systems (TABS), HVAC Controls System, electrical hi-pot tests. When possible, these misunderstandings should be solved at the field level.

Personal one-on-one discussion and actions at the field level often provide acceptable solutions to the problems. If discussions with onsite personnel are not fruitful, the problem must be elevated to the next level. The important thing is for the problem to be identified early so that it can be prevented, or corrective action can be taken. If an agreement cannot be reached, the Government makes the final determination.

Government Options: Proper effective QC can prevent adverse Government actions. The Government will issue written notifications of compliance shortfalls either by official letter or a non-compliance notification (NCN) (Navy). However, if efforts at the field level do not bring the desired result, the Government has no choice but to initiate action under the Contract Clauses of the contract that provide the means for enforcing contract compliance.

- A. Requiring contractor removal and replacement of deficient materials and/or workmanship - Contract Clause, Inspection of Construction, <u>FAR</u> <u>52.246-12</u>. This contract clause allows the Government to require the contractor to expose, test, and ultimately remove and replace deficient work. In the event the work was correctly installed, the Government will be responsible for associated costs.
- B. If necessary, the Government may employ another contractor to make the corrections performed if they refuse to correct it themselves. If adversarial relationships develop, which could be costly to the contractor, a copy of directive type letters to the contractor should be furnished to the surety company.
- C. Withhold Payment Contract Payment Clause. Grounds for withholding payment include the contractor's failure to:
 - 1. Perform in accordance with the terms of the contract,
 - 2. Provide the Quality Control Plan giving assurance of their intent and ability to comply with quality standards,
 - 3. Build to quality standards.
 - 4. While the Government is obligated to pay for satisfactorily completed work, it has no obligation to pay a contractor for deficient work.
- D. Requiring removal of unqualified personnel Contract Clause, Material and Workmanship, <u>FAR 52.236-5</u>. If contractor personnel are deemed to be incompetent, careless, or otherwise objectionable, the Government can require the removal of such personnel from the project. However, under other provisions of the contract, if the Government deems the QC staff to be too small, but not incompetent, it may direct the addition of personnel.
- E. Requiring the contractor to assume personal supervision Contract Clause, Superintendence by the Contractor, <u>FAR 52.236-6</u>. If the contractor does not provide an adequate superintendent, the contract allows the Contracting Officer to require the contractor to assume personal supervision of the work.
- F. Halting Work. Another Government option is halting work until deficiencies are corrected.
- G. The Contracting Officer may direct the contractor to cease work and any item or work feature pending satisfactory correction of any deficiency in

that work--particularly if the defective work is to be become inaccessible if further work proceeds.

- H. If the contractor refuses to stop and correct the deficiency immediately, a letter from the Contracting Officer may be issued, directing the contractor to cease that particular operation.
- I. Issuing an unsatisfactory performance appraisal.
 - If the contractor fails to correct serious deficiencies in their performance, they may be cited as unsatisfactory on their annual or final CPARs rating.
 - 2. Interim unsatisfactory appraisals may be issued at any time before construction is completed. This will afford the contractor the opportunity to correct their deficient operations and avoid issuance of a final unsatisfactory appraisal at contract closeout.
 - 3. Even a single unsatisfactory appraisal can influence future awards of USACE and NAVFAC contracts to that contractor. Conversely, outstanding work by a contractor is reflected in outstanding performance appraisals, safety awards, and public recognition.
- J. Cure Notice A cure notice informs the contractor of the specific failure(s) and gives the contractor an opportunity to cure the issue(s) within 10 days (or any longer period the Contracting Officer may consider reasonably necessary). There must be enough time remaining in the contract performance for the contractor to cure or fix the deficiency within ten days or within the period specified by the Contracting Officer.
- K. Show Cause Notice A show cause notice is used when the contractor has failed to perform as required by the contract. It requests the contractor to explain in writing why the government should not take further steps (i.e. termination for default) against the contractor. A show cause may be appropriate when the contractor would not have ten days to "cure" the issue.
- L. Terminate the Contract Contract Clause, Default (Fixed-Price Construction) <u>FAR 52.249-10</u>. The most drastic type of action is to terminate the contract. In most cases, termination for default is not in the best interest of the Government. Termination action is taken <u>only after all</u> <u>else fails</u>.

Making the "System" Work: The QC Manager must act quickly and confidently when problems are discovered. The QC Manager cannot sit back and hope that problems will correct themselves. Their job is to control construction quality by taking action to make certain that problems are corrected and prevented. The Government is serious about CQC and will hold the contractor responsible for contract compliance.

Quality Assurance Personnel: Quality Assurance personnel will use the ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET FOR CONTRACTOR QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM, to evaluate the contractor's CQC system. The results of this assessment can be used to provide a final performance rating to the contractor at the end of the project. (NAVFAC contracts only! For sample of form see NAVFAC <u>P-445</u>.)

EXERCISE 7

- 1. Name the categories of problems that normally occur during construction.
- 2. What options are available to the Government under the Contract Clauses of the contract?
- 3. What is the preferred resolution for any problem that occurs?
- 4. Analyze the cases on the following pages and answer the questions included with each. Be prepared to discuss your answers with other members of the class.
 - a. Contractor is constructing a commissary. The contract was awarded in April, which allowed for enough time to enclose the building before onset of cold weather. Building is scheduled to be complete in May of next year, which necessitates doing the inside finish work during winter months. The client/customer has scheduled delivery of equipment and stock for June. Work was progressing satisfactorily, and the contractor was about to start roofing operations in mid-September when the resident engineer discovered the contractor was installing untreated lumber for edge strips, curbing, etc., which was in violation of the specifications. Work was stopped, and after some investigation, contractor advised the resident engineer that the best delivery on treated lumber was eight weeks, which would delay enclosing the building and ultimately delay turnover to the client/customer. The contractor requested waiver of treated lumber requirement.
 - i. Where did the contractor's control system break down?
 - ii. Where did the Government assurance system break down?
 - iii. What are the resident engineer's courses of action?
 - b. Contract involves construction of a major barracks complex including 25 dormitories. Project is 75% complete and occupied by troops when the client/customer complains that the opaque panel in the lower window section is allowing precipitation to penetrate during driving rain. The leaks have stained carpet and ceiling tile. Investigation reveals that leaking panels are improperly glazed and

do not conform with the contract drawings and specifications. All windows had been factory glazed and were warehoused onsite. Although a vinyl strip covered the glazing, careful examination of the windows prior to, and after, installation would have revealed the construction deficiency.

- i. Identify the steps within the CQC system that failed, thereby creating the construction deficiency.
- ii. Identify the steps within the Government's QA system that failed to detect the breakdown in the contractor's QC system.
- iii. Keeping in mind that there are 4,200 windows involved in the contract, what corrective measure should the resident engineer employ?
- iv. What action should be taken on remaining buildings not completed?
- v. Assume, because of the magnitude of the problem, that the contractor and window manufacturer refuse to comply with your directive; what tools in the contract does the resident engineer resort to?
- c. Contract is for a large barracks complex involving 47 buildings. There are 1,500 fan coil units to be installed throughout the project. As the fan coil units were delivered to the site, the mechanical subcontractor discovered that the units contained 1/2" valve in lieu of a 3/4" as indicated on the contract drawings. Contractor's shop drawings also indicated a 3/4" valve. Contractor immediately advised the resident engineer of the discrepancy. The contractor further advised that the supplier's standard unit is furnished with a 1/2" valve and requested permission to use units as delivered. All units were delivered in one large shipment, and some were needed for immediate installation.
 - i. Was the subcontractor quality control system working?
 - ii. Where does the supplier fit into the problem?
 - iii. What measures should Government QA personnel now employ?
 - iv. In this instance, should the resident engineer investigate possible design error in specifying a 3/4" valve?
- d. Project includes several masonry buildings requiring joint reinforcement. Contractor proceeded with sample masonry panel erection without approved materials despite Government QA personnel objection. Contractor has now completed wall erection on one building and Government QA personnel discover the contractor is using wrong joint reinforcement. Contractor superintendent states bar joists will be erected tomorrow morning.
 - i. What questions first come to mind as to the effectiveness of

the contractor's quality control system?

- ii. Where did the Government's quality assurance role first break down?
- iii. What Contract Clauses should be employed by the resident engineer now?
- e. Project is a small flood control dam with reinforced concrete outlet structure. Contractor testing requirements are specified in detail and require full-time quality control personnel at concrete batch plant. Concrete production has commenced, and after one-week concrete cylinder breaks indicate extremely low compressive strength. It is immediately discovered that the plant measuring devices had not been calibrated.
 - i. What is the government's first corrective action to be taken?
 - ii. What apparent deficiency existed in the contractor's quality control system?
 - iii. Basically, where did the Government quality assurance role fail?
 - iv. What Contract Clauses must now be employed by the Government?
- f. On an underground electrical distribution project, the contractor was to install a run of 2/0 cable in the system. The project had been completed and accepted two years ago, when it was discovered that this run of cable was #2 instead of 2/0 and totally inadequate for the future load.
 - i. What corrective measure, if any, is available to the Government to have the deficient cable replaced?
 - ii. Should the contractor's quality control system and Government's assurance system prevent isolated instances of this type? How?
- g. The contract for construction of the outlet works at a flood control and recreation reservoir required steel gates. The prime contractor to a fabricator in Los Angeles subcontracted the gates. All CQC requirements on the gates were delegated to the fabricator. The resident engineer arranged for Government periodic QA visits to the plant. The plant inspector advised the resident engineer that the welding procedures and the welders had not been certified prior to commencement of fabrication. The QC contained no entry on this subject.
 - i. In this instance, what role does the prime contractor assume?
 - ii. Where did the prime contractor fail in the quality control system?

- iii. Where did the Government's QA role break down?
- iv. What steps does the Government take now?
- h. The contract was for construction of multipurpose classrooms at the Air Force Academy. The rooms were to receive carpet that had been color-coordinated with the room furnishings. Carpet was scheduled for delivery August 1, which allowed only 3 weeks for laying and completion of project prior to start of classes. Carpet was delivered August 1, and it was immediately discovered that the carpet did not adequately match the approved sample.
 - i. Could the CQC system have prevented this? How?
 - ii. Did the Government fail in its QA role by not inquiring as to the status of carpet manufacture?
 - iii. What steps should the Government take now?
- Contractor on a major multi-building project started their first concrete placement this morning. Contractor is placing a monolithic foundation using a leased concrete pump truck. Two-thirds of the foundation had been placed when the concrete pump failed. No standby placement equipment was available as required by the contract, which created a cold joint before the pump could be repaired.
 - i. What was the first step that failed in the contractor's QC program?
 - ii. How could the Government's QA role have prevented this incident?
 - iii. Would proper QC reports alerts to a failure of this type in the system?
 - iv. What corrective measures should the Government employ to prevent further incidents of this type?
- j. An airfield project involved placement of a concrete apron for helicopters. The specifications required the use of jet fuel-resistant joint sealant. The sealant was required to be Government tested and approved prior to use. The specifications further required that the joints be sealed immediately after the curing period. Contractor started placement of concrete when it was discovered that the sealant had not been submitted for testing.
 - i. In what meeting should the testing requirements of the contract be discussed in general?
 - ii. At what phase should this specific testing have been discussed?
 - iii. Within the Government's QA role, where should we have detected this deficiency?
 - iv. What corrective measures does the resident engineer use?

Module 8: RESIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS 3.0) – CONTRACTOR MODE (CM) AND GOVERNMENT MODE (GM)

Module 8 is mandatory for Contractors having USACE of Engineer contracts. It is optional for Contractors with NAVFAC contracts; however, some RMS Quality Control functions may be similar to NAVFAC requirements. The Navy uses eCMS as their software.

Submodule 8.1: Introduction and Overview

Objectives: After completing this submodule, you will be able to:

- List the benefits of the RMS-GM and RMS-CM applications for controlling Contract Administration and Construction Quality Management.
- List major benefits and output products of the RMS-CM and RMS-GM applications.

Introduction and Background: *Resident Management System, version 3.0* (RMS 3.0), is a construction information management (CIM) system USACE developed to control construction quality management and contract administration. It has two modes; RMS-GM, used by the Government, and RMS-CM used by the Contractor. RMS started in the late 1980s and was developed by a Resident Engineer, Mr. Haskell Barker, Los Angeles District. Early DOS based RMS used a simple database to provide simple automated methods to use desk top PCs for Resident Office construction quality management and contract administration. As computers became more powerful and "windows" made construction applications more "user friendly," RMS transformed to an integrated Construction Management Information system used at Resident Office, Contractor Offices and USACE District-level Management. In 2001 RMS use was mandated by USACE.

RMS 3.0 is maintained by the RMS Support Center and has become a powerful CIM application. RMS 3.0 uses an inter-relational database that feeds project construction phase data into USACE financial (CEFMS) and project management (Promise 2 (P2)) applications. RMS 3.0 can import contractor generated CPM schedules and resource data from a commercial application like Primavera [™] P6 using the Standard Data Exchange Format. See specific contract specifications for detailed schedule requirements as they may differ. Gone are the days of importing/exporting between RMS and QCS. The exchange of data between RMS-GM and RMS-CM is in real time with RMS 3.0.

RMS 3.0 is a network-based program, used by USACE Resident Engineers, Districts, Divisions and HQUSACE and is fed by the Contractor's RMS-CM program. Both are simple to learn and use. Supplemental training to the Contractor's will be available upon request. When RMS-CM and RMS-GM are used from the very start of the contract, and maintained current, users have found it to be an outstanding tool to control quality, increase productivity, improve contract administration and help document construction quality.


Construction Management Uses: RMS-CM payment, schedule and QC data exported to RMS-GM is standardized for integration with CEFMS and P2 and allows higher levels of Contractor and USACE Management to track a contract's status and allows earlier identification of project-related issues. Contract status data consists of budget and schedule information plus a field report.

RMS 3.0 Contractor Mode: *RMS-CM* is the Contractor's Quality Control module of the Government's *Resident Management System* (RMS). This software has been implemented as the USACE standard worldwide. RMS-CM is to be used daily by the Contractor while performing normal duties. RMS-CM is specified in USACE contracts in Specification Section <u>01 45 00.00</u>, Quality Control, and <u>01 45 00.15 10</u>, RMS-CM, where required computer hardware and detailed execution instructions are described. RMS-CM has templates of most required forms and required reports. Data entry for these are described in detail in the RMS-CM and RMS-GM User's Guides. Screen shots of two primary modules in RMS-CM, "ADMINISTRATION" and "QUALITY CONTROL", are shown below with descriptions of various Submodules.

RMS-CM Functions: Project Construction, Activity Planning, Scheduling and Quality Control, more specifically:

- QC Daily Reports
- Procurement Reports
- Submittal and RFI Management
- Contract Administration
- Progress Payments
- Correspondence Tracking
- Safety Administration and Accident Reporting
- Contract Modification Processing
- Management Reporting
- A. Contract Administration (Administration Module) RMS-CM supports construction contract administration by transferring Government furnished data after award; and Contractor QC staff enters administrative data in RMS-CM. RMS-CM and RMS-GM indexes and tracks all incoming and outgoing

correspondence related to a contract. This feature allows the Contractor and the Contracting Officer field construction staff to maintain a complete historical record of correspondence and effectively relate it to contract and construction management activities over the life of the contract. The Contractor enters RFI's in RMS-CM and receives RFI responses from the Government's RMS-GM. This module also submodules to that allow the Contractor to add subcontractors, to track Contractor Insurance and Payrolls, and select options for Contract Notification Control.



B. Quality Control Data (QC Module) - Contractor QC Staff can easily input data for Quality Control daily reports, Three Phase Inspections (i.e., Preparator, Initial and Follow-up Phase Checklists), Activity Hazard Analyses (AHAs), Planned QC Requirements (i.e., QC Tests, User Schools, Installed Property and Transfer Property), On-site Equipment, Deficiency Tracking List and Exposure Hours. The Contractor enters data for the QC Daily Report and generates a QC Daily Report form, which is signed and stored in the QC Daily Report submodule and submitted electronically to the Government. Completion of updated *quality control data* daily to the Government provides the Resident Engineer data to help ensure the Contractor's Quality Control system is functioning properly and that quality of construction is achieved. It also assists Area Engineer and District-level managers with construction-related data for decisions and monitoring at levels above the Resident Office.

Module 8



- **C.** Submittal Register and Transmittal Control (Submittals Module): The Submittal Register, if used properly, helps the Contractor regulate the timely flow of materials and equipment on-site. A powerful feature of RMS 3.0 is it imports the submittal register data file generated by designers from Specs Intact specifications software. Once the RMS-CM submittal register is completed by Contractor it is exported to the RMS-GM database, and submittal status can be tracked by Contractor QC Staff. Used correctly it ensures more timely review and transmittal turn around.
- D. Construction Schedules (Schedules Module): RMS-CM facilitates schedule analysis showing proposed schedule and effect of logic changes. The Contractor's schedule is uploaded in RMS-CM after converting to Standard Data Exchange Format (SDEF). The SDEF provides a capability to import and export scheduling and work activity data with several commercial scheduling systems. It is an independent piece of software, which also enables conforming commercial system to communicate with each other. Use of this feature will not only speed up a complex task but will also help reduce the number and size of construction-related disputes and claims.
- E. Cost Control and Payment (Finances Module): The Contractor can use the RMS-CM Progress Payment feature for cost control, preparing prompt pay certifications, and tracking invoices and progress payment requests. Since RMS-CM interfaces with RMS-GM, and RMS-GM interfaces with CEFMS, the Pay Request process is expedited.

Field Uses of RMS-CM: RMS-CM is Government furnished software to Contractors without cost. The Contractor needs to access https://rms.usace.army.mil for RMS-

CM setup files, installation instructions, and demonstration videos. Users' Guides and additional helpful information are also available on the website which is maintained by the RMS Support Center. Training necessary to learn the RMS-CM program usually requires $\frac{1}{2}$ -1 day of instruction and demonstration.

The RMS-CM program directly benefits the Contractor QC Staff in:

- **Daily QC Report:** Provides fully integrated Daily Reporting for Quality Control personnel as required by the contract.
- **Punch List Items:** Provides the mandated Deficiency Tracking System required by the contract.
- Scheduling: Provides an easy means to import schedule Activities by importing from commercial scheduling packages (e.g., Primavera[™]).
- **Submittals:** Provides a comprehensive program to submit, process and track transmittal of Submittal items required by the contract (e.g., ENG Forms 4288-R and 4025-R).
- **Payment:** Automates the Payment Request Process (Invoice) for monthly progress updates.
- **Subcontractors:** Provides comprehensive information on items requiring action by Subcontractors, including work items not yet complete, outstanding submittal actions required, status of Insurances and payrolls, etc.
- **QC Requirements:** Provides complete tracking for *Transfer Property, Installed Property, Quality Control Testing,* and *User Schooling* as required by contract.
- **Three-Phase Inspection:** Provides a tool to alert the contractor of prior similar difficulties and challenges from current and previous contracts for use in the Preparatory, Initial, and Follow-up inspection process (Lessons Learned, Safety Checks, Inspection techniques, etc.).
- Action Items: Provides a comprehensive report mechanism itemizing outstanding items or items requiring attention covering all area of the contract administration process.
- **Reports:** The RMS-CM reports are available in each major area and many can be sorted or tailored for desired output.

Benefits of RMS 3.0:

- Exchange of data between Contractor and Government is in real time.
- Reduces input. Once data is entered one time on easy to use input screens, the computer draws on that data for a wide variety of input-output products. Many items are either drawn from other databases (such as Network Analysis data) or selected through "look-up tables" eliminating the need to completely type them.
- Merges data drawn from the RMS-GM and RMS-CM databases to produce useful tools to perform QC and QA functions.

- Provides reports for key suspense items and data.
- Generates products to assist Quality Control such as:
 - Submittal Registers, submittal tracking, and completed/signed (filled in) Transmittal Forms.
 - Three-Phase Control checklists, agenda, and meeting minutes.
 - Integrated deficiency tracking system.
 - Daily QC Reports and QA Reports.
 - Various closeout documents (including Installed Property, Transfer Property, User Schooling, etc.).

Other RMS-CM and RMS Features: Because data is being entered early and a database is built early in a job, the software allows QC and QA staff to effectively manage an assortment of QC/QA items:

- Tracks pay estimate data and generates pay requests. Carries scheduling activities and resource data input from commercial scheduling packages (i.e., Primavera[™]).
- Tracks a variety of personnel data, subcontractor listings, correspondence, RFIs, and safety items.
- Once start data is input, RMS 3.0 automatically prints heading data onto numerous reports and forms at the correct place. Most contracts will include some CQM forms but as RMS-CM versions are updated, forms may slightly change. Current forms are available in the RMS 3.0 program.

EXERCISE 8.1

- 1. What is the USACE standard software package used on construction projects?
- 2. What are the benefits of RMS 3.0?
- 3. What are the major output products of RMS-CM?

Submodule 8.2: Quality Control Components

Objective: After completing this submodule, you will be able to:

• Describe three major QC components included in RMS-CM.

Preparatory and Initial Phase Meeting Agendas and "Check" Databases:

Preparatory, Initial, and Follow-up Control phases provide common structure for CQM System in USACE contracts. Included in RMS 3.0 is a large database (+/-12,000 items) of useful quality control data:

- Repetitive deficiencies list developed from lessons learned on past similar USACE contracts
- "Checks," and requirements that are "flagged" as being worth special attention.

Past deficiencies and Checks are linked to definable features of work or specification section number. Once Definable Features of Work are agreed to by QC and QA, a feature of work is selected by Contractor from an available list; RMS-CM then generates an agenda for preparatory or initial control phase meetings. This agenda includes a comprehensive checklist on the definable feature of work to review at the meetings.

In addition, QC and QA staffs can review contract drawings and specifications to make additions to the special interest "Checks" on any definable feature of work.

RMS-CM has various reports for QC Manager use. Contractor has the capability to export data in each Submodule either in Excel, or PDF, formatted reports. RMS-CM can also automatically generate on meeting agenda forms, required applicable tests, submittal status, and relevant contract data, such as schedule activities

Submittal Process in RMS 3.0: Using RMS-CM linked with RMS-GM makes transmittal tracking and submittal item managing easier. Once data for a submittal item is entered in the system, it never needs to be re-described. By entering dates and action codes promptly, RMS-CM output documents make procurement, submittal tracking and material control easy for QC personnel. RMS-CM integrates important submittal dates to corresponding work break down activities in the construction schedule. Submittal items must be approved or materials, or equipment, prior to the Preparatory Phase Inspection meeting. Outstanding submittal items will be reflected as *Outstanding* on *Pay Estimate Worksheets*. RMS-CM automatically generates and numbers transmittal forms ENG Form 4025-R, complete with contract data and items selected for transmittal.

QC/QA Deficiency Tracking System: RMS-CM includes QC/QA Deficiencies is meant for Contractors, and QA, to add deficiencies during the life of the project, including during the punch-out inspection, Pre-Final and Final inspections, and warranty period. Deficiencies can be sorted by various categories for managing correction status. RMS-GM software has a similar function for tracking Deficiencies during the contract. The QC/QA Deficiency in the QC Daily Report meets the Quality Control specification requirement for having a formal deficiency tracking system.

Summary: RMS 3.0 provides the Contractor and the USACE management level overview of RMS-CM and RMS-GM software with general descriptions of major RMS-CM components. RMS-CM and RMS-GM are like any commercial CIM application, data must be entered daily and maintained current. As new users learn RMS-CM and work with it they will find ways that RMS-CM makes their job easier. To be successfully used to the best advantage, RMS-CM and RMS-GM requires a partnering effort between the Contractor and the USACE field office staffs and may require District level support. Speak with your District representative about further training in RMS-CM.

EXERCISE 8.2

1. What are three major QC components included in RMS?

Module 9: NAVFAC's ELECTRONIC CONSTRUCTION AND FACILITY SUPPORT CONTRACT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (eCMS)

Objectives: After completing this module, you will be able to:


Identify functionality available in eCMS.

List the benefits of eCMS.

List the major CQM output components of eCMS.

Introduction:

NAVFAC eCMS is a web-based, enterprise project collaboration tool developed to improve postaward management of schedules, RFIs, designs and submittals. The system allows for collaboration via website while providing tools to properly route submittals, issues, noncompliances and daily reports. As the principle construction management tool for NAVFAC, eCMS is available for all projects but Navy policy in August 2020 required that it be implemented for the following criteria. These criteria may be adjusted in a later date and will be updated as soon as applicable.



For all Military Construction (MILCON) projects, and other construction projects above \$1M including Commander, Navy Installations Command (CNIC) centrally managed projects facilities sustainment, restoration and maintenance (CMP-FSRM) and U.S. Marine Corps (USMC) – M2R2 projects, project teams (including construction managers, engineering technicians, designers, project managers, contract specialists, subject matter experts, contractors, etc.) will fully utilize eCMS for RFIs, submittals, issues, non-compliance notices, punch lists, checklists, daily reports, etc. to allow the collection of necessary construction data, KPI, and metrics.

For all projects above the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (currently \$250K), project teams will utilize eCMS, at a minimum, as an electronic share drive to ensure maintenance of project technical files (use of the various communication and site management modules is highly recommended).

For all projects below \$250K, project teams are encouraged to utilize eCMS, but its use is optional.

NAVFAC eCMS is strongly encouraged for all users as it provides a means for electronic cloud storage of all materials indefinitely. The application serves as a collaborative mechanism, at no cost, to our Contractors and customers. Coordination with the CM/COR is required, by specification, to complete the access request form and submitting to NAVFAC Information Technology Center (NITC). All the functionality in eCMS is associated with construction administration and Quality Control/Assurance to some extent. eCMS is the standard project collaboration system that will be used throughout NAVFAC.

eCMS Functionality:

Dashboards:

Default landing page for users of a project.

Displays interactive gauges of RFIs, Submittals, Open Action Items, etc.

Active link to RFI, Submittal or Open Action item in question. Will launch from this page.

Navigation to a new project shows the matching dashboard.

Ability to search for project or Responsible Contact.

					MANTAC DASHE	OMD				
AUTOI INFilming	Aggewikk Const.	٩								
PAGEs Answerict Abor Due Date A	ep No. of Zaya Mila Halts	No of Submittals Received After Just Daw	Aug. Days to Respond to S	onital No of	kis with Cost Impost		So of Fending Proce	ocing Changes		
					-		1			
32	1.0	32		0	21			10		
And 5.5 Mar 2013 Target	No. 24 Nov. 214 Nove.	MILES MACTOLS TADA	WHE D.S. March	11 hear		-		Nac 1013 Mapl		
white the		LORD DESIGN								
		Furthers:								
		Pagest Clipst. Sp		Asia bra frage	-	Imposible Conte				
		LATEST LATING Schwidt LATEST LATING Schwidt	COMA UNIT But	anter being		ET O CERTET O		16-01-0386	â	
	1 214	LATERT LAS being Activities		at status when par sound the use when the one was		ECCIPAL TERC PAL	e e	200-09-38		
	1.00	LATEST UNI homey Schwidth	COMA-DISH SISTAN	through the second s		of Orlin		200405-24		
		OTEN OF heavy Advented	COBA UNK Shares			UT O CONTROL O	608 (P	200-010	-	
		LATEST UN honey Schwinst	COBA USED Solution		1	ECTATION REPORT			-	
Guardiapose Quartino Messi Quartino Quartino Districtione Distributione Distribution		No. 1 1112-10-011-004	4.1	2.8						
		1								
intenitive by large		Section 200								
		Paral News								
		Pegint	Salasimi ID Spec Sea		for her	daments.				
		DETEXT LET besing DETEXT LET besing	CEMBE-60001 CEMBE-60002	tes web	2010-04-05					
-		UNITSY UNITARING	COMM-0000	Nor Scheritzei	2629-64-30	10				
		DOTEST OF heating	CC300A-00004 CC300A-00004	Backlad Contacker reader	2020-04-01 2020-04-01	ie ie				
		UNITED IN Image	020004-00005	Manakag Matalahari Mat	200 GL 00	17				
		OF BUT OF heating	CC3004-0008	Bylithing Selicity	ALT: CA - 20	10				
		GREAT LAW being GREAT LAW being	0734944-00240	108105 Transformer developing a fight	2010/06/15	ir ir				
		OWEN OF Being	CC2884-89011 CC2898-89012	uinten parte la	36845-3	10				
		OWNER OF BRIDE	023038-0001	and grown	AUR-01-15					
		UNITED IN Integ	CC3044-0004	and people	2010/01/01	17				
		Contracts (Contraction)	reference and all		100 PT 10	-				
		Page 1 of 10-17 of Films	• 🗆 •							
Pia by Saran	White Gap		Milling							
			Particut							
			Pagest	BT Harder	Edger	Take .	C-main Ealer	Report Date	Laund	
1111 225			WINY OF here		100	CHEN .	388-04-02 388-04-02	2626464-07	18	
	Contrary Contrary	-	ACTOR Latitude	TO-ENERS MAN	eta et	CPDN POXEME	ALC: NO.	2020-04-01	ur ur	
un l	CAN Printer			181-13805 Not		OPEN	2620-04-16	2039-04-25	10	
			WINY OF here		e falsen selveren de boe 10000	0.0460	MR-04-22 200-04-23	AUR-01-04 AUR-01-04		
			MURY MURINE	10-1000 V		CLOSED	300-04-23 200-04-23			
			OWNEY ON BRIDE	IN-ENE but	12	OPEN	300-04-28			
			GETER OF Long	NY-ENT de-	al An Isaar	CHEN	300-00-20 300-00-11	200446-00	ur ur	
			UNTEXT UNTINNING	00-8940 Ov	of A Offices across Wile	0.0550	2620-06-01	2008-06-20	52	
			OWNEY ON Bridge		techip Fil	OPEN	MR-06-11	2010-06-02	10	

Communication Management:

The Contractor and the Government will have the ability to submit, respond, track and forward RFIs, Submittals, Communications, Internal Issues, and meeting minutes within the

system. Document Packages can be uploaded and stored in numerous folders that correspond to the role or aspect of the contract.

Attachments can be made in the form of a photo, PDF or WORD document, drawing, schedules, etc.

The system allows for the audit of timelines. Thus, a Submission can be forwarded to an SME and the due dates for all reviewers is known. This can be done case-by-case or by standard template for reoccurring submissions on a project.

Communications and Issues Internal Routing (also referred to as Issues), can be used to convey information or assign a task to a team member or stakeholder. Using these applications will allow information, reminders, and task deadlines to show up on the recipient's Project Calendar and My Actions list.

Requests for Information (RFIs):

Can be generated by either the Contractor or the Government.

Routed to the other for response.

Contain the ability for attachments to include PDFs, WORD Documents, photos, schedules and more as required.

Can have "reviewers" assigned by NAVFAC personnel.

Provide visual reminder of New and Modified submittals within the function tree view and via orange and blue banners within the list view.

Contains auto audit tracking features.

Submittals:

Allows import of a large submittal register from a comma-delimited file.

Users can export the submittal register.

The Contractor initiates submittals against the register.

Routed to the Government for review or file.

Contain the ability for attachments to include PDFs, WORD Documents, photos, schedules and more as required.

Can have "reviewers" assigned by NAVFAC personnel.

Provide visual reminder of New and Modified submittals within the function tree view and via orange and blue banners within the list view.

UIConsole - Standard Console X +										- 0		
← → C	iicprod/U	JIConsole/fa	ces/task-flow-definition-ru	nNamedConsole/MainCons	ole					* O		
CMIC ENTERPRIS	SE									HERMAN.PABLO		
Project Management												
Submittal				6	Send E-Mail O Add	Edit Delete	🛦 Import 🛛 🗇 Copy 🔒 🖡	Print Report 🖨 Qu	ick Print	+ Back To Log		
9 + - • •	Submit	tal Detail	Notes 🗸	Related Objects 🛩	Attachment	s✔ H	istory					
roject: NAVFAC Test Project (NAVTES	Schedu	ule										
Communication Management		Activity		sbmt.ball_in_court NAVFAC - HERMAN PABLO								
▶ RFIs	*D	ue_Onsite		ail Days Prior	Days Prior Arrived_Onsite			le 1				
Submittals			Submitted_By_Contractor	Internal_Review Sent_For	Review External_Review	Returned_From_ReturneFrom_ReturneFrom_Re	view Final_Review Fw	d_to_contractor	Float	Delivered_Onsite		
CC18NA-00002	Planned	_Schedule		0	20		0		0			
Transmittals			Projected On Time	Projected On	Time D	rojected On Time	Projecter	On Time		Projected On Time		
Communications	Actual_F	Projected	•	• -		•						
Issues_Internal Routing						2018-03-02						
Project Calendar	Review	lor										
My Actions		Step	Reviewer		Role	Required	Due Date	Status	Action	Date		
Distribution Lists		otep	Kenener		Kolu		Due Date	outua	Action	Date		
Meeting Minutes	Ø	1			Role_Submitter							
Project Contact Directory		2	KTR PM	ZZ-Acme Supply	Role Manager							
Site Management	-											
Document Management	Ø	3			Role_Approver		2018-03-02					
Reports -	1	4	KTR PM	ZZ-Acme Supply	Role_Manager		2018-02-03					
P Type here to search	-	H	i 💿 💿 😑	6 0 8		Z				d× 파 3:45 PM		

Contains auto tracking features.

My Actions

List of upcoming due dates for RFIs, Submittals, Action Items, Communications, and Issues or Internal Routing tasks.

Can be grouped by type of action or due date.

Site Management – Section contains Daily Reports, punch lists and checklists and other deliverables from the Contractor to NAVFAC. For Government utilization it also contains the Non-Compliance Notices that can be issued to the Contractor. All these items are easily created, tracked and updated deliverables within the project.

Daily Reports:

Contractor will be able to generate and submit the Daily Report, QC Report, Preparatory Phase Checklist, Initial Phase Checklist, and Rework List.

The Daily Report combines the quality control report, production report and safety reporting. The Daily Journal Detail tab provides date of report, weather and more. The task

tab of the Daily Report (as shown below) captures information at the site such as materials delivered, labor hours, equipment used, work completed, and additional comments.

	_								
Daily Journa	al Detail	Tasks	Own Equipment	Trade Equipment	Materials	Quality Control	Safety	Notes	Attachments
DLY-00074 Date	2020-03-06	6 12:07:15.0)	Prepared By C	lyde suzuki		Submitte	id_By	CLYDE SUZUKI

captures much more detailed information on the work completed at the job site. Including the phase, definable features of work, activity codes, a compliance with contract check box and remarks.

Checklists within Site Management provide for QA, QC and various FSC assessments.

In support of the three phases of control eCMS has a Preparatory Phase Checklist to capture

the information specific to the First Phase of Control. This form documents the meetings that occur on each Definable Feature of Work to ensure an understanding exists regarding approved submittals, material storage, requirements of the specifications/plans, testing, safety, etc.

UlConsole - Standard Console X		_		Unclassified						-	σ	
	+ il/cmicprod/UIConsols/laces/tesk-flow-definiti			County Advised on the						*		9
G B ecreanemecnenyari	elicitacity of conscience application wow-off the	an-iunin	all here	LC HSOREYNLER ILCHINOIP						н		3
CMIC ENTERPR	RISE									O HERMAN	PABL	.0 6
ect Management												
<tr_qc preparato<="" td=""><td>ory Phase</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>O Add</td><td># Eat</td><td>Pret Report</td><td>+ Back To Lo</td><td></td><td></td></tr_qc>	ory Phase						O Add	# Eat	Pret Report	+ Back To Lo		
+- q	Checklist Details			Notes	Related Ob	yects 🗸		10	lachments			
act: NAVFAC Test Project (NAVTES	Checklist No. 00001					Created Date 2018-02-14						
Communication Management	Created By CLYDE SUZUKI											
ite Management					General							
Potential Change Items	Comments Test											
Deary Report	Spec Section											
Characteria Technologia	Definable Feature of Work											
Punchnolo_Toshing Lags	Definable Feature of Work Schedule Act No.											
Punchase_Teshing Logs FWD_UtraLean COAR RFP												
	Schedule Act No.				Chuckfist							
FWD_UltraLean COAR RFP	Schedule Act No.	YN	NA C	Comments	Checkint		Date		Issue_Link			
FWD_UtreLean COAR RFP Non-Comptiance Notices	Schwdaie Act No. Index # Personnel Present Operanment Rep method? Name? Poston?			Comments	Checkint		Date			Link With Issue		
FWD_UtraLean COAR RFP Non-Compliance Notices Checklists	Schwale Act No. Index # Personnel Present	00	0		Checkint		Date		Issue_Link Create_linue 1	Link, With_Insue	3	
FWD_UtraLean COAR RFP Non-Compliance Notices Checklists & KTR_QC Preparatory Phase	Schrödele Act Ko. Index 8 Personnel Present Government Reg notifica? Name? Prester?? Corpany: Government? Submittals	00	0	Construents	Checklet		Date			Link With_Issue	3	0
FWD_UtraLean COAR RFP Non-Compliance Notices Checklists A KTR_OC Preparatory Phase 00001	Schridele Act Ro. Index 8 Personal Present Downment Dign notike? Teamo? Teatoo? Corposity/Corporence? Submittals Revers Submittals and schematical Register.	00	O NA C		Checklist				Crosse Lissue L		3	0
FWD_Utratues COAR RFP Non-Compliance Notices Checktals	Schwede Act No. Index 8 Personel Presen Commence High settler? Speech Presen? Commence Activity Schemen Present and Biolensia and the Schemen Activity and Biolensia con schemel and activity of approxem.	 ○ ○ Y N ○ ○ 	0 NA 0		Circlait				Create Jose 1 Issue_Link Create Josue 1	Lank_With_Issue	3	0
FWD_Utrat.eas COAR RFP Non-Comphance Notices Checklass & KTR_OC Preparatory Phase 00001 KTR_OC Instal Phase GOV_QA_Green Zone_Preco	Schedel Act No. Index 8 Present Present Comment Rise assister? Same? Present Company Comments Schematic Harman Schematica Activity Schematic Register Harman Schematica Activity Schematica Register Harman Activity Schematica Activity Schematica Activity Schematica Activity Harman Activity Schematica Activity Schematica Activity Harman Activity Schematica Activity Schematica Activity Schematica Activity Harman Activity Schematica Activity Schematica Activity Schematica Activity Harman Activity Schematica Activity Harman Activity Schematica Acti	0 0 Y N	0 NA 0		Checkfird				Create Jose 1 Issue_Link Create Josue 1		3	
FWD_Utrat.ean COAR RFP Non-Comphance Notices Checklass A KTR_OC Preparatory Phase 00001 KTR_OC Instal Phase GOV_GA_Green Zone_Pirco KTR_Soluty Contractor Set A	Schwede Act No. Index 8 Personel Presen Commence High settler? Speech Presen? Commence Activity Schemen Present and Biolensia and the Schemen Activity and Biolensia con schemel and activity of approxem.	 ○ O Y N ○ O ○ O ○ O 	0 NA 0 0		Checkfird				Create_Link Issue_Link Create_Issue I Create_Issue I	Lank_With_Issue	3 3 3 3	

The Initial Phase Checklist captures information specifically for the Second Phase of Control. This form documents the establishment for workmanship quality required by the contract while still documenting personnel present, safety and concurrence with preparatory work. Any problems or deficiencies incurred are resolved so the follow-on work (3rd Phase of Control) can be installed with no or a minimum of deficiencies.

Attachments to all submissions can be made in the form of a photo, PDF or WORD documents, EXCEL files, drawings, or even schedules.

All submissions are routed to the Government for review.

Government will be able to generate the QA Report (as shown below), KPI checklists, Red Zone Checklist, Non-Compliance Notices, Punch lists and more.

	UIConsole - Standard Conso	le × +	Un								
	Project Management										
As noted with	GDV_Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP/CPARS)										
submittals these	e • - •	Checklist Details	Notes	features							
	Project: NAVFAC Test Project (NAVTE:	Checklist No. 00000001									
all provide the	Communication Management	Created By KTR PM		ability							
to track	Site Management			l.							
submission	Potential Change Items	Comments Test	dates,								
	Daily Report		la la la la solo								
checklist dates	Punchlists_Testing Logs	QUALITY	Y N NA Comments	and							
features within	FWD_UltraLean COAR RFP	QC Plan Submittal	000	the tabs.							
	Non-Compliance Notices	Implementation of the CQC Plan	000								
	Checklists	QC Documentation	000								
	KTR_QC Preparatory Phase	Storage of Materials	000								
Schedules	KTR_QC Initial Phase										
	GOV_QA_Green Zone_Prec	Adequacy of Materials	000	0.4							
(accessible from	KTR_Safety Contractor Self	Adequacy of Submittals	000	Site							
Management &	GOV_QA Report	Adequacy of QC Testing	000								
Document	GOV_Quality Assurance Sur	Adequacy of As-builts	000								
	00000001										
Management):	GOV_QA Red Zone	Use of Specified and Approved Materials	000								
A 1 •1• •	Document Management	Identification/Correction of Deficient Work in a Timely Manner	000	1							
Ability to view,	Reports Wide Area Workflow (WAWF)	Completion of Punchlist Items	000	and							
compare	Contractor Performance Assessme	SCHEDULE	Y N NA Comments	held fir an impose							
baseline and	eCMS Access Request Form	Adequacy of Baseline Schedule	000	23 Dec 2913 Mo 1 W T F S S H							
	eCMS (Internal)										
monthly	4 F	Adherence to Approved Schedule	000								
schedules		Brinds 1137800 1137800 Brand Hayes 1137800 1137800	29 29 29								
Can import P6 an	d MS Project	Band Dansata 11.26.2011	63								
schedules		B. Muchen Dicawitien 32.453.95.3 12.453.85.3 Diseword Sockes Earth 32.463.95.3 12.544.95.3		D-,							
		Biolifications Received 32:25:50:13 40:09:50:45 Biolifications Received 61:25:50:4 61:25:50:4 Discriptations 61:25:50:4 61:25:50:4									
Tools allow for v	iew of critical	Disordation E12-2521/4 E12-8221/4 Disparali Bane Fateral E12-2521/4 E12-8221/4 Disparali Bane Fateral E12-2521/4 E12-2521/4									
	-9	Popel Raw 4 11-30-3014 12-30-3014 @ Sand Sub-Base Rid) 11-30-3014 11-33-3014		%							
path, tasks that ha	ave a desired	Environ Ender 03/43/2014 02/24/2014 Environ at Filter Tables 05/25/2014 02/15/2014		. 70							

of completion, or search for specific activities.

Able to view "related objects" which include RFIs, Submittals, issues or communications linked to the schedule.

File folders for the storage of native schedule files, PDF versions of the schedule and reports.

Contains auto document and audit tracking features.

Document Management – This section also contains the scheduling application with features noted above and numerous folders for Contractors and Government to include Pre-Award Documentation, Warranty, Partnering, Photos and many more.

Safety/Environmental

File folders for the storage of safety and environmental related documents.

Government will be able to generate Safety Audits.

Photos

File Folders for the storage of project photos Ability to view a variety of photo formats Ability to redline photos.

Constructability Reviews

Provides a link to DrChecks.

DrChecks is a website for the generation, management, and tracking of constructability review comments for NAVFAC and other Federal Agencies.

Additional folders exist with FEC specific forms which include the constructability review checklist.

PSPDF viewer allows for the mark-up of drawings as needed during review.

Commissioning

File folder for the storage of all Commissioning related documents.

Contains auto document and audit tracking features.

Other folders also exist for the storage of the Contract Drawings, Contract Specifications, Meeting Minutes, Reports, etc.

Benefits of Using eCMS:

Usage of a commercial off the shelf industry accepted software package configured to NAVFAC requirements.

Instant upgrades that are documented and transparent to the user.

Reduced response time on RFIs, Submittals, etc.

Long term storage of project files. No files removed because security policy or email requirements.

Enhanced project communication between all project stakeholders.

Real time project status management and data retrieval.

Better visibility to Contractor & Government Senior Management.

Reduced administration expenses.

Real time collaboration and problem solving.

Major CQM Output Products of eCMS:

Submittal Register, submittal tracking (date/time stamping of all entries).

Three-Phase Control checklists, agenda, and meeting minutes.

Deficiency tracking system.

Daily QC and QA Reports.

Daily Production Reports.

Schedule Integration for data retrieval and report writing.

Central storage area for all CQM and Production documents.

Summary:

The information provided in this module is only an overview of NAVFAC's eCMS system. A web-based training system is available that covers navigation, search techniques, running system reports and in-depth knowledge of each functionality covered above. NAVFAC provides the web-based training and access to eCMS when a contractor has an active construction contract with NAVFAC.

EXERCISE 9

1. What are the benefits of using eCMS?

2. List the major CQM output products of eCMS.

Appendix A Components of CQC

Specification Sections

- <u>01 30 00</u> Administrative Requirements
- 01 33 00 Submittal Procedures
- 01 35 26 Governmental Safety Requirements
- <u>01 45 00.00 10</u> Quality Control
- 01 45 00.00 20 Quality Control
- 01 45 00.15 10 Resident Management System Contractor Mode (RMS-CM)
- 01 45 35 Special Inspections
- 01 78 00 Closeout Submittals
- 01 78 23 Operation and Maintenance Data

**Specialized contracts may include the following Specification Sections

- 01 33 16.00 10 Design Data (Design After Award)
- 01 33 23.33 Aviation Fuel System Specific Submittal Requirements
- 01 35 29.13 Health, Safety, and Emergency Response Procedures for Contaminated Sites
- <u>01 45 00.10 20</u> Quality Control for Minor Construction
- 01 78 23.33 Operation and Maintenance Manuals for Aviation Fuel Systems
- 01 78 24.00 10 Facility Data Requirements
- 01 78 24.00 20 Facility Electronic Operation and Maintenance Support Information (eOMSI)
- 01 83 00.07 40 Reliability Centered Acceptance for Facility Shells
- O<u>1 83 13.07 40</u> Reliability Centered Acceptance for Superstructure Performance Requirements
- <u>01 86 26.07 40</u> Reliability Centered Acceptance for Electrical Systems
- 01 91 00.15 10 Total Building Commissioning
- 01 91 00.15 Total Building Commissioning

Quality Control (QC) Plan

Preconstruction Conference

Preconstruction Safety Conference

Project Schedule

QC Plan Meeting

QC/QA Coordination Meeting or Mutual Understanding Meeting

Three Phases of Control System

- Preparatory Control Phase and report
- Initial Control Phase and report
- Follow-up Control Phase

Safety

- Conduct and document daily safety inspections
- Activity Hazard Analysis (AHA)

Quality Control (QC) Documents

- Contractor Quality Control Daily Report
- Contractor Production Report
- Preparatory Phase Checklist
- Initial Phase Checklist
- Deficiency/Rework Items List
- Testing Plan and Log
- Submittal Register
- Contractor's Submittal Transmittal Form

Submittals

- List of Definable Features of Work (DFOW)
- Quality Control Plan
- Environmental Protection Plan
- Base Access Plan
- Accident Prevention Plan

Offsite Fabrication, Testing and Inspection

Material Receipt and Check-Out

Deficiency/Rework Items Tracking and correcting

Non-compliance notice

Request for information (RFI)

Control Testing and recording/reporting

System Testing

Training of Government personnel in operation and maintenance of equipment

Commissioning

Punch-out Inspection

Pre-final Inspection

Final Acceptance Inspection

As-built drawings

Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Manuals

- O&M System Instructions (OMSI)
- Electronic O&M System Instructions (eOMSI)
- O&M Training

Warranties

• Warranty Inspections

Turnover of keys and spare materials

Appendix B Example QC Plan USACE

Keyes Construction Co., Inc.

General Contractor

5318 Madison St.

Denver, Co 80200

May 19, 20xx Serial No. MC-4

Area Engineer

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

563 W. Granger

Colorado Springs, CO 80900

RE: One Tactical Equipment Shop DACA92-97-C-0111 Ft. Carson, CO

Gentlemen:

We are submitting, herewith, our Quality Control Plan for the above referenced project for acceptance.

Very truly yours,

Warren J. Cooper

Construction Manager

QUALITY CONTROL PLAN KEYES CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. FOR CONSTRUCTION OF - ONE TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP FORT CARSON, COLORADO CONTRACT NO. W912P9-XX-C-0111 MAY 20xx

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER OF AUTHORITY QUALIFICATIONS OF PERSONNEL

- 1. PURPOSE
- 2. POLICY
- 3. ORGANIZATION
 - 3.1. Quality Control System Manager
 - 3.2. Contractor's Other Personnel
 - 3.3. Commercial Testing Firms
- 4. PROCEDURES
 - 4.1. Control of Onsite-Construction
 - 4.2. Receiving and Warehousing
 - 4.3. Offsite Inspection
 - 4.4. Inspection Documentation
 - 4.5. Drawing and Document Control
 - 4.6. Materials Certification
 - 4.7. Workmanship Inspection
 - 4.8. Calibration of Equipment
 - 4.9. Final Inspection and Test
 - 4.10. Revision Policy
- 5. TESTING METHODS
 - 5.1. American Welding Society (AWS) Standards
- 6. RECORDING FORMS
- 7. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES
 - 7.1. Surveillance of Subcontractor Operations
 - 7.2. Inspection Acceptance Procedures
 - 7.3. Inspection Discrepancy Procedures
 - 7.4. Concrete Testing Procedures
- 8. DEFINABLE FEATURES OF CONSTRUCTION WORK

May 19, 20xx Serial No. MC-5

Army Engineer U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 563 W. Granger Colorado Springs, CO 80900

RE: One Tactical Equipment Shop DACA92-97-C-O111 Ft. Carson, CO.

Gentlemen:

This is to introduce Morton S. McCray for the position of Contractor's Representative for Quality Control on the above referenced project.

Very truly yours,

Warren P. Crossen

Construction Manager

May 19, 20xx

Morton S. McCray Quality Control System Manager 3636 Belview Avenue

Colorado Springs, CO 80900

RE: Quality Control One Tactical Equipment Shop DACA92-97-C-0111

Dear Mr. McCray:

This is a Letter of Direction to you outlining your duties and responsibility as our Quality Control Representative on the above referenced project.

You will be responsible for preparing and maintaining the Submittal Register Form 4288 for the duration of the project. You are required to check all shop drawings for accurate dimensions and to ensure compliance to applicable specifications and drawings as to the quality of materials being proposed for the project. This also applies to all subcontractors, offsite fabricators, and suppliers.

You will make, on a continuing basis, sufficient daily follow-ups to ensure that all workmanship and materials in the construction of this project are in conformance with the specifications and drawings.

You will be responsible for all testing as required by the specifications, a qualified testing laboratory will be furnished to you, as outlined in The Keyes Construction Quality Control Plan.

You will be present during all testing and coordinate all such tests as required in accordance with the specifications and the Corps of Engineers Representative.

The quality control system will include three phases of control and tests. Primarily, Preparatory Phase, Initial Phase, and Follow-up Phase. You are directed to Section 01 45 00.00 10/01 45 00.00 20, paragraph 3.6 for specific instructions outlining these control phases. Record all control phases and tests on the Quality Control Report and submitted the following workday covered by the report to the

government Representative. Submit all test results in triplicate, not later than three calendar days after the tests are performed.

You are directed to review The Keyes Construction Quality Control Plan as well as the Project Specifications, Amendments and Drawings, in their entirety. If there is an item not understood, you are to consult your Quality Control Support Team.

The Quality Control Support Team will consist of the Project Superintendent and Keyes Construction Project Management.

Sincerely,

Warren P. Crossen

Construction Manager

QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

1. PURPOSE

This document establishes the Quality Control System of Keyes Construction Company, Inc. to provide the necessary supervision, control phases and tests of all items of work, including that of suppliers and subcontractors, that will ensure the compliance of all work with the applicable specifications and drawings in respect with the contractor-furnished equipment, materials, workmanship, construction, finish, functional performance, and identification.

2. POLICY

Keyes Construction Company, Inc. through the utilization of a Quality Control System, strives to obtain a uniform, high quality level of workmanship throughout all phases of procurement, fabrication, construction and installation of equipment and facilities, to assure this end, the following principles will be observed:

- Assure the highest quality by maintaining supervised controls and written instructions governing quality control procedures and practices, establish clearly defined responsibility and authority for compliance.
- Conform to all contractual requirements, specifications, applicable military standards and the Keyes Construction Company, Inc. Quality Control Plan. Compile accurate records of test certifications and other required documentation.
- Notify Project Management, and the government of quality discrepancies for immediate corrective action. Assure that corrective action is implemented properly.
- The Quality Control System Manager will be housed in a space separate from the Project Management staff and shall be under the supervision of Keyes Construction Company, Inc. home office.

3. ORGANIZATION

- 3.1. Quality Control System Manager (QCSM) - Reports to and receives authority directly from Keyes Construction Company, Inc. management. The Quality Control System Manager shall formulate and implement as required the written procedures and instructions contained in this plan. Actual practices are not limited to this plan and where a discrepancy exists between this plan and the contract requirements, the contract requirements shall prevail. Consults with project supervisory personnel to assure compliance with the quality control requirements of the contract. Coordinates the quality control efforts of subcontractors and suppliers to correspond with the overall Quality Control Plan. The QCSM shall provide direct feedback and advise the government representative regarding the effectiveness and capability of the quality control organization, including but not limited to coordination, field engineering, office engineering, accounting for government- furnished property, etc. QCSM will be physically on the project site for the duration of the contract work. QCSM will review and coordinate submittals and approvals for contractor furnished materials and equipment, conduct tests, and follow-ups of subcontractor's work as required to ensure compliance with contract plans and specifications.
- 3.2. <u>Contractor's Other Personnel</u> Quality control functions will be carried out by other contractor's personnel to include the Project Superintendent who will be physically on the job-site for the duration of the contract work. Contractor's other

personnel will assist the Quality Control System Manager in other areas as required to fully implement the Quality Control Plan. The QC system manager may delegate such duties to other contractor's personnel who may be assigned to the project on a temporary basis such as Field Engineers and Superintendents.

- 3.3. <u>Commercial Testing Firms</u> Commercial testing firms to be utilized are: Testing Laboratory, Inc.
 - 2003 E. Willard St.

Denver, Colorado 80900

4. PROCEDURES

•

- 4.1. <u>Control of Onsite Construction</u> The Quality Control System Manager will perform enough control phases and tests of all work, including that of subcontractors to ensure conformance to applicable specifications and drawings with respect to the materials, workmanship, construction, finish, functional performance, and identification. The Quality Control organization will perform at least three phases of control for all definable features of work, as follows:
 - <u>Preparatory Phase</u> Performed prior to beginning each definable feature of work. Notify the Government and other appropriate persons at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting.
 - Review contract requirements.
 - Check to assure that all materials and/or equipment are on hand and have been tested, submitted, and approved as required.
 - Check to assure that provisions have been made to provide required control testing.
 - Examine work area to assure that all preliminary work has been accomplished.
 - Review hazard analysis.
 - <u>Initial Phase</u> Performed at the beginning of a definable feature of work. Notify the Government and other appropriate persons at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting.
 - Check preliminary work.
 - Check new work for compliance with contract documents.
 - Review of control testing.
 - Establish level of workmanship.
 - Check for use of defective or damaged materials.
 - Check for omissions and resolve any differences of interpretation with the Government representative.
 - General check of dimensional requirements.
 - Check safety compliance.
 - Follow-Up Phases Perform daily checks to assure continued compliance with workmanship established at the initial phase.
 - Assurance of continuous compliance with contract drawings and specifications.
 - Daily control testing.
- 4.2. <u>Receiving and Warehousing</u> Inspection of permanent construction materials received will be performed by the Quality Control System Manager, or other contractor personnel. Visual inspection will be made for:
 - Identification
 - Damage

- Completeness
- Evidence of compliance with approvals
- Proper documentation
- Results of receiving inspection will be recorded on an appropriate report form and will be made available to the Government.
- 4.3. <u>Offsite Control</u> Facilities of offsite fabricators and suppliers will be surveyed as required to assure that all requirements of the contract drawings and specifications are met and maintained and to assure delivery of quality products. The results of each survey will be recorded on an appropriate form and will be made available to the Government. The fabricator or supplier will be notified of any deficiencies and will be required to submit a report of corrective actions taken. The contractor will inform the Government of offsite surveys.
- 4.4. <u>Documentation</u> The Quality Control System Manager will maintain current records of all control activities and tests. These will include factual evidence that the required control phases and tests have been performed, including the number and results; nature of defects, causes for rejection, etc.; proposed remedial action; corrective actions taken; contractor's records will cover both conforming and defective features and will include a statement that all supplies and materials incorporated in the work are in full compliance with the terms of the contract. Legible copies of these records on an appropriate form will be furnished to the Government daily.
- 4.5. <u>Drawing and Document Control</u> Contract drawing, work orders and change orders issued for construction will also be issued to the Quality Control System Manager. It is the responsibility of the Office Engineer to issue all technical information to the Quality Control System Manager. It is the responsibility of the Quality Control System Manager to maintain this technical information, keep it current, and record updates as approved revisions occur. No technical information will be replaced or revised without receipt of properly authorized change notice, revision, or equal.
- 4.6. <u>Materials Certification</u> Copies of all purchase orders or subcontracts requiring receiving inspection will be given to the Quality Control Department for receiving and record purposes. When the purchase order requires vendor certification of materials, equipment, or supplies, such certification shall be verified as to accuracy and conformance and may be used in lieu of a test for those properties covered by the certification. Copies of all certifications received will be maintained in the Quality Control folder and will be available to the Government upon request or submitted as provided in the contract specifications.
- 4.7. <u>Workmanship Inspection</u> Items which will be embedded in the concrete placements or areas which will be covered up by a following operation will be inspected by the Quality Control System Manager. The Quality Control System Manager shall verify by signature that all items installed are in accordance with the contract drawings and specifications prior to the placement of concrete or covering. Any corrective action required will be recorded.
- 4.8. <u>Calibration of Equipment</u> All contractor furnished measuring and test equipment shall be calibrated and maintained to traceable government standards. Records of these calibration certifications will be maintained by the Quality Control Department and made available to the Government upon request.
 - Each instrument will be plainly and permanently numbered, the equipment will be operated only by those persons directly

responsible for the equipment or personnel under their cognizance.

- Each piece of equipment will be checked for accuracy as recommended by manufacturer for frequency of calibration. Required calibration of measuring and test equipment will be conducted by a certified laboratory.
- Measuring and test equipment dropped, damaged, or believed to be inaccurate will be removed from services and recalibrated.
- 4.9. <u>Final Inspection and Test</u> Prior to final inspection or start of tests, all systems being inspected or tested shall be completed and accepted by the Quality Control System Manager, after this acceptance, the final inspection and test may proceed in accordance with the following steps:
 - Verify the test personnel have a working knowledge of the special characteristics of the instruments being used.
 - Note the inspection or test requirements and criteria for successful completion of the required inspection or test.
 - Upon satisfactory verification of these requirements the test may proceed. Each reading will be verified and documented by the Quality Control System Manager. All functional validations or tests will be performed by the Quality Control Department unless otherwise noted. No functional test will be performed by the Quality Control Department unless otherwise noted. No functional test will be accepted without properly authorized and approved test procedures.
 - The general requirement of final acceptance will include, but not be limited to, the following:
 - General appearance
 - Workmanship
 - Cleanliness of areas and equipment
 - Identification of equipment
 - Painting

0

- Removal of unused material and temporary facilities
 - Condition of job files and completion of paperwork
- 4.10. <u>Revision Policy</u> Activities, programs, and procedures not covered in this Quality Control Plan or proposals or additions to these standards, shall be discussed at meetings held for that purpose at such times and places the Quality Control System Manager may select, and shall take such action to request acceptance from the government to incorporate such revisions as deemed necessary. A record shall be kept of such meetings and interested parties present, together with the subject matter reviewed. Such meetings shall be held as required by changes in the contract specifications for the purpose of reviewing the QC plan, to entertain revisions, additions or deletions. Accepted revisions shall be incorporated in the plan as first revision, second revision, etc., a revised index page shall be included.

5. <u>TESTING METHODS</u>

All testing will be in accordance with the applicable section of the specifications.

6. <u>RECORDING FORMS</u>

The contractor quality control personnel will perform all tests as indicated in the contract specifications using the appropriate Corps of Engineers ASTM, or other approved test methods. The following list itemizes some of the forms which the

contractor quality control personnel intend to use. This list is not all inclusive and may be revised and updated as conditions require. The contractor's records will be available for review by the Government.

- <u>Subcontractor Daily QC Report</u> To be filled out daily by the subcontractor's quality control personnel covering the day's quality control activities, approved by the Prime Contractor's Quality Control System Manager, and placed in the Prime Contractor's file.
- <u>Construction Quality Control Daily Report</u> To be used by the Quality Control System Manager to report the day's quality control activities of the Prime Contractor and all subcontractors, submitted to the government daily.
- <u>Trip Report</u> Used to report activities covering offsite trips. Will be submitted with the contractor's Quality Control Daily Report.
- Daily QC reports and all attachments will be submitted in duplicate on the first working day following the day covered by this report.
- Copies of all inspection and test reports including data and calculation sheets will be submitted with the daily QC report.
- Quality Control System Managers' reports will contain notations specifically defining the phase of control on each day's activities and note compliance or non-compliance with previous phases when applicable.
- The Government will be notified 24 hours in advance of all tests to be performed in the field.
- <u>Concrete Placement Card</u> To be filled out prior to, during and after concrete placement to document that preparatory, initial and follow-up phases have been made for concrete placement. A copy of this report is to be included with the daily QC report.
- <u>Concrete Summary</u> Provides a running summary of concrete test results. To be kept in contractor's files and made available to the Government upon request.
- <u>Density Test Summary</u> Provides a running summary of soil testing results. To be kept in contractor's files and made available to the Government upon request.

7. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

7.1. Surveillance of Subcontractors' Operations - Surveillance of the subcontractors' operations is the responsibility of the Quality Control System Manager. Major discrepancies that come to their attention will be recorded and transmitted to the related subcontractor. The contractor's Quality Control System Manager has authority to act directly with subcontractor representatives on routine quality control activities. If the discrepancy is related to a concrete placement or will be covered by preceding operation, a resolution will be made prior to the item being covered. Major discrepancies will be followed up daily, upon correction of the major discrepancy, the date corrected will be noted and by whom. There is one Quality Control System Manager for the Keyes Construction Company. Inc. with support of the Project Superintendent and Keyes Construction Company, Inc. Project Management. Surveillance of the subcontractor's operations is the responsibility of the Quality Control System Manager. The Contractor's Quality Control System Manager has authority to act directly with subcontractor representatives on routine quality control activities. In addition to the Contractor's Quality Control System Manager, the Mechanical and Electrical Contractor's Superintendent will act as their quality control engineer and will be directly responsible to the Contractor's Quality Control System Manager, and the Keyes Construction Company, Inc. Quality Control Support Team.

- 7.2. <u>Inspection Acceptance Procedures</u> All construction work shall be in accordance with the contract drawings and specifications. All rework or changes which change existing engineering drawings or specifications must be authorized. All construction work will be recorded on the Quality Control System Manager's report. Work found in compliance with the drawings and specifications will be so noted. If discrepancies are found, they will be handled in accordance with inspection discrepancy procedures.
- 7.3. <u>Inspection Discrepancy Procedure</u> Intended as an inspection system whereby all discrepancies in quality, workmanship, materials, equipment, supplies, and/or unauthorized deviations from engineering requirements on specifications can be called to the attention of responsible supervision personnel.
 - Discrepancies will be recorded on the Quality Control Daily report form. Each discrepancy will be assigned a number by the recording Quality Control System Manager. A concise statement locating the discrepancy and description of the discrepancy will be filled in by the Quality Control System Manager.
 - When material, equipment, supplies, or workmanship, that does not conform to the contract drawings or specifications are rejected, the rejecting Quality Control System Manager will initiate a discrepancy report and immediately furnish copies to the contractor's Project Manager and Superintendent or Subcontractor's Job Representative.
 - Upon reviewing the discrepancy report, the Project Manager or their representative and the Quality Control System Manager will examine the rejected items. If in their opinion, any of the rejected items can be reworked to a usable condition, the discrepancy report will be so noted. However, if, in their opinion, the item cannot be reworked either from a practical and economic standpoint, the item shall be scrapped, and an entry made on the discrepancy report concluded to that effect.
 - Upon completion of rework on items specified for rework, the Quality Control System Manager will be notified and will re-inspect the item(s) to the original requirement plus the rework information on the discrepancy report. If it is found acceptable, the discrepancy report will be so noted. From this point on, the item(s) will be handled in the normal manner. If, however, the item(s) is still not acceptable to the Quality Control System Manager due to poor workmanship, etc., arising from the rework, we will treat this item as a first time rejection and this will be resubmitted for inspection only after further rework.
 - The discrepancy report log will be periodically reviewed by the Project Manager with the Quality Control System Manager to formulate a disposition of each listed uncorrected discrepancy. They will establish timetables for final resolution of all discrepancies.
- 7.4. <u>Concrete Testing Procedures</u> Field testing of concrete and preparation, handling, curing, and testing of cylinders will be in accordance with ATSM and CRD Standards as set forth in paragraph 5.1. In addition, the following ASTM Standards will be followed:
 - C 173-73 Air content of freshly mixed concrete by the volumetric method.

- C 47O-73T Molds for forming concrete tests cylinders vertically.
- C 617-73 Capping cylindrical concrete specimens.
- C 683-71T Compressive and flexural strength of concrete under field conditions.

Test cylinders will remain in the area where they are prepared for the first 24 hours properly protected as set forth in ASTM 31-69. They will then be transported to the laboratory, removed from the mold and immersed in a tank of saturated lime water until time of testing. Transportation from work area to laboratory area on the job-site will be in boxes containing wet sand or sawdust and will be protected from freezing. Field test specimens for concrete paving shall be in accordance with paragraph 13 of the contract specifications.

8. DEFINABLE FEATURES OF CONSTRUCTION WORK

- 8.1. <u>General Requirements</u>
 - 8.1.1. Special project procedures to include coordination of work, project meetings, submittals, and quality control.
 - 8.1.2. Administrative Requirements.
 - 8.1.3. Environmental Protection.
 - 8.1.4. Job Conditions.
- 8.2. Site Work
 - 8.2.1. Excavation, Trenching and Backfilling for utilities Systems to include sewer, gravity, drainage, and water lines.
 - 8.2.2. Clearing and grubbing, backfilling for buildings.
 - 8.2.3. Grading.
 - 8.2.4. Fence, chain-link.
 - 8.2.5. Concrete for sidewalks and curbs.
 - 8.2.6. Bituminous Paving.
- 8.3. <u>Concrete</u>
 - 8.3.1. Concrete materials, concrete procedures, concrete formwork, forms, form ties and accessories, concrete reinforcement, concrete finishing, concrete curing and grouting.
 - 8.3.2. Testing.
- 8.4. Masonry
 - 8.4.1. Masonry procedures, mortar, mortar accessories, unit masonry, cavity wall construction to include bringing inner and outer wythes up simultaneously, reinforcement, wall ties, flashing, and cleaning.
 - 8.4.2. Acceptance of Sample Panel.
 - 8.4.3. Testing.
- 8.5. <u>Metals</u>
 - 8.5.1. Structural steel, framing to include metal materials and methods, metal fastening, metal joints, welding, expansion control, and miscellaneous metals
 - 8.5.2. Steel Roof Decking.
 - 8.5.3. High Strength Bolts.
- 8.6. Thermal and Moisture Protection
 - 8.6.1. Damp proofing
 - 8.6.2. Fireproofing
 - 8.6.3. Sealants
- 8.7. Doors and Windows
 - 8.7.1. Metal doors and frames, special doors, metal windows, glazing and miscellaneous hardware, caulking.

- 8.8. Finishes
 - 8.8.1. Ceramic tile.
 - 8.8.2. Gypsum wallboard.
 - 8.8.3. Acoustical treatment to include metal suspension system for acoustical tile and lay-in panel ceiling.
 - 8.8.4. Resilient flooring.
 - 8.8.5. Painting.
 - 8.8.6. Furring (metal).
- 8.9. Specialties
 - 8.9.1. Metal toilet partitions
 - 8.9.2. Fire extinguisher cabinets
 - 8.9.3. Toilet accessories
- 8.10. Equipment
 - 8.10.1. Fueling system for motor vehicles
- 8.11. Furnishings
 - 8.11.1. Lockers
- 8.12. Special Construction
 - 8.12.1. Pre-engineered structures
 - 8.12.2. Liquid storage tanks
- 8.13. Mechanical
 - 8.13.1. Insulation to include:
 - Pipes
 - Ducts
 - Equipment
 - High density inserts, insulation protective shields, clips or U bolt support for multiple pipe hanger supports.
 - 8.13.2. Plumbing systems
 - Waste/vent piping to include; underground soil piping, above ground soil piping.
 - Interior piping rough-in to include; galvanized, black iron and copper, including drains, fittings, valves, and piping supports.
 - Plumbing fixtures to include flush valves, faucets, and accessories.
 - Cleaning and operational testing.
 - 8.13.3. Heating systems
 - Equipment and system accessories
 - Fuel oil/gas piping and supports
 - System testing and balancing
 - 8.13.4. Air distribution systems
 - Equipment and accessories.
 - Duct work to include galvanized supports, dampers, louvers, diffusers, duct line support and fire dampers.
 - 8.13.5. Automatic temperature control systems
 - Equipment and materials
 - Installation of materials and equipment
 - System testing
 - 8.13.6. Sprinkler Systems
 - Equipment
 - Piping and supports

- Accessories
- 8.14. Electrical
 - 8.14.1. Exterior Electric Distribution, Aerial
 - Pole setting.
 - Placement of crossarms, pins, insulators, pole line hardware and conductors.
 - Placement of fuse cutouts, surge arresters, reclosers, potheads, pole mounted transformers to include grounding conductors, grounding conductor testing and cable terminations.
 - 8.14.2. Exterior electrical distribution, underground
 - 8.14.3. Duct line excavation, placement of ducts and miscellaneous materials.
 - 8.14.4. Placement of in ground junction or pull boxes and manholes.
 - 8.14.5. Placement of duct bank concrete encasement.
 - 8.14.6. Transformer pad placement.
 - 8.14.7. Mounting of pad mounted transformers.
 - 8.14.8. Cable placement to include splicing, fire-proofing, and cable terminations.
 - 8.14.9. Grounding conductors and testing.
- 8.15. Electrical distribution, interior
 - 8.15.1. Wiring methods to include conduit rough-in, raceway boxes, outlet boxes, panelboard cabinets, placement of conductors and conduit placement below the slab for slab-on-grade construction.
 - 8.15.2. Wiring devices, panelboards, switch-boards, and lighting fixtures.
 - 8.15.3. Motors and transformers.
 - 8.15.4. Testing.
- 8.16. Fire Detection and Alarm System
 - 8.16.1. Wiring methods to include conduit, ground rods, detectors, control panels, power supply, door holders, audible fire alarm and annunciator panel.
 - 8.16.2. Testing.

DAILY LOG OF CONSTRUCTION FROMOT OUVE MOTOR CO HARRATIVE I Additioner in Progressi	Tennes for the first	сонтакет наменя сонтакет наменя «Фенци о Т. Алак 50-11, ародинації столь фолучи
TO MARRATIVE 1	Ungalitys Concerning	- Dem
CC HARRATIVE I	Ungalitys Concerning	 Despin T. Mar Soft, All Menoration Market
Adilyllies in Progress		
(Jaintaly Permenor)		
General Commenia:		
HREF (LEE 19)G		
HITTING INTERACTION		
Sefavy Inspection / Eatery Machings		
PREPINITIAL GATES Frequencies and another solution A preparatory inspection was note today for the following an initial insepotion was held today for the following	wing facture	
The following politicity was acorded broay: <u>actions</u> No Descurring		
No ectivities were finished loday		
OC REQUIREMENTS		
AARG DEFICIENCY JUDICIDE CONTRACTOR	stall, Scholars and Shake	adh:\ =Cm5 parcolad

	RS QUALITY CONTROL REPORT (GCR)	SPORT - UNDER	Fendalitz.
DAILY LD	G OF CONSTRUCTION	Smi	
		⇒18960 - 700A0611	
IDNTRACTOREON SITE IN	and a manufacture and the second		
Aaba Hoves The following boor nauro Cimpse	i wirre Frégoritaa Indoy) Laan alevelleorisi	Salation of States	00) (<u>di</u> =el) ;
من (منصب المن	6	-	-
and the second se	nours wars Reported today)		11-1-12
Senil/Muldes	_ D= 400-000		Unite-
ورواستان جيساند		~	-
COURSENT REPORTING	ALLE IN (COR)		
	Dh behalf of the contractor, toartify that this ha	Raporting period are in compilin	and the second
	matarial basis and work particities during bits planeans specifications, to the basis of my kind	Raporting period are in compilin	and the second

Appendix B

Sample Preparatory Phase Checklist

Contract No.:			Date:		
Definable Feature	:		Spec Section(s):		
Government Rep	Notified (Y/N):		Hours in Advance:		
Personnel Prese	<u>nt:</u>				
Name	Position	Organizati	on	Phone/Emai	

(List additional personnel on reverse side)

Submittals

Review Submittals and Submittal Register. Have all submittals been approved? (Y/N):

If No, what items have not been submitted or approved?

Are all materials on hand? (Y/N):

If No, what items are missing?

Check approved submittals against delivered material. (This should be done as material arrives.)

Comments:

Material storage

Are materials stored properly? (Y/N):

If No, what action is taken?

Specifications

Review each paragraph of specifications.

Comments:

Discuss procedure for accomplishing the work.

Comments:

Clarify any differences:

Preliminary Work and Permits

Ensure preliminary work is correct and permits are on file. If not, what action is taken? **Testing** Identify test to be performed, frequency, and by whom. When required? Where required? Review Testing Plan Has test facilities been approved

Has testing equipment been calibrated?

<u>Safety</u>

Review applicable portion of EM 385-1-1

Activity Hazard Analysis approved? (Y/N):

Corps of Engineers comments during meeting.

/s/

Contractor QC Manager

Appendix B

Sample Initial Phase Checklist

Name	Position	Organization	Phone/Email
Personnel Pres	ent		
Government Rep Notified (Y/N):		Hours in Advance:	
Definable Feature:		Specification Section(s)	:
Contract No.:		Date:	

(List additional personnel on reverse side)

Review of Preparatory Meeting Minutes

Comments:

Preliminary Work

Ensure preliminary work is complete and correct. If not, what action is taken? Comments:

Establish Level of Workmanship

Where is work located? Is a sample panel required? Will the initial work be considered as a sample? Comments:

<u>Safety</u>

Review job conditions using EM 385-1-1 and job hazard analysis.

Comments:

/s/

Contractor QC Manager

CONCRETE PLACEMENT CARD

LOCATION:

START TIME:

FINISH TIME:

CUBIC YARDS:

		Status			Status
Α	Line & Grade		G	Ground Busses/Wires	
В	Surface Preparation			Size	
С	Forms			Location	
	Alignment			Adequately Support	
	Stability			Welding	
	Form Surface		H	Electrical Boxes &	
				Panels	
	Special Block outs			Size	
	Safety of Work Area			Location	
	Form Treatment			Adequately	
				Supported	
	Chamfer Strips			Sealed Against	
				Concrete	
	Cleanliness		Ι	Piping	
				Size & Material	
D	Reinforcement			Location	
	Location			Support	
	Spacing			Sleeves	
	Splices			Leak Test	
	Tie Wires		J	Water stop	
	Chairs & Spacers			Size-Type	
E	Embedments			Location	
	Anchor Bolts		L	Other Features	
	Embedded Steel				
F	Conduits				
	Size				
	Adequately Supported				
	Flush Coupling				
	Clear of obstruction				
	Concrete tight				

REMARKS:

CONCRETE SUMMARY

Report No.:

Date:

Test	Date	Class	Spec	Slump	Air	7-Day	28-Day	Other	Location	Remarks

Report No.:

Date:

TRIP REPORT

COMPONENT:

VENDOR:

SPEC REF:

PURPOSE OF TRIP:

CONTACT:

PERSONNEL PRESENT:

SUMMARY:

PERMANENT MATERIALS REPORT

Project:

Location:

Materials:

Supplier:

Subcontractor:

Freight Line:

Damage Report:

Inspected By

DEFICIENCY REPORT NO (NOTE: Enter Deficiencies in QC Daily Report and run report from RMS 3.0 CM).

Date:

Contract No.:

Contractor

Location:

Reference Specifications Paragraph

Reference Contract Drawing Sheet No.:

Deficiency:

Corrective Action

Acknowledged:

SOIL COMPACTION REPORT

Test Type	Depth Elev.	Max. Dry Density	O.M.C %	Dry Density	Moisture %	Compact. %	Soil Type	Spec. Reg.	Passed Failed

Appendix C Preconstruction Meeting Agenda

PRECONSTRUCTION MEETING AGENDA

- A. Introduction
 - a. Personnel Introductions and Contact Information
 - i. Area/Resident Engineer/ACO
 - ii. Project Engineer/Office Engineer/COR
 - iii. Quality Assurance Personnel
 - iv. District Personnel (If in attendance)
 - v. Contractor Personnel
 - vi. Base/Post Personnel (Military) or Local Authorities (USACE -Civil Works)
 - vii. Using Service Personnel/Other Project Stakeholders (If in attendance)
- B. General Contract Information
 - a. Meeting purpose
 - b. Description of Work
- C. Contract Administration
 - a. Contracting Officer (KO)/Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO) Authority(s)
 - b. Contracting Officer Representative (COR) Authority(s)
 - c. Lines of Authority and Communication
- D. Contractual Rules and Regulations for Operations and Conduct of Contractor Employees
- E. Base/Post Rules and Regulations for Operations and Conduct of Contract Employees (Presented by Base or Using Service Personnel)
- F. Contract Partnering Policy
- G. Verification/Discussion Certificates of Insurance
- H. Contractor's Responsibility for Inspection of Work
- I. Proposed Methods for Performance of Work
- J. Subcontracting and Amount of Work Performed by Prime Contractor
- K. Contractor's Efforts and Submittal of Reports on Small Business Subcontracting
- L. Contractor Quality Control (To be discussed in detail at subsequent Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting)
- M. Environmental Protection Requirements
- N. Accident Prevention and USACE Safety Manual (EM 385-1-1) (To be discussed in detail at subsequent Preconstruction Safety Conference)
- O. Method of Submitting Correspondence, Shop Drawings and Samples (To be discussed in detail at subsequent Coordination Meeting or the Mutual Understanding Meeting)
- P. Modifications and Claims (Contractor to be advised that modifications are limited to those authorized in writing by the KO or designated ACO.
- Q. Project Schedule
 - a. Schedule Requirements Preliminary & Initial Schedules
 - b. Schedule Cost Loading
 - c. Critical Materials and Specialized Inspections
 - d. Frequency and Method of Periodic Schedule Review
- R. Value Engineering Construction
- S. Labor Standards Requirements
- T. Prompt Payment Act
- U. Contractor Performance Appraisal
- V. Other Pertinent Items, Special Clauses and Technical Provisions

Appendix D Coordination Meeting or Mutual Understanding Meeting Agenda

- A. Introduction
 - a. Area/Resident Engineer or ROICC/SGE
 - b. Project Engineer or AROICC/AREICC
 - c. Quality Assurance Personnel
 - d. Contractor Personnel
 - e. Stakeholders
- B. CQC Specifications
- C. Contractor Quality Control System
 - a. Quality Control Staff
 - i. "Chain of Command"
 - ii. Individual Responsibilities
 - b. Submittals
 - i. Scheduling (ENG Form 4288R) Updates
 - ii. ENG Form 4025R
 - iii. Follow-up of Disapprovals
 - c. Testing
 - i. Frequency
 - ii. Who Performs/Qualifications
 - iii. Lab Approval
 - iv. Documentation with Auditable Trail
 - d. Quality Control Daily Reports
 - i. Contractor Name and Address
 - ii. Project Name, Contract Number, Location, Date, and Report Number
 - iii. Weather
 - iv. Contractor/Subcontractor Area of Responsibility
 - v. Materials/Equipment Delivered to Job Site
 - vi. Record any Preparatory, Initial, and Follow-up Phase activities held. Note results of surveillance or necessary corrections.
 - vii. Testing Performed and Results Noted
 - viii. Verbal Instructions Received
 - ix. Controversial Issues
 - x. Signed by the QC Manager
 - xi. Definable Features of Work
 - e. Three-Phases of Control System
 - i. Preparatory
 - 1. Shop Drawings
 - 2. Work to be built upon
 - 3. Equipment
 - 4. Control Testing
 - 5. Government Furnished Equipment (where applicable)

- 6. Sample Panels
- 7. Construction Methods
- ii. Safety and Activity Hazard Analysis
 - 1. Recordkeeping
 - 2. Notification of Government Representative
- iii. Initial
 - 1. Contract required with respect to quality
 - 2. Corrective measures
 - 3. Recordkeeping
 - 4. Notification of Government Representative
 - 5. Safety and Activity Hazard Analysis
- iv. Follow-Up
 - 1. Continuing Quality
 - 2. Corrective Measures
 - 3. Recordkeeping
- v. QC Plan
 - 1. Correct Deficiencies
 - 2. Discuss
 - 3. Procedure to be followed when deficiencies are discovered.
 - 4. Cooperation
 - 5. Changes to the Plan
 - 6. Required to revise plan if something proves to be incorrect after construction begins.
 - 7. Proposed changes must be submitted in writing to Area/Resident Engineer or ROICC and accepted.
 - 8. Administration of the QC Plan is the sole responsibility of the contractor.
- vi. Punch-Out Inspection
 - 1. Deficiencies noted by QC Manager
 - a. Corrected prior to Pre-final/Final Inspection
 - 2. Pre-final/Final Inspection
 - a. Conducted with contractor, Government, and using agency or customer personnel.
 - b. Additional punch-list items noted.
 - c. Payment withheld until corrected.
- D. Government Quality Assurance
- E. Discussion

Appendix E "What Right Looks Like"

01 45 00.00 10 - QUALITY CONTROL: Maintain current records providing factual evidence that required quality control activities and/or tests have been performed. Include in these records the work of subcontractors and suppliers on an acceptable form that includes, as a minimum, the following information: (a-k below)

- a) Contractor/subcontractor and their area of responsibility.
- b) Operating plant/equipment with hours worked, idle, or down for repair.
- c) Work performed each day, giving location, description, and by whom. When Network Analysis (NAS) is used, identify each phase of work performed each day by NAS activity number.
- d) Test and/or control activities performed with results and references to specifications/drawings requirements. Identify the control phase (Preparatory, Initial, Follow-up). List of deficiencies noted, along with corrective action.
- e) Quantity of materials received at the site with statement as to acceptability, storage, and reference to specifications/drawings requirements
- f) Submittals and deliverables reviewed, with contract reference, by whom, and action taken.
- g) Offsite surveillance activities, including actions taken.
- h) Job safety evaluations stating what was checked, results, and instructions or corrective actions.
- i) Instructions given/received and conflicts in plans and/or specifications.
- j) Provide documentation of design quality control activities. For independent design reviews, provide, as a minimum, identification of the Independent Technical Review (ITR) team, the ITR review comments, responses and the record of resolution of the comments.
- k) Contractor's Verification Statement.

Indicate a description of trades working on the project; the number of personnel working; weather conditions encountered; and any delays encountered. Cover both conforming and deficient features and include a statement that equipment and materials incorporated in the work and workmanship comply with the contract. Furnish the QC Daily in electronic form, or hard copy, whichever is the field office preference, to the Government daily within [_24__] hours after the date covered by the report, except that reports need not be submitted for days on which no work is performed.* As a minimum, prepare and submit one report for every 7 days of no work and on the last day of a no work period. All calendar days must be accounted for throughout the life of the contract. The first report following a day of no work will be for that day only. Reports must be signed and dated by the CQC System Manager. Attach copies of test reports and copies of Prep/Initial Inspection reports.

prepared by all subordinate quality control personnel within the CQC System Manager Report.

Coordinate with the COR on the specific contract for how to handle contract documentation.

Project Schedule: QC documentation should "mirror" the Project Schedule. If work is coming up, getting started or in process then documentation of quality control activities is required. The schedule presented below was developed to support the initial, preparatory and daily report examples that follow for a fictitious example project.

C01 Clear & C07 Build Ac E02 Instail S C03 Excession C05 Form al C02 Backfill C08 Place S S01 Erect S	lotice to Proceed	Original Duration 0 5 15 10	Remaining Duration	Physical %	TIME								
C01 Clear & C07 Build Ac E02 Instail S C03 Excession C05 Form al C02 Backfill C08 Place S S01 Erect S	& Grub Incess Road Site Electrical Utilities ante for Foundation and Place Foundation II & Final Grade	0 5 15		Complete		Finish	Total Float	Nov	Dec	Jan	-	Feb	I B
C01 Clear & C07 Build Ac E02 Instail S C03 Excession C05 Form al C02 Backfill C08 Place S S01 Erect S	& Grub Incess Road Site Electrical Utilities ante for Foundation and Place Foundation II & Final Grade	5 15	0	10094	01-Nov-15		-		Proceed, 01-No			rep	
C07 Build Ac E02 Instail S C03 Excesse C05 Form at C02 Backfill C08 Place S S01 Erect S	access Road Site Electrical Utilities ante for Foundation and Place Foundation II & Final Grade	15	0		02-Nov-15	08-Nov-15		Clear & G		-154	1		
E02 Install S C03 Excave C05 Form at C02 Backfill C08 Place S S01 Erect S	Site Electrical Utilities ante for Foundation and Place Foundation II & Final Grade		0		09-Nov-15	30-Nov-15	-		Build Access	Road	1		
C03 Excession C05 Form at C02 Backfill C08 Place S S01 Erect S	ate for Foundation and Place Foundation II & Final Grade		0		09-Nov-15	20-Nov-15			stall Site Electrica				
C05 Form at C02 Backfill C08 Place S S01 Erect S	and Place Foundation II & Final Grade	10	0		01-Dec-15	14-Dec-15	-			vate for Founda	tion		
C02 Backfill C08 Place S S01 Erect S	I & Final Grade	15	0		15-Dec-15	06-Jan-16 A	-		Exca		and the second	e Foundation	
C08 Place S S01 Erect S		3	0			14-Jan-18A	_		_			Final Grade	1
S01 Erect S		10	0			28-Jan-16 A				Ba		lace Sidewalk	Curb
	Structural Steel	25	18		15-Jan-16A		7				1		Erect Str
	Gas Line	15	10		27-Jan-16A	the second se	88			-		Install	
		3					95						1 million (1997)
	Asphalt Parking Area	5	2		28-Jan-16A 29-Jan-16A		136					Place Asphalt	
												Landscaping	
	g Lot Shiping	2	2		02-Feb-16	03-Feb-16	95		1	4		Parking Lot	
A03 Install R		12	12			10-Mar-16	7				ł	-	1
	Fire Sprinklars	9	0		24-Feb-16	07-Mar-16	72	-					
	Metal Studs	15	15		11-Mar-16	31-Mer-16	7	t		1			
the second se	Exterior Siding	12	12		01-Apr-16	18-Apr-16	7			;			1
	In Electrical	8	8		01-Apr-16	12-Apr-16	18			· ·			1
	In Mechanical	8	8		01-Apr-16	12-Apr-16	37						
	Windows & Doors	7	7		19-Apr-16	27-Apr-18	7						
and the second se	Drywall. Teps, Mud	14	14		28-Apr-16	17-May-16	7						-
A08 Paint Int	nterior Walls	5	5		18-May-16	24-May-16	7			1			1
A05 Install A	Accoustical Ceiling	4	4	0%	18-May-16	23-May-16	17			1			1
M01 Install M	Mechanical Utilities	6	6	0%	25-May-16	02-Jun-16	7						1
A06 Instail F	Floor Coverings	3	3	0%	03-Jun-16	07-Jun-18	7				i		1
100 CCD C	Contract Completion Date	0	0	0%		08-Jun-18*	9			1			

Sample Preparatory Phase Checklist

Contract No: W911KB-15-C-xxxx 2016

Date: 15 Jan

Definable Feature: GAS LINE INSTALLATION

Spec Section:

01 35 26	Governmental Safety Requirements
01 50 00	Temporary Construction Facilities and
	Controls
01 57 20.00	Environmental Protection
10	
01 57 23	Temporary Storm Water Pollution Control
31 23 00.00	Excavation and Fill
20	
32 12 16	Hot-Mix Asphalt (HMA) for Roads
32 16 13	Concrete Sidewalks and Curbs and
	Gutters
33 11 23	Natural Gas and Liquid Petroleum Piping

Government Rep Notified: <u>48</u> Hours in Advance? <u>YES</u>

Personnel Present

Name	Position	Company/Government
Greg	Project Manager	Quality R US (QRUS)
Frank	CQCSM	Quality R US (QRUS)
Shirley	Project Superintendent	Quality R US (QRUS)
Richard	Administration, QC	Quality R US (QRUS)
Bob	QAR	Corps of Engineers
		(COE)
Jim	Installation Rep	3CES/CECCG
Staff Sergeant Jones	Installation Rep	3CES/CECCG
Karl	Subcontractor	RGB Contracting
Ron	Subcontractor	Walker Paving
Kurt	Testing Agency	Independent Testers Lab
		(ITL)
Nathan	Testing Agency	Independent Testers Lab
		(ITL)

Submittals

Review submittals and/or submittal log ENG Form 4288-R. Have all submittals been approved?

Yes

If No, what items have not been submitted? None

Are all materials on hand? No materials are on site yet. Pipe will be delivered next week. Subcontractor has not mobilized to the site to date. Mobilization depends on pipe delivery. According to Karl of RGB Contracting the HDPE pipe should arrive at the Port via barge on Wed., 27 Jan 16.

If No, what items are missing? HDPE piping.

Check approved submittals against delivered material. (This should be done as material arrives.)

Comments: CQCSM will check materials and equipment when they arrive on site.

Material Storage

Are materials stored properly? See response above.

Specifications

Review each paragraph of specifications.

Road closure notification was made but will be revised due to delivery date of pipe. 7-day notice will be provided as specified.

Utility tie in requires 7-day notice. Notice will be provided for tie in.

Discuss procedure for accomplishing the work.

Utility Locates

Work Clearance Request process is being handled by Richard X. (CQC). We still need Comm and Electrical locates. Locates for Comm are about one-half complete and are scheduled to continue Monday, morning, 25 Jan 16 0830 hours. Electrical locates are scheduled to be completed by 27 Jan 16.

Government QAR (Bob) talked about the 2 extra isolation valves issue addressed in RFI -002. Bob indicated that he hoped to have a positive answer by this afternoon. Bob will contact QRUS Project Manager (Greg) with the information.

SAP Plan will be turned by early next week. Still need testing information for concrete and asphalt. These will follow.

Also need Nuke density testing certification. CQCSM will follow up.

Mobilizations and Road Closures

Road Closure notification was submitted on 18 Jan 16 by RGB Contracting (Karl). This will change as delivery of the piping has been pushed back till next Wednesday. Karl will re-submit road closure dates with the required 7-day notice. This information will be submitted to the QAR, (Bob). Karl. also stated that he would like to bore at some locations depending on utility locate information.

Excavation/Pipe Installation

RGB hopes to lay approximately 2,500 If of pipe per day if all site conditions are favorable.

Work will begin at Apple Street and proceed NW to Lincoln then North to Walnut and around.

QRUS surveyor has established all control points.

Soil density testing will be as shown in specification Section 31 23 00.00 20.

CQCSM, QAR, and RGB superintendent will walk site immediately following this meeting to identify what landscaping will be impacted by the excavation. QRUS will accomplish Landscape replacement immediately upon completion of Gas Line.

Locations of bioventing wells will be staked and flagged. QRUS (Richard) will identify locations from map.

RGB (Karl) will be on site to document and take record photos of the installation.

CQC (Frank) will use a small PID ("sniffer") to check soil as excavation proceeds. Any reading that exceeds 30 parts per million, he will call an ITL qualified testing technician. Any contaminated soil that is removed from the trench will be stockpiled on a plastic liner and covered. RGB will carry plastic in one of their trucks just in case. 3CES (Jim) requested that either he or the Staff Sergeant Jones be notified immediately if an environmental issue arise.

Pipe will be bedded with fill that is less than $1 \frac{1}{2}$ " in diameter.

Paving of any roadways or parking lots will be accomplished by subcontractor Walker Paving per Specification Section 32 12 16. Any road closures will be submitted with 7-day notification. Asphalt testing will be done by ITL per DOT requirements.

Sidewalk and curb and gutter replacement will be accomplished by subcontract ABC Concrete per Specification Section 32 16 23. Concrete testing will be done by ITL per DOT requirements.

Pressure testing of gas piping will be accomplished by RGB per specification Section 33 11 23.

As-built drawings will be submitted when gas line installation is complete.

Clarify any differences. None.

Preliminary Work and Permits

Ensure preliminary work is correct and permits are on file.

3WG Form 3 "Base Civil Engineering Work Clearance Request" will be posted in Project Office and a copy will be submitted to QAR.

If not, what action is taken? N/A

Testing

Identify test to be performed, frequency and by whom.

Soils density testing will be performed per attached and specification section 31 23 00.00 20.

Asphalt testing will be performed per specifications Section 32 12 16.

Concrete testing will be performed per specification Section 32 16 13.

Gas piping will be performed per specification Section 33 11 23.

***Testing information will be submitted separately.

When Required? Information to follow.

Where required?

Review Testing Plan. YES

Has test facilities been approved? **YES**

Safety

Review applicable portion of EM 385-1-1.

Job/Activity Hazard Analysis was reviewed.

"Installation Special Requirements for Contractors" was reviewed (vehicle passes, "FOD" hazard, do not cross Red Line, Emergency Phone numbers)

Emergency Phone Numbers

Fire or Utility shutdown: Dial 911 from Base Phone or (xxx) 789-1234 from CELL phone.

Environmental

Primary (xxx) 123-4444

Secondary (xxx) 345-6666

Quality R US Safety Program – Safety Meetings are mandatory at 1230 hours each Monday. We will have our first meeting when subcontractor RGB is mobilized and on site.

Activity Hazard Analysis approved: YES

Corps of Engineers comments during meeting. Safety First. Communicate always and remember that no one can change the contract requirements but the Contracting Officer.

Frank X.

Quality Control Systems Manager

Quality R US

Sample Initial Phase Checklist

Contract No: W911KB-15-C-xxxx

Date: 29 Jan 2016

Definable Feature: GU01 GAS LINE INSTALLATION

Spec Section:

01 35 26	Governmental Safety Requirements
01 50 00	Temporary Construction Facilities and
	Controls
01 57 20.00	Environmental Protection
10	
01 57 23	Temporary Storm Water Pollution Control
31 23 00.00	Excavation and Fill
20	
32 12 16	Hot-Mix Asphalt (HMA) for Roads
32 16 13	Concrete Sidewalks and Curbs and
	Gutters
33 11 23	Natural Gas and Liquid Petroleum Piping

Government Rep Notified: <u>24</u> Hours in Advance? <u>YES</u>

Personnel Present

Name	Position	Company/Government
Greg	Project Manager	Quality R US (QRUS)
Frank	CQCSM	Quality R US (QRUS)
Shirley	Project Superintendent	Quality R US (QRUS)
Richard	QC	Quality R US (QRUS)
Bob	QAR	Corps of Engineers
		(COE)
Jim	Installation Rep	3CES/CECCG
Staff Sergeant Jones	Installation Rep	3CES/CECCG
Dave	Foreman	RGB Contracting
Ron	Subcontractor	Walker Paving
Kurt	Testing Agency	Independent Testers Lab
		(ITL)
Nathan	Testing Agency	Independent Testers Lab
		(ITL)

Identify full compliance with procedures identified at preparatory. Coordinate plans, specifications and submittals. **Contractor is following procedures and contract documents. See attached Preparatory Phase Checklist for reference.**

Trench backfill compaction checked by ITL with nuclear densometer – all results meet contract document requirement of 95% compaction.

Trench backfill being placed in 6" lifts under structures and paved areas and 12" maximum lifts in the other areas per contract requirements.

Preliminary work. Ensure preliminary work is complete and correct. If not, what action is taken? **Preliminary work is complete and submitted. Items that were still in progress after the preparatory are listed below:**

Utility locates – completed

RFI-002, Isolation Valves has been answered and a modification is forthcoming to add the (2) valves.

SAP plan was finally approved last week by State EPA

Road closure notifications have been made.

Locations of bioventing wells have been staked and flagged and are now annotated on the site plan

Photo record of route has been completed

Establish level of workmanship.

Workmanship is satisfactory and complete per contract documents. Photographs were taken and are attached to this report to document agreed upon level of workmanship.

Where is work located? Gas line excavation and installation began at Apple Street and 18th and will continue as shown on drawings via Lincoln, then Walnut, then Freedom Blvd. to 18th and Magnolia.

Resolve any differences

None.

Check Safety

Review job conditions using EM 385-1-1 and job hazard analysis.

Safety meeting was held at site today. Emergency Response procedures and safety program and installation heightened security requirements were covered. Barricading open trenches was also discussed. RBG personnel are using appropriate PPE.

Frank Quality Control Systems Manager Quality R US

CONTRACTORS QUALITY CONTROL REPORT (QCR)	REPORT		
DAILY LOG OF CONSTRUCTION – MILITARY	NUMBER	Page xx of xx	
	090		
	DATE		
	29 January 2016		
PROJECT	CONTRACT NUMBER		
Office Building Mini Project Example	W911KB-15-C-9999 NA		

01 45 00.00 10

...

3.9 DOCUMENTATION

Maintain current records providing factual evidence that required quality control activities and/or tests have been performed. Include in these records the work of subcontractors and suppliers on an acceptable form that includes, as a minimum, the following information (a-k below):

Indicate a description of trades working on the project; the number of personnel working; weather conditions encountered; and any delays encountered. Cover both conforming and deficient features and include a statement that equipment and materials incorporated in the work and workmanship comply with the contract. Furnish the original and one copy of these records in report form to the Government daily within [_____] hours after the date covered by the report, except that reports need not be submitted for days on which no work is performed. As a minimum, prepare and submit one report for every 7 days of no work and on the last day of a no work period. All calendar days must be accounted for throughout the life of the contract. The first report following a day of no work will be for that day only. Reports must be signed and dated by the CQC System Manager. Include copies of test reports and copies of reports prepared by all subordinate quality control personnel within the CQC System Manager Report.

пероп	
QC NARRATIVES	c. Work performed each day, giving
Activities in Progress	location, description, and by whom.
> C08 Place Sidewalks, Curb & Gutter: ABC Concrete	When Network Analysis (NAS) is used,
performing final cleanup and starting to demobilize.	<i>identify each phase of work performed</i>
> S01 Erect Structural Steel: Statewide Erectors setting	each day by NAS activity number.
columns along A line between grids 6 and 10.	
> C04 Place Asphalt Parking Area: Walker Paving started	
laying down A/C in south parking area.	
> C06 Landscaping: Jones Landscaping placing and	
spreading topsoil on north side of site adjacent to green belt.	
Also planting shrubbery.	
> GU01 Install Gas Line: RGB Contracting continuing with	
trench excavation and laying pipe. No issues. Initial	
inspection held today.	
Materials Received	e. Quantity of materials received at
05 21 00 STEEL JOIST FRAMING: First shipment of open	the site with statement as to
web steel roof joists arrived. Piece count consistent with	acceptability, storage, and reference to
shipping documents. No damage noted. Stored onsite on	specifications/drawings requirements.
protective cribbing with top chord down and the larger joists	
(36") on their side per 05 21 00 paragraph 1.4.	
Submittals	f. Submittals and deliverables
No submittals were reviewed today.	reviewed, with contract reference, by
	whom, and action taken.
Offsite Surveillance Activities	g. Offsite surveillance activities,
Mechanical subcontractor QC representative (Sam Rogers) is	including actions taken.
at AHU supplier to verify compliance for the large AHUs that	
will be installed in the penthouse.	

Job Site Safety Evaluations See attached Daily Safety Report prepared by Jim Smith (SSHO)	h. Job safety evaluations stating what was checked, results, and instructions or corrective actions.
Instructions given/received Received an answer from the government on RFI-063, "Sealing of Vapor Barrier" at penthouse eave condition.	<i>i. Instructions given/received and conflicts in plans and/or specifications.</i>
Design Quality Control N/A	j. Provide documentation of design quality control activities. For independent design reviews, provide, as a minimum, identification of the Independent Technical Review (ITR) team, the ITR review comments, responses and the record of resolution
PREP/INITIAL DATES (Preparatory and initial dates held and advance notice) (NOTE: In RMS 3.0 CM the QC Daily only allows the Description of Prep/Initial Inspection held on this date. Include this language in Narratives.) Preparatory Inspections: C10 Parking Lot Striping to start next week. Preparatory esheduled for 1 Esh. Advance notice provided to OAP today.	of the comments. d. Test and/or control activities performed with results and references to specifications/drawings requirements. Identify the control phase (Preparatory, Initial, Follow- up)
scheduled for 1 Feb. Advance notice provided to QAR today via email. Initial Inspections: (I) C04 Place Asphalt Parking Area: An initial inspection was conducted at 0800 hours in the south parking area. See attached meeting minutes and sign in sheet.	
(I) GUO1 Install Gas Line: An initial inspection was conducted at 0900 hours. See attached meeting minutes and sign in sheet.	
ACTIVITY START/FINISH Started Today C06 Landscaping	
Finished Today No activities finished todayQC REQUIREMENTS (QC Tests, User Schools)(F) C04 Place Asphalt Parking Area: A follow-up was conducted at 1400 hours in the west parking area. CQCSM observed Independent Test Laboratory (ITL) taking samples and tests as required per 32 12 16 paragraph 3.11; in-place density, laboratory air voids, grade and smoothness. Results are due back Monday.	d. Tests performed with results and references to specifications/drawings requirements. Identify the control phase (Preparatory, Initial, Follow- up)
(FF) C08 Place Sidewalks, Curb & Gutter: Final follow-up conducted between 1400 and 1430. The following were in attendance: CQCSM, superintendent for ABC Concrete, government QA and PE. All deficiencies have been cleared no new deficiencies found.	

			-				
(F) S01 Erect Structural Steel: A follow-up inspection was conducted along grid line A between grids 6 and 10. Direct Tension Indicator Washers (DTIWs) at Grid A/8 for the beam to column high strength bolted connections were found to be installed under the turned nut which is incorrect (05 12 00 paragraph 3.2.2.1). QC deficiency QC-0021 was created for tracking.							
 (F) C06 Landscaping: 1) 32 93 00 Exterior Plants, paragraph 3.3 Plant Bed Preparation. Verified depth of plant pit and all plant material per paragraph 3.4 Plant Installation. No deficiencies noted. 2) 32 93 00 Exterior Plants. Paragraph 2.2.2 On-Site Topsoil. Verified final grade and 6" required depth for all parking lot islands per drawing L01. 							
Petroleum Piping. and verified pipe bu material w/r to unde backfill being place deficiencies noted.	Conducted follow-up trial depth/elevation a er pipe depth and con d in 12" lifts in non-t	as well as the bedding npaction. Trench traffic areas. No	d list	of deficiencies	noted along		
	NCY (Describe QC I and QA Deficiency it			of deficiencies r ective action.	ioted, along		
Item No.	Location	Description	Description				
QC-0021	Grid A/8	DTIWs incorrectly installed	-				
CONTRACTORS site today) Quality R US (prim ABC Concrete Statewide Erectors Walker Paving Jones Landscaping Independent Test L	e)	irst and/or last day on		ctor/subcontrac sponsibility.	tor and their		
* First day on-site ** Last day on site	(none)						
LABOR HOURS	on hours were Da	nted Tedar					
Employer	or hours were Repo	Labor		Number of	Hours		
		Classification		Employees	Worked		
Quality R US Project Superint		tendent	1	10			
Quality R US		Quality Control	l Manager	1	10		
Quality R US		Laborer	-	2	20		
Quality R US Carpenters			2	20			
ABC Concrete Cement Mason				2	20		
Statewide Erectors		Ironworkers		6	60		
		Ironworkers Paver Landscapers		6 4 2	60 40 20		

Appendix E

To the set to the tot		E	· · · · · T · 1		1	10	
Independent Test Lab.			gineer Tech			10	
			reman		1	10	
			erators		2	20	
RGB Contracting Laborer			borers		2	20	
TOTAL					26	260	
EQUIPMENT HOURS				b. Operating plant/equipment with			
-				hours worked, idle, or down for repar			repair.
The following equipment ho	ours were rep	ported tod	lay:				
Equipment			Hours				
Forklift (1)			10				
Pickup (3)			30				
Genie Lift (1)			10				
Paver (1)			10				
Dump truck (1)							
Bob Cat (2)			20				
Backhoe (1)			10				
Front end loader (1)			10				
ACCIDENT REPORTING	(Describe a	ccidents)					
No accidents were reported to)					
CONTRACTOR		f of the co	ontractor, I	k. Contra	ctor's V	erification Stat	tement
CERTIFICATION	certify that this Report is					5	
	complete						
			and work				
	performed during this Reporting period are in compliance with the contract plans and specifications, to the best of my knowledge,						
	except as noted above.						
QC REPRESENTATIVES	DATE		INTENDENT	"S INITIAI	S	DATE	
SIGNATURE	DITL	JULIC		SINIM	10		